arXiv:1607.07581v1 [math.OA] 26 Jul 2016

A classification of inductive limit C^*-algebras with ideal property

Guihua Gong, Chunlan Jiang and Liangqing Li

Abstract Let A be an AH algebra $A = \lim_{n\to\infty}(A_n = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{t_n} P_{n,i}M_{[n,i]}(C(X_{n,i}))P_{n,i}, \phi_{n,m})$, where $X_{n,i}$ are compact metric spaces, t_n and $[n,i]$ are positive integers, and $P_{n,i} \in M_{[n,i]}(C(X_{n,i}))$ are projections. Suppose that A has the ideal property: each closed two sided ideal of A is generated by the projections inside the ideal, as closed two sided ideal. In this article, we will classify all AH algebras with ideal property of no dimension growth—that is $Sup_{n,i}dim(X_{n,i}) < +\infty$. This result generalizes and unifies the classification of AH algebras of real rank zero in [EG] and [DG] and the classification of simple AH algebras in [G5] and [EGL1]. By this paper, we have completed one of two so considered most important possible generalizations of [EGL1](see the introduction of [EGL1]). The invariants for the classification including scale ordered total $K-group$ $(\underline{K}(A), \underline{K}(A)_+, \Sigma A)$(as already used in real rank zero case in [DG]), for each $[p] \in \Sigma A$, $T(pAp)$—the tracial state space of cut down algebra pAp with certain compatibility, (which is used by [Stev] and [Ji-Jiang] for AI algebras with ideal property), and a new ingredient, the invariant $U(pAp)/\overline{DU(pAp)}$, with certain compatibility condition, where $\overline{DU(pAp)}$ is the closure of commutator subgroup $DU(pAp)$ of the unitary group $U(pAp)$ of the cut down algebra pAp. We will also present a counterexample if this new ingredient is missed in the invariant. The discovery of this new invariant is an analogy to that of order structure on total K-theory when one advanced from the classification of simple real rank zero C^*-algebras to that of non simple real rank zero C^*-algebras in [G2], [Ei], [DL] and [DG] (see introduction below). We will also prove that the so called $ATAI$ algebras in [Jiang1] (and $ATAF$ algebra in [Fa])—the inductive limits of direct sums of simple TAI (and TAF) algebras with UCT—, are in our class. Here the concept of simple TAI (and TAF) algebras was introduced by Lin to axiomatize the decomposition theorem of [G5] (and of [EG2] respectively) and is partially inspired by Popa's work [Popa].

Keywords: C^*-algebra, AH algebra, ideal property, Elliott invariant

Content

§0. Introduction

§1. Notation and terminology

§2. The invariant

§3. Shape equivalence

§4. Spectral distribution property and decomposition theorems

§5. Additional decomposition theorems

§6. Existence theorem

§7. Uniqueness theorem

§8. The proof of the main theorem

§9. Further discussion about invariant

§10. ATAI algebras

---

§0. Introduction

Classification theorems have been obtained for AH algebras—the inductive limits of cut downs of matrix algebras over compact metric spaces by projections and AD algebras—the inductive limits of Elliott dimension drop interval algebras in two special cases: 1. Real rank zero case: all such AH algebras with no dimension growth and such AD algebras (See [Ell1], [EGLP], [EG1-2], [EGS], [D], [G1-4], [Ei] and [DG]) and 2. Simple case: all such AH algebras of no dimension growth (which includes all simple AD algebras by [EGJS]) (See [Ell2-3], [NT], [Thm2-3], [Li1-4], [G5],and [EGL1]).

In [EGL1], the authors point out two important directions of next steps after the complete classification of simple AH algebras (with no dimension growth). One is the classification of simple ASH algebras—the simple inductive limits of subhomogeneous algebras (with no dimension growth). The other is to generalize and to unify the above mentioned classifi-

cation theorems of simple AH algebras and real rank zero AH algebras by classifying AH algebras with ideal property. In this article, we achieved the second goal mentioned above by classifying all AH algebras (of no dimension growth) and AD algebras, provided the algebras have ideal property, as explained below.

As in [EG2], let $T_{II,k}$ be the 2-dimensional connected simplicial complex with $H^1(T_{II,k}) = 0$ and $H^2(T_{II,k}) = \mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z}$, and let I_k be the subalgebra of $M_k(C[0,1]) = C([0,1], M_k(\mathbb{C}))$ consisting of functions f with property $f(0) \in \mathbb{C} \cdot \mathbf{1}_k$ and $f(1) \in \mathbb{C} \cdot \mathbf{1}_k$ (this algebra is called Elliott dimension drop interval algebra). It is denoted by notation $\mathcal{HD}$, the class of algebras of direct sums of building blocks of forms $M_l(I_k)$ and $PM_nC(X)P$, with X being one of the spaces $\{pt\}$, $[0,1]$, S^1, $T_{II,k}$, and with $P \in M_n(C(X))$ being a projection. (In [DG], this class is denoted by $SH(2)$, and in [Jiang1], this class is denoted by $\mathcal{B}$). We will call a C^*-algebra an $\mathcal{AHD}$ algebra, if it is an inductive limit of algebras in $\mathcal{HD}$. In this article, we will classify all $\mathcal{AHD}$ algebras with ideal property. Evidently, all simple AH algebras and all real rank zero C^*-algebras have ideal property. In [GJLP1-2], [Li4] and [Jiang2], it is proved that all AH algebras with ideal property of no dimension growth are inductive limits of algebras in the class $\mathcal{HD}$—that is, they are $\mathcal{AHD}$ algebras. Combining with this reduction theorem, we obtained the classification of C^*-algebras with ideal property which are either AH algebras of no dimension growth or AD algebras.

As pointed out in [GJLP1], there are many C^*-algebras naturally arising from C^*-dynamical systems which have ideal property. For example, if (A, G, α) is a C^*-dynamical system such that G is a discrete amenable group and the action of G on $\widehat{A}$ is essentially free, that is, for every G-invariant closed subset $F \subset \widehat{A}$, the subset $\{x \in F : gx \neq x \text{ for all } g \in G\backslash\{1\}\}$ is dense in F, then $A \rtimes_\alpha G$ has ideal property provided that A has ideal property (use [Sierakowski, Theorem 1.16]). Many of these C^*-algebras are neither simple nor of real rank zero. Even if we assume, in the above class of examples, that A is the commutative C^*-algebra $C(X)$ with $dim(x) = 0$ and $G = \mathbb{Z}$, it is not known whether $A \rtimes_\alpha G$ is of real rank zero (it is known that $A \rtimes_\alpha G$ is not simple if α is not minimal). However it follows from the above result or from [Pa2] that $C(X) \rtimes_\alpha \mathbb{Z}$ has ideal property. Hence it is important and natural to extend the classification of simple C^*-algebras and the classification of real rank zero C^*-algebras to C^*-algebras with ideal property. Let us pointed out that C. Pasnicu studied the C^*-algebras with ideal property intensively [Pa1-5].

It is also proved (in §10) that all inductive limits of direct sums of simple TAI algebras (with UCT), which are called $ATAI$ algebras (this certainly strictly including the $ATAF$ algebras studied by Fang in [Fa]), are in the above class—that is, they are $\mathcal{AHD}$ algebras

with ideal property. In [Jiang1], the second named author, Jiang classified $ATAI$ algebras A under the extra condition that $K_1(A)$ is a torsion group. In this classification, Jiang used the scaled ordered total K-groups (from [DG]) and the tracial state spaces of cut-down algebras pAp, with certain compatibility conditions (from [Stev] and [Ji-Jiang]) as the invariants (see 2.18 of [Jiang1]). In our classification, we will use the above invariant (total K-theory and traces) with the extra new invariant $U(pAp)/\overline{DU(pAp)}$ to deal with the torsion-free part of $K_1(A)$ (see Abstract—we will use a simplified version of this group), with certain compatibility conditions. We will also prove that our invariant will be reduced to Jiang's invariant in the case that $K_1(A)$ is a torsion group (see Proposition 2.34). That is, Jiang's classification in [Jiang1] is a special case of our classification theorem. Let us point out that $U(pAp)/\overline{DU(pAp)}$ is completely determined, non-canonically, by $T(pAp)$ and $K_1(pAp)$. But we will present an example to show that the compatibility of $U(pAp)/\overline{DU(pAp)}$ (between different projections $p < q$) is not completely decided by the weaker invariant used in Jiang's paper. So the new invariant is indispensable.

It is worthwhile to compare the introduction of the new invariant $U(pAp)/\overline{DU(pAp)}$ with compatibility to the introduction of the invariant of the order structure on total K-theory for the case of non simple real rank zero C^*-algebras (in [DG] and [DL]). In the simple real rank zero case, it was sufficient to look at the K-theory alone incuding its order structure (introduced in [Ell1]). In the non simple real rank zero, in [DG], it was necessary to include the total K-theory with its order structure. As a matter of fact, total K-theory by itself, is completely decided by K-theory alone; it is the order structure of the total K-theory which reflects certain compatibility information of the ideals. In the general simple case, for the isomorphic invariant, it is enough to look at the ordering K-theory now together with the simplex of traces (as that is no longer determined). In the general non simple case with ideal property, with general K_1-group, both the total K-theory (with order) and also the Hausdorffized algebraic K_1-group $U(pAp)/\overline{DU(pAp)}$ of the cut down algebra pAp with compatibility are needed in the isomorphic theorem. As pointed out in [NT] (see [Ell3] also) the Hausdorffized algebraic K_1-group $U(A)/\overline{DU(A)}$ is completely decided by the Elliott invariant consisting of $K_*(A)$, AffTA, and map $\rho : \ K_0(A) \to AffTA$—it is the compatibility of $U(pAp)/\overline{DU(pAp)}$ and $U(qAq)/\overline{DU(qAq)}$ (for $[p] < [q]$) which makes it a new invariant, analogue to the order structure of the total K-theory for non simple real rank zero case. Let us point out that, for uniqueness theorems for homomorphisms, both the total K-theory for the simple real rank zero case (which was reflected by KK-equivalent classes in [EG2] and [G2]), and Haudorffized algebraic K_1-group $U(A)/\overline{DU(A)}$ (introduced in [NT], see also [Ell3]) for simple case are needed.

For the simple case, the additional invariants of total K-theory or of Haudorffized algebraic K_1, are completely decided by other parts of the invariant, non-canonically. By "non-canonically" we mean that the isomorphism between K-theories may give us different choices of isomorphisms between the total K-theories, while the isomorphisms between Elliott invariants may give us different choices of isomorphisms between the Haudorffized algebraic K_1-groups. These choices make the compatibility become a problem for the non simple case (either for the case of real rank zero, or for the case with ideal property), as such problem does not appear in the simple case.

We believe the new addition of the invariant (together with Cuntz semi group) may be useful for the classification for the general non simple inductive limits of 1-dimensional non commutative CW complexes, beyond the case with ideal property.

Beyond the techniques used in [EG2], [DG], [Thm1-2], [G5], [EGL1-2], [Li4], [Ji-Jiang], [Jiang1-2] and [GJLP1-2], some important new techniques are introduced in this paper. Some of them are introduced to deal with the new invariant both in the existence theorem and in the uniqueness theorem. Another major difficulty is as follows. The local uniqueness theorem requires the homomorphisms involved, to satisfy certain spectral distribution property, called *sdp* property (more specifically, $sdp(\eta, \delta)$ property for certain $\eta > 0$ and $\delta > 0$ in [G5] and [EGL1]). Such property automatically holds for the homomorphism $\phi_{n,m}$ (provided that m is large enough) in simple inductive limit procedure. But for the case of inductive limit C^*-algebras with ideal property, considered in our paper, to obtain this *sdp* property, it must pass to certain good quotient algebras which are corresponding to subsimplicial complexes of original spaces—a uniform uniqueness theorem which does not depend on the choice of subsimplicial complexes involved, is required. For the case of interval, whose subsimplicial complexes are finite union of subintervals and points, such uniform uniqueness theorem is proved in [Ji-Jiang](see [Li2] and [Ell2] also). But for the general case, such theorem is not true. In this paper, we carefully manage to avoid the uniqueness theorem for general case involving arbitary finite subsets of $M_n(C(T_{II,k}))$ (or $M_l(I_k)$)—but only use the one involving finite subsets of $M_n(C(T_{II,k}))$ (or $M_l(I_k)$) which are approximately constant to within a small number ε, for which we can prove the required uniform uniqueness theorem. Of course, we will use the uniform uniqueness theorem for interval in [Ji-Jiang]. Also some technical problems should be dealt with involving building blocks $M_l(I_k)$. The decomposition theorems are proved for maps between such building blocks, or between a building block of this kind and a homogeneous building blocks.

§1. Notation and terminology

In this section, we will introduce some notation and terminology.

1.1. Let A and B be two C^*-algebras. We use $Map(A,B)$ to denote THE SPACE OF ALL COMPLETELY POSITIVE $*$-CONTRACTIONS from A to B. If both A and B are unital, then $Map(A,B)_1$ will denote the subset of $Map(A,B)$ consisting of unital maps. By word "map", we mean linear, completely positive $*$-contraction between C^*-algebras, or else we shall mean continuous map between topological spaces, which one will be clear from the context.

Definition 1.2. Let $G \subset A$ be a finite set and $\delta > 0$. We shall say that $\phi \in Map(A,B)$ is $G-\delta$ MULTIPLICATIVE if

$$\|\phi(ab) - \phi(a)\phi(b)\| < \delta$$

for all $a, b \in G$.

We also use $Map_{G-\delta}(A,B)$ to denote all $G-\delta$ multiplicative maps.

1.3. In the notation for an inductive limit system $(A_n, \phi_{n,m})$, we understand that

$$\phi_{n,m} = \phi_{m-1,m} \circ \phi_{m-2,m-1} \circ \cdots \circ \phi_{n,n+1},$$

where all $\phi_{n,m} : A_n \to A_m$ are homomorphisms.

we shall assume that, for any summand A_n^i in the direct sum $A_n = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{t_n} A_n^i$, necessarily, $\phi_{n,n+1}(\mathbf{1}_{A_n^i}) \neq 0$, since, otherwise, we could simply delete A_n^i from A_n, without changing the limit algebra.

If $A_n = \bigoplus_i A_n^i$, $A_m = \bigoplus_j A_m^j$, we use $\phi_{n,m}^{i,j}$ to denote the partial map of $\phi_{n,m}$ from the i-th block A_n^i of A_n to the j-th block A_m^j of A_m. Also, we use $\phi_{n,m}^{-,j}$ to denote the partial map of $\phi_{n,m}$ from A_n to A_m^j. That is $\phi_{n,m}^{-,j} = \bigoplus_i \phi_{n,m}^{i,j} = \pi_j \phi_{n,m}$, where $\pi_j : A_m \to A_m^j$ is the canonical projection. Some time, we also use $\phi_{n,m}^{i,-}$ to denote $\phi_{n,m}|_{A_n^i} : A_n^i \to A_m$.

1.4. As mentioned in the introduction, we use $\mathcal{HD}$ to denote all C^*-algebras $C = \bigoplus C^i$, where each C^i is of the forms $M_l(I_k)$ or $PM_nC(X)P$ with X being one of the spaces $\{pt\}$, $[0,1]$, $[a,b]$ $(-1 < a < b \leq 1)$, S^1, $T_{II,k}$. (For convenience, we also include the interval $[a,b]$ in the list of above spaces.) Each block C^i will be called a basic $\mathcal{HD}$ block or a basic building block. Note that in this notation, a subsimplicial complex of interval $[0,1]$ is a finite union $\bigcup_i [a_i, b_i]$ with $0 \leq a_i \leq b_i \leq 1$ (including degenerated intervals which are single point sets), and a proper subsimplicial complex X of S^1 (that is $\emptyset \neq X \subsetneqq S^1$), is a finite union

$X = \bigcup_i [a_i, b_i]$, with $-1 < a_i < b_i < a_{i+1} \leq 1$, where $[a_i, b_i]$ is identified with $\{e^{2\pi it}, a_i \leq t \leq b_i\}$. Note that if $X = \{pt\}, [0,1]$ or S^1 (but not $T_{II,k}$ then $PM_nC(X)P \cong M_{n_1}(C(X))$ with $n_1 = rank(P)$, since all the projections on those spaces are trivial. It is easy to see that for any projection $Q \in M_l(I_k)$, the algebra $QM_l(I_k)Q$ is isomorphic to a C^*-algebra of the form $M_{l_1}(I_k)$ with $l_1 \leq l$.

1.5. By $\mathcal{AHD}$ algebra, we mean the inductive limit of

$$A_1 \xrightarrow{\phi_{1,2}} A_2 \xrightarrow{\phi_{2,3}} A_3 \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow \cdots,$$

where $A_n \in \mathcal{HD}$ for each n. Obviously, in such an expression, we do not need $[a, b]$ other than $[0, 1]$.

1.6. In [GJLP1-2], joint with Cornel Pasnicu, the authors proved the reduction theorem for AH algebras with ideal property provided that the inductive limit have no dimension growth. That is, if A is an inductive limit of $A_n = \bigoplus A_n^i = \bigoplus P_{n,i}M_{[n,i]}C(X_{n,i})P_{n,i}$ with $sup_{n,i}dim(X_{n,i}) < +\infty$, and if we further assume that A has ideal property, then A can be rewritten as inductive limit of $B_n = \bigoplus B_n^j = \bigoplus Q_{n,j}M_{\{n,j\}}C(Y_{n,i})Q_{n,j}$, with $Y_{n,i}$ being one of $\{pt\}$, $[0,1]$, S^1, $T_{II,k}$, $T_{III,k}$, S^2. In turn, the second author proved in [Jiang2](also see [Li4]), that the above inductive limit can be rewritten as the inductive limit of direct sum of homogeneous algebras over $\{pt\}$, $[0,1]$, S^1, $T_{II,k}$ and $M_l(I_k)$. Combining these two results, we know all AH algebras of no dimension growth with ideal property are $\mathcal{AHD}$ algebras. Let us point out that as proved in [DG], there are real rank zero $\mathcal{AHD}$ algebras which are not AH algebras.

1.7. (a) we use $\sharp(.)$ to denote the cardinal number of a set. Very often, the sets under consideration will be sets with multiplicity, and then we shall also count multiplicity when we use the notation $\sharp$.

(b) We shall use $a^{\sim k}$ to denote $\underbrace{a, a, \cdots a}_{k}$. For example $\{a^{\sim 3}, b^{\sim 2}\} = \{a, a, a, b, b\}$.

(c) For any metric space X, any $x_0 \in X$ and $c > 0$, let $B_c(x_0) \triangleq \{x \in X | d(x, x_0) < c\}$ denote the open ball with radius c and center x_0.

(d) Suppose that A is a C^*-algebra, $B \subset A$ a subset (often a subalgebra), $F \subset A$ is a finite subset and $\varepsilon > 0$. If for each element $f \in F$, there is an element $g \in B$ such that $\|f - g\| < \varepsilon$, then we shall say that F is approximately contained in B to within ε, and denote this by $F \subset_\varepsilon B$.

(e) Let X be a compact metric space. For any $\delta > 0$, a finite set $\{x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_n\}$ is said to be δ-dense in X if for any $x \in X$, there is $x_i \in \{x_1, x_2, ..., x_n\}$ such that $dist(x, x_i) < \delta$.

(f) we shall use $\bullet$ or $\bullet\bullet$ to denote any possible positive integers.

(g) For any two projections $p, q \in A$, we use the notation $[p] \leq [q]$ to denote that p is unitarily equivalent to a subprojection of q. And we use $p \sim q$ to denote that p is unitarily equivalent to q.

Definition 1.8.([EG2], [DG]) Let X be a compact connected space and let $P \in M_N(C(X))$ be a projection of rank n. THE WEAK VARIATION of finite set $F \subset PM_NC(X)P$ is defined by

$$\omega(F) = \sup_{\pi,\sigma} \inf_{u \in U(n)} \|u\pi(a)u^* - \sigma(a)\|,$$

where π, σ run through the set of irreducible representations of $PM_NC(X)P$ into $M_n(\mathbb{C})$.

For $F \subset M_r(I_k)$, we define $\omega(F) = \omega(\imath(F))$, where $\imath : M_r(I_k) \longrightarrow M_{rk}(C[0,1])$ is the canonical embedding and $\imath(F)$ is regarded as a finite subset of $M_{rk}(C[0,1])$. Let $A \in \mathcal{H}D$, a finite set $F \subset A$ is said to be WEAKLY APPROXIMATELY CONSTANT TO WITHIN ε if $\omega(F) < \varepsilon$.

Evidently, the following Lemma is true

Lemma 1.9. Let $A, B \in \mathcal{H}D$ and let $\phi : A \longrightarrow B$ be a homomorphism. For any finite set $F \subset A$, we have $\omega(\phi(F)) \leq \omega(F)$. Consequently if F is weakly approximately constant to within ε, then so is $\phi(F)$.

The following dilation Lemma is proved in [EG2].

Proposition 1.10. (see Lemma 2.13 of [EG2]) Let X and Y be any connected finite CW complexes. If $\phi : QM_k(C(X))Q \longrightarrow PM_n(C(Y))P$ is a unital homomorphism, then there are an $n_1 \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, a projection $P_1 \in M_{n_1}(C(Y))$, and a unital homomorphism $\widetilde{\phi} : M_k(C(X)) \longrightarrow P_1(M_{n_1}C(Y))P_1$ with the property that $QM_k(C(X))Q$ and $PM_n(C(Y))P$ can be identified as corner subalgebras of $M_k(C(X))$ and $P_1M_{n_1}(C(Y))P_1$ respectively (i,e Q and P can be considered to be subprojections of $\mathbf{1}_k$ and P_1 respectively) and, furthermore, in such a way ϕ is the restriction of $\widetilde{\phi}$.

If $\phi_t : QM_k(C(X))Q \longrightarrow PM_n(C(Y))P$ is a path of unital homomorphisms, then there are $P_1M_{n_1}(C(Y))P_1$ (as above) and a path of unital homomorphisms $\widetilde{\phi}_t : M_k(C(X)) \longrightarrow P_1M_{n_1}(C(Y))P_{n_1}$ such that $QM_k(C(X))Q$ and $PM_n(C(Y))P$ are corner subalgebras of $M_k(C(X))$ and $P_1M_{n_1}(C(Y))P_1$ respectively, and ϕ_t is the restriction of $\widetilde{\phi}_t$.

Lemma 1.11. Let X be a connected finite CW complex. If $\phi : QM_k(C(X))Q \longrightarrow M_l(I_d)$ is a unital homomorphism, then there is an $l_1 \geq l$ and a unital homomorphism $\widetilde{\phi} : M_k(C(X)) \longrightarrow M_{l_1}(I_d)$ with property that $QM_k(C(X))Q$ and $M_l(I_d)$ can be idenfied as corner subalgebras of $M_k(C(X))$ and $M_{l_1}(I_d)$ respectively (i.e. Q and $\mathbf{1}_{M_l(I_d)}$ can be consid-

ered to be subprojections of $\mathbf{1}_k$ and of $\mathbf{1}_{M_{l_1}(I_d)}$ respectively) and furthermore, in such a way ϕ is the restriction of $\widetilde{\phi}$.

If $\phi_t : QM_k(C(X))Q \longrightarrow M_l(I_d)$ $(0 \le t \le 1)$ is a path of unital homomorphisms, then there are $M_{l_1}(I_d)$ (as above) and a path of unital homomorphisms $\widetilde{\phi}_t : M_k(C(X)) \longrightarrow M_{l_1}(I_d)$ such that $QM_k(C(X))Q$ and $M_l(I_d)$ are corner subalgebras of $M_k(C(X))$ and $M_{l_1}(I_d)$ respectively and ϕ_t is the restriction of $\widetilde{\phi}_t$.

Proof. The proof of this Lemma is the same as the above proposition—Lemma 2.13 of [EG2]. See 2.12 of [EG2]. One only needs to notice that for any projection $P \in M_n(I_d) \otimes (C[0,1])$, we can identify $P(M_n(I_d) \otimes C[0,1])P$ with $M_{l_1}(I_d) \otimes C[0,1]$.

□

1.12. If $A \in \mathcal{HD}$ is a basic building block, then $K_0(A) = \mathbb{Z}$ or $\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z}$ (when $A = PM_n(T_{II,k})P$). So there is a natural map $rank$: $K_0(A) \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}$. If $A = PM_n(C(X))P$, then this map is induced by any irreducible representation of A as $(\pi_x)_* : K_0(A) \longrightarrow K_0(M_{rank(P)}(\mathbb{C})) = \mathbb{Z}$, where $\pi_x : PM_n(C(X))P \longrightarrow M_{rank(P)}(\mathbb{C})$ is a irreducible representation corresponding to $x \in X$. If $A = M_l(I_k)$, then this rank map is the map induced on K_0 by the evaluation map at 0 or 1. Let $\widetilde{K}_0(A)$ denote the kernel of rank map. Then $\widetilde{K}_0(A) = 0$ if A is $M_r(C(X))$ for X being one of $\{pt\}$, $[0,1]$, S^1 or $A = M_l(I_k)$ and $\widetilde{K}_0(A) = \mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z}$ if $A = PM_rC(T_{II,k})P$.

Let $A, B \in \mathcal{HD}$ be basic building blocks and let $\alpha \in KK(A,B)$. Then α induces a map α_* on K_0-group. The KK element α is called m-LARGE if $rank\ \alpha_*(\mathbf{1}_A) \ge m\ rank(\mathbf{1}_A)$, where $m > 0$ is a positive integer.

(a) A unital homomorphism $PM_\bullet(C(X))P \longrightarrow QM_\bullet(C(Y))Q$ is m-large if and only if

$$rank(Q)/rank(P) \ge m.$$

(b) A unital homomorphism $M_l(I_k) \longrightarrow QM_\bullet(C(Y))Q$ is m-large if and only if

$$\frac{rank(Q)}{l} \ge m.$$

(c) A unital homomorphism $M_{l_1}(I_{k_1}) \longrightarrow M_{l_2}(I_{k_2})$ is m-large if and only if

$$l_2/l_1 \ge m.$$

(d) A unital homomorphism $PM_\bullet(C(X))P \longrightarrow M_{l_2}(I_{k_2})$ is m-large if and only if

$$\frac{l_2}{rank(P)} \ge m.$$

1.13. Let $A \in \mathcal{HD}$, and $p, q \in A$ be two projections. If $[p] = [q] \in K_0(A)$, then there is a unitary $u \in A$ with $p = uqu^*$. (This fact is true for $PM_n(C(X))P$, where X is any 3-dimensional CW complex, see 3.26 of [EG2]. For $A = M_l(I_k)$, the proof of this fact is routine.

1.14. Let X be a compact metric space and $\psi : C(X) \longrightarrow PM_{k_1}(C(Y))P$ (with $rank(P) = k$) be a unital homomorphism. For any point $y \in Y$, there are k rank 1 mutually orthogonal projections $p_1, p_2, \cdots, p_k$ with $\sum_{i=1}^{k} p_i = P(y)$ and $\{x_1(y), x_2(y), \cdots, x_k(y)\} \subset X$ (may be repeat) such that

$$\psi(f)(y) = \sum_{i=1}^{k} f(x_i(y))p_i, \forall f \in C(X).$$

Let us denote the set $\{x_1(y), x_2(y), \cdots, x_k(y)\}$ counting multiplicities, by $Sp\psi_y$, we shall call $Sp\psi_y$ THE SPECTRUM OF ψ AT THE POINT y.

1.15. For any $f \in I_k \subset M_k(C[0,1]) = C([0,1], M_k(\mathbb{C}))$ as in [EGS,3.2], let function $\underline{f} : [0,1] \longrightarrow \mathbb{C} \sqcup M_k(\mathbb{C})$ (disjoint union) be defined by

$$\underline{f}(t) = \begin{cases} \lambda, & if\ t = 0\ and\ f(0) = \lambda \mathbf{1}_k. \\ \mu, & if\ t = 1\ and\ f(1) = \mu \mathbf{1}_k. \\ f(t), & if\ 0 < t < 1. \end{cases}$$

That is, $\underline{f}(t)$ is the value of irreducible representation of f corresponding to the point. Similarly for $f \in M_l(I_k)$, we can define $\underline{f} : [0,1] \longrightarrow M_l(\mathbb{C}) \sqcup M_{lk}(\mathbb{C})$, by

$$\underline{f}(t) = \begin{cases} a, & if\ t = 0\ and\ f(0) = a \otimes \mathbf{1}_k. \\ b, & if\ t = 1\ and\ f(1) = b \otimes \mathbf{1}_k. \\ f(t), & if\ 0 < t < 1. \end{cases}.$$

1.16. Suppose that $\phi : I_k \longrightarrow PM_n(C(Y))P$ be a unital homomorphism. For each $y \in Y$, there are $t_1, t_2, \cdots, t_m \in [0,1]$ and unitary $u \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$ such that

$$P(y) = u \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{1}_{rank(P)} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} u^*$$

and

$$(*)\quad \phi(f)(y) = u \begin{pmatrix} \underline{f}(t_1) & & & & \\ & \underline{f}(t_2) & & & \\ & & \ddots & & \\ & & & \underline{f}(t_m) & \\ & & & & \ddots \end{pmatrix} u^* \in P(y)M_n(\mathbb{C})P(y) \subset M_n(\mathbb{C})$$

for all $f \in I_k$.

1.17. Let ϕ be as defined by $(*)$ in 1.16 with $t_1, t_2, \cdots, t_m$ in the diagonal of the matrix. We define the set $Sp\phi_y$ to be the point $t_1, t_2, \cdots, t_m$ with possible fraction multiplicity. If $t_i = 0$ or 1, we will assume that the multiplicity of t_i is $\frac{1}{k}$; if $0 < t_i < 1$, we will assume that the multiplicity of t_i is 1. For example if we assume

$$t_1 = t_2 = t_3 = 0 < t_4 \leq t_5 \leq \cdots \leq t_{m-2} < 1 = t_{m-1} = t_m,$$

then $Sp\phi_y = \{0^{\sim\frac{1}{k}}, 0^{\sim\frac{1}{k}}, 0^{\sim\frac{1}{k}}, t_4, t_5, \cdots, t_{m-2}, 1^{\sim\frac{1}{k}}, 1^{\sim\frac{1}{k}}\}$ and can also be written as

$$Sp\phi_y = \{0^{\sim\frac{3}{k}}, t_4, t_5, \cdots, t_{m-2}, 1^{\sim\frac{2}{k}}\}.$$

Here we emphasize that, for $t \in (0,1)$, we do not allow the multiplicity of t to be non-integral. Also for 0 or 1, the multiplicity must be multiple of $\frac{1}{k}$ (other fraction numbers are not allowed).

Let $\psi : C[0,1] \longrightarrow PM_n(C(Y))$ be defined by the following composition

$$\psi :\ C[0,1] \hookrightarrow I_k \xrightarrow{\phi} PM_n(C(X))P,$$

where the first map is the canonical inclusion. Then we have $Sp\psi_y = \{Sp\phi_y\}^{\sim k}$—that is, for each element $t \in (0,1)$, its multiplicity in $Sp\psi_y$ is exactly k times of the multiplicity in ϕ_y.

1.18. Let $A = M_l(I_k)$. Then any point $t \in (0,1)$ corresponds to an irreducible representation π_t, defined by $\pi_t(f) = f(t)$. The representations π_0 and π_1 defined by

$$\pi_0 = f(0),$$

and

$$\pi_1 = f(1),$$

are no longer irreducible. We use $\underline{0}$ and $\underline{1}$ to denote the corresponding points for the irreducible representation. That is,

$$\pi_{\underline{0}}(f) = \underline{f}(0),$$

and

$$\pi_{\underline{1}}(f) = \underline{f}(1).$$

Or we can also write $\underline{f}(0) \triangleq f(\underline{0})$ and $\underline{f}(1) \triangleq f(\underline{1})$. Then the equation $(*)$ could be written as

$$\phi(f)(y) = u \begin{pmatrix} f(t_1) & & & & & \\ & f(t_2) & & & & \\ & & \ddots & & & \\ & & & f(t_m) & & \\ & & & & \ddots & \end{pmatrix} u^*,$$

where some of t_i may be $\underline{0}$ or $\underline{1}$. At this notation $f(0)$ is $\mathrm{diag}(\underbrace{f(\underline{0}), f(\underline{0}), \ddots, f(\underline{0})}_{k})$ up to unitary equivalence.

Under this notation, we can also write $0^{\sim\frac{1}{k}}$ as $\underline{0}$. Then the example of $Sp\phi_y$ in 1.17 is written as

$$Sp\phi_y = \{0^{\sim\frac{1}{k}}, 0^{\sim\frac{1}{k}}, 0^{\sim\frac{1}{k}}, t_4, t_5, \cdots, t_{m-2}, 1^{\sim\frac{1}{k}}, 1^{\sim\frac{1}{k}}\} = \{\underline{0}, \underline{0}, \underline{0}, t_4, t_5, \cdots, t_{m-2}, \underline{1}, \underline{1}\}.$$

1.19. For a homomorphism $\phi : A \longrightarrow M_n(I_k)$, where $A = I_k$ or $C(X)$, and for any $t \in [0,1]$, define $Sp\phi_t = Sp\psi_t$, where ψ is defined by the composition

$$\psi : A \xrightarrow{\phi} M_n(I_l) \to M_{nl}(C[0,1]).$$

Also $Sp\phi_{\underline{0}} = Sp\pi_0 \circ \phi$. Hence, $Sp\phi_0 = \{Sp\phi_{\underline{0}}\}^{\sim k}$.

1.20. Let $\phi : M_n(A) \longrightarrow B$ be a unital homomorphism. It is well known (see 1.34 and 2.6 of [EG2]) that there is an identification of B with $(\phi(e_{11})B\phi(e_{11})) \otimes M_n(\mathbb{C})$ such that

$$\phi = \phi_1 \otimes id_n : M_n(A) = A \otimes M_n(\mathbb{C}) \longrightarrow (\phi(e_{11})B\phi(e_{11})) \otimes M_n(\mathbb{C}) = B,$$

where e_{11} is the matrix unit of upper left corner of $M_n(A)$ and $\phi_1 = \phi|_{e_{11}M_n(A)e_{11}} : A \longrightarrow \phi(e_{11})B\phi(e_{11})$.

If we further assume that $A = I_k$ or $C(X)$ (with X being a connected CW complex) and B is either $QM_n(C(Y))Q$ or $M_l(I_{k_1})$, then for any $y \in SpB$, define $Sp\phi_y \triangleq Sp(\phi_1)_y$. Note that, in the above, we use the standard notation that if $B = PM_m(C(Y))P$ then $SpB = Y$; and if $B = M_l(I_k)$, then $Sp(B) = [0,1]$.

If $\phi : PM_n(C(X))P \longrightarrow B$ is a homomorphism with B being either $QM_n(C(Y))Q$ or $M_l(I_k)$, then by Proposition 1.10 and Lemma 1.11, there is a dilation $\widetilde{\phi}$ defined on $M_n(C(X))$.

We define

$$Sp\phi_y \triangleq Sp\widetilde{\phi}_y.$$

1.21. Let A and B both be of form $PM_n(C(X))P$ (with X path connected) and $\phi : A \longrightarrow B$ be a unital homomorphism, we define $SPV(\phi)$ (SPECTRUM VARIATION of ϕ) by

$$SPV(\phi) \triangleq \sup_{y_1,y_2 \in Sp(B)} dist(Sp\phi_{y_1}, Sp\phi_{y_2}),$$

where for two sets $\{x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_n\}$, $\{x'_1, x'_2, \cdots, x'_n\} \subset Sp(A)$, counting multiplicity, the distance

$$dist(\{x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_n\}, \{x'_1, x'_2, \cdots, x'_n\})$$

is defined by $\min_\sigma \max(dist(x_i, x'_{\sigma(i)})$ with σ running over all permutations of $\{1, 2, \cdots, n\}$.

1.22. Let $A = M_l(I_k)$, $B = PM_n(C(X))P$ with X connected, and $\phi : A \longrightarrow B$ be a unital homomorphism. Then there are two nonnegative integers $k_0, k_1 \leq k-1$ such that for any $y \in X$

$$Sp\phi_y = \{0^{\sim\frac{k_0}{k}}, 1^{\sim\frac{k_1}{k}}, t_1, t_2, \cdots, t_m\},$$

where $m = (\frac{rank(P)}{l} - k_0 - k_1) \cdot \frac{1}{k}$, and $\{t_1, t_2, \cdots, t_m\} \subset [0, 1]$ are m points depending on y (some of them may be 0 or 1). If $y, y' \in X$, with

$$Sp\phi_y = \{0^{\sim\frac{k_0}{k}}, 1^{\sim\frac{k_1}{k}}, t_1, t_2, \cdots, t_m\},$$

$$Sp\phi_{y'} = \{0^{\sim\frac{k_0}{k}}, 1^{\sim\frac{k_1}{k}}, t'_1, t'_2, \cdots, t'_m\},$$

then

$$dist(Sp\phi_y, Sp\phi_{y'}) = \min_\sigma \max_i (dist(t_i, t'_{\sigma(i)})),$$

where σ runs over all permutations of $\{1, 2, \cdots, m\}$. And we define

$$SPV(\phi) = \sup_{y,y' \in X} dist(Sp\phi_y, Sp\phi_{y'}).$$

1.23. Let A be of form $PM_n(C(X))P$ or $M_l(I_k)$, $B = M_{l_1}(I_{k_1})$, and $\phi : A \longrightarrow B$ be a unital homomorphism. Then $SPV(\phi)$ is defined to be $SPV(\phi')$, where $\phi' = \imath \circ \phi$ as

$$A \xrightarrow{\phi} M_{l_1}(I_{k_1}) \xrightarrow{\imath} M_{l_1 k_1}(C[0, 1]).$$

1.24. Let A and B be either of form $PM_n(C(X))P$ (with X path connected) or of form $M_l(I_k)$. Let $\phi : A \longrightarrow B$ be a unital homomorphism, we say that ϕ has property $sdp(\eta, \delta)$

(SPECTRAL DISTRIBUTION PROPERTY WITH RESPECT TO η AND δ) if for any η-ball

$$B_\eta(x) = \{x' \in Sp(A), dist(x', x) < \eta)\} \subset Sp(A)$$

and any point $y \in Sp(B)$,

$$\sharp(Sp\phi_y \cap B_\eta(x)) \geq \delta\sharp Sp\phi_y,$$

counting multiplicity. If ϕ is not unital, we say that ϕ has $sdp(\eta, \delta)$ if the corresponding unital homomorphism $\phi : A \longrightarrow \phi(\mathbf{1}_A)B\phi(\mathbf{1}_A)$ has property $sdp(\eta, \delta)$.

1.25. Let $A = M_n(C(X))$ or $M_l(I_k)$ and let $F \subset A$ be a finite subset. Let $\varepsilon > 0$ and $\eta > 0$. We say that F is (ε, η) UNIFORMLY CONTINUOUS if for any pair of points $x_1, x_2 \in X$ (or $x_1, x_2 \in [0, 1]$ for $A = M_l(I_k)$), $dist(x_1, x_2) < \eta$, implies $\|f(x_1) - f(x_2)\| < \varepsilon, \forall f \in F$.

For $F \subset M_n(C(X))$ (or $F \subset M_l(I_k)$), each $f \in F$ corresponds to a matrix $(f_{ij})_{n\times n}$ (or $(f_{ij})_{lk\times lk}$ if $F \subset M_l(I_k)$). We define $\widetilde{F} \subset C(X)$ (or $\widetilde{F} \subset C[0, 1]$, if $F \subset M_l(I_k)$) to be the collection of all $\{f_{ij}\}$ for all $f \in F$. Then $\widetilde{F}$ is $(\frac{\varepsilon}{n}, \eta)$ uniformly continuous (or $(\frac{\varepsilon}{lk}, \eta)$ uniformly continuous) implies F is (ε, η) uniformly continuous.

For each $F \subset PM_n(C(X))P$, F is called (ε, η) uniformly continuous if it is (ε, η) uniformly continuous regarded as a subset $F \subset M_n(C(X))$.

Lemma 1.26. Let $F \subset A(\in \mathcal{HD})$ be any finite set and $\varepsilon > 0$. There is an $\eta > 0$ such that if $\phi : A \longrightarrow B(\in \mathcal{HD})$ satisfies $SPV(\phi) < \eta$, then $\phi(F)$ is weakly approximately constant to within ε—that is $\omega(\phi(F)) < \varepsilon$.

Proof. For $\varepsilon > 0$, by uniform continuity of continuous function, there is an $\eta > 0$ such that if $x_1, x_2 \in Sp(A)$ (but x_1, or $x_2 \neq \underline{0}$, or $\underline{1}$) satisfy $dist(x_1, x_2) < \eta$, then there is a unitary u such that

$$\|\pi_{x_1}(f) - u\pi_{x_2}(f)u^*\| < \varepsilon \quad \forall f \in F,$$

where π_{x_1}, π_{x_2} are corresponding to irreducible representation. For the case of $A = M_l(I_k)$, we will allow x_1 or x_2 to be 0 or 1, for which the representation π_{x_1} or π_{x_2} is no longer irreducible. It is routine to check that the lemma holds for such η.

□

1.27. Let X be a finite CW complex. Set $F^kX = Hom(C(X), M_k(\mathbb{C}))_1$. The space F^kX is compact and metrizable. We can endow the space F^kX with a fixed metric d as below. Choose a finite set $\{f_i\}_{i=1}^n \subset C(X)$ which generates $C(X)$ as a C^*-algebra. For any

$\phi, \psi \in F^k X$ which, by definition, are unital homomorphisms from $C(X)$ to $M_k(\mathbb{C})$, define

$$d(\phi, \psi) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \|\phi(f_i) - \psi(f_i)\|.$$

1.28. Set $P^n X = \underbrace{X \times X \times \cdots \times X}_{n} / \sim$, where the equivalence relation $\sim$ is defined by

$$(x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_n) \sim (x'_1, x'_2, \cdots, x'_n)$$

if there is a permutation σ of $\{1, 2, \cdots, n\}$ such that $x_i = x'_{\sigma(i)}$ for each $1 \leq i \leq n$. A metric d on X can be extended to a metric on $P^n X$ by

$$d([x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_n], [x'_1, x'_2, \cdots, x'_n]) = \min_{\sigma} \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} d(x_i, x'_{\sigma(i)}),$$

where σ is taken from the set of all permutations, and $[x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_n]$ denote the equivalence class of $(x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_k)$ in $P^k X$.

1.29. Let X be a metric space with metric d. Two k-tuple of (possible repeating) points $\{x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_n\} \subset X$ and $\{x'_1, x'_2, \cdots, x'_n\} \subset X$ are said to BE PAIRED WITHIN η if there is a permutation σ such that

$$d(x_i, x'_{\sigma(i)}) < \eta, \quad i = 1, 2, \cdots, k$$

This is equivalent to the following. If one regards $[x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_n]$ and $[x'_1, x'_2, \cdots, x'_n]$ as points in $P^n X$, then

$$d([x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_n], [x'_1, x'_2, \cdots, x'_n]) < \eta.$$

1.30 For $X = [0, 1]$, let $P^{(n,k)} X$, where $n, k \in \mathbb{Z}_+ \backslash \{0\}$, denote the set of $\frac{n}{k}$ elements from X, in which only 0 or 1 may appear fractional times. That is each element in X is of the form

$$\{0^{\sim \frac{n_0}{k}}, t_1, t_2, \cdots, t_m, 1^{\sim \frac{n_1}{k}}\} \qquad (*)$$

with $0 < t_1 \leq t_2 \leq \cdots \leq t_m < 1$ and $\frac{n_0}{k} + m + \frac{n_1}{k} = \frac{n}{k}$.
An element in $P^{(n,k)} X$ can always be written as

$$\{0^{\sim \frac{k_0}{k}}, t_1, t_2, \cdots, t_i, 1^{\sim \frac{k_1}{k}}\} \qquad (**)$$

with $0 \leq k_0 < k$, $0 \leq k_1 < k$, $0 \leq t_1 \leq t_2 \leq \cdots \leq t_i \leq 1$ and $\frac{k_0}{k} + i + \frac{k_1}{k} = \frac{n}{k}$. (Here t_i could be 0 or 1.) In the above two representations $(*)$ and $(**)$, we know $k_0 \equiv n_0 (mod\ k)$, $k_1 \equiv n_1 (mod\ k)$. Let

$$y = [0^{\sim \frac{k_0}{k}}, t_1, t_2, \cdots, t_i, 1^{\sim \frac{k_1}{k}}] \in P^{(n,k)} X$$

and

$$y' = [0^{\sim\frac{k_0'}{k}}, t_1', t_2', \cdots, t_i', 1^{\sim\frac{k_1'}{k}}] \in P^{(n,k)}X,$$

with $k_0, k_1, k_0', k_1' \in \{0, 1, \cdots, k-1\}$.

We define $dist(y, y')$ as following: if $k_0 \neq k_0'$ or $k_1 \neq k_1'$, then $dist(y, y') = 1$; if $k_0 = k_0'$ and $k_1 = k_1'$ (consequently $i = i'$), then

$$dist(y, y') = \max_{1 \leq j \leq i} |t_j - t_j'|,$$

as we order the $\{t_j\}$ $\{t_j'\}$ as $t_1 \leq t_2 \leq \cdots \leq t_i$ and $t_1' \leq t_2' \leq \cdots \leq t_i'$.

Note that $P^{(n,1)}X = P^nX$ with same metric. Let $\phi, \varphi : I_k \longrightarrow M_n(\mathbb{C})$ be two unital homomorphisms. Then $Sp\phi$ and $Sp\psi$ defines two elements in $P^{(n,k)}[0,1]$. We say that $Sp\phi$ and $Sp\psi$ can be paired within η, if $dist(Sp\phi, Sp\psi) < \eta$.

Note that if $dist(Sp\phi, Sp\psi) < 1$, then $KK(\phi) = KK(\psi)$.

1.31. Let $A = PM_k(C(X))P$ or $M_l(I_k)$ and $X_1 \subset Sp(A)$ be a closed subset—that is X_1 is a closed subset of X or of $[0,1]$. If $A = M_l(I_k)$, we define $A|_{X_1}$ to be the quotient algebra A/I, where

$$I = \{f \in A, f|_{X_1} = 0\}.$$

Evidently $Sp(A|_{X_1}) = X_1$. If $B = QM_k(C(Y))Q$ or $M_{l_1}(I_{k_1})$, $\phi : A \longrightarrow B$ is a homomorphism, and $Y_1 \subset Sp(B)(= Y \ or \ [0,1])$ is a closed subset, then we use $\phi|_{Y_1}$ to denote the composition.

$$\phi|_{Y_1} : A \xrightarrow{\phi} B \to B|_{Y_1}.$$

If $Sp(\phi|_{Y_1}) \subset X_1 \cup X_2 \cup \cdots \cup X_k$, where $X_1, X_2, \cdots, X_k$ are mutually disjoint closed subsets of X, then the homomorphism $\phi|_{Y_1}$ factors as

$$A \longrightarrow A|_{X_1 \cup X_2 \cup \cdots \cup X_n} = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{n} A|_{X_i} \longrightarrow B|_{Y_1}.$$

We will use $\phi|_{Y_1}^{X_i}$ to denote the part of $\phi|_{Y_1}$ corresponding to the map $A|_{X_i} \longrightarrow B|_{Y_1}$. Hence $\phi|_{Y_1} = \bigoplus_i \phi|_{Y_1}^{X_i}$.

1.32. In 1.31, if $Y_1 = \{y\} \subset Y$, then we also denote $\phi|_{\{y\}}$ by $\phi|_y$. That is we do not differentiate the point y and set $\{y\}$ of single point y. If $\phi : A \longrightarrow M_l(I_k)$, then for any $t \in [0,1]$, $\phi|_t$ is the homomorphism from A to $M_{lk}(\mathbb{C})$. But $\phi|_0$ and $\phi|_1$ are homomorphisms to the subalgebra $M_l(\mathbb{C}) \otimes \mathbf{1}_k$. We will use $\phi|_{\underline{0}}$ and $\phi|_{\underline{1}}$ to denote the corresponding homomorphisms

to $M_l(\mathbb{C})$ (rather than $M_{lk}(\mathbb{C})$). That is, if $\pi_{\underline{0}}$ and $\pi_{\underline{1}}$ are the irreducible representations corresponding to the spectra 0 and 1, then

$$\phi|_{\underline{0}} = \pi_{\underline{0}} \circ \phi : A \longrightarrow M_l(\mathbb{C}),$$

$$\phi|_{\underline{1}} = \pi_{\underline{1}} \circ \phi : A \longrightarrow M_l(\mathbb{C}).$$

We still reserve the notation π_0 and π_1, as the evaluation $\pi_0(f) = f(0)$ and $\pi_1(f) = f(1)$. Note as rotation in 1.15, $\pi_{\underline{0}}(f) = \underline{f}(0) = f(\underline{0})$ and $\pi_{\underline{1}}(f) = \underline{f}(1) = f(\underline{1})$.

From now on, we will not use the notation $\underline{f}$ any more—since $\underline{f}(0)$ and $\underline{f}(1)$ are already written as $f(\underline{0})$ and $f(\underline{1})$; and for $t \in (0,1)$, $\underline{f}(t)$ is written as $f(t)$.

1.33. Two inductive limit systems (A_n, ϕ_{nm}) and (B_n, ψ_{nm}) are said to be shape equivalent if there are subsequences $l_1 < l_2 < l_3 < \cdots$ and $k_1 < k_2 < k_3 < \cdots$ and homomorphisms

$$\alpha_n : A_{l_n} \longrightarrow B_{k_n}$$

and

$$\beta_n : B_{k_n} \longrightarrow A_{l_{n+1}}$$

such that

$$\beta_n \circ \alpha_n \sim_h \phi_{l_n, l_{n+1}},$$

and

$$\alpha_{n+1} \circ \beta_n \sim_h \psi_{k_n, k_{n+1}}.$$

2. The invariant

2.1. Let A be a C^*-algebra. $K_0(A)^+ \subset K_0(A)$ is defined to be the semigroup of $K_0(A)$ generated by $[p] \in K_0(A)$, where $p \in M_\infty(A)$ is a projection. For C^*-algebra considered in this paper, for example, $A \in \mathcal{HD}$, or A is $\mathcal{AHD}$ algebra, or $A = B \otimes C(T_{II,k} \times S^1)$, where B is $\mathcal{HD}$ or $\mathcal{AHD}$ algebra, we will always have

$$K_0(A)^+ \bigcap (-K_0(A)^+) = \{0\}$$

$$K_0(A)^+ - K_0(A)^+ = K_0(A).$$

Therefore $(K_0(A), K_0(A)^+)$ is an ordered group. Also define $\Sigma A \subset K_0(A)^+$ to be

$$\Sigma A = \{[p] \in K_0(A)^+, p \textit{ is a projection in } A\}.$$

Then $(K_0(A), K_0(A)^+, \Sigma A)$ is a scaled ordered group.

2.2. Let $\underline{K}(A) = K_*(A) \bigoplus \bigoplus_{k=2}^{+\infty} K_*(A, \mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z})$ be as in [DG]. Let $\wedge$ be the Bockstein operation on $\underline{K}(A)$(see 4.1 of [DG]). It is well known that

$$K_*(A, Z \oplus \mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z}) = K_0(A \otimes C(W_k \times S^1)),$$

where $W_k = T_{II,k}$. As in [DG], let

$$K_*(A, Z \oplus \mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z})^+ = K_0(A \otimes C(W_k \times S^1)^+)$$

and let $\underline{K}(A)^+$ be the semigroup generated by $\{K_*(A, \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z})^+, k = 2, 3, \cdots\}$.

2.3. Let $Hom_\wedge(\underline{K}(A), \underline{K}(B))$ be the set of homomorphisms between $\underline{K}(A)$ and $\underline{K}(B)$ compatible with Bockstein operation $\wedge$. Associativity of Kasparov KK-product gives a surjective map (see [DG]).

$$\Gamma : KK(A, B) \to Hom_\wedge(\underline{K}(A), \underline{K}(B)).$$

Follow Rördam(see [R]), we denote $KL(A, B) \triangleq KK(A, B)/ker\ \Gamma$. The triple $(\underline{K}(A), \underline{K}(A)^+, \Sigma A)$ is part of our invariant. For two C^*-algebras A, B by a"homomorphism" α from $(\underline{K}(A), \underline{K}(A)^+, \Sigma A)$ to $(\underline{K}(B), \underline{K}(B)^+, \Sigma B)$, we mean a system of maps:

$$\alpha_k^i : K_i(A, \mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z}) \longrightarrow K_i(B, \mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z}), i = 0, 1, k = 0, 2, 3, \cdots$$

which are compatible with Bechstein operations and $\alpha = \oplus_{k,i}\alpha_k^i$ satisfies $\alpha(\underline{K}(A)^+ \subset \underline{K}(B)^+$. And finally $\alpha_0^0(\Sigma A) \subset \Sigma B$.

2.4. For a unital C^*-algebra A, let TA denote the space of tracial states of A, i.e., $\tau \in TA$ if and only if τ is a positive linear map from A to $\mathbb{C}$ with $\tau(xy) = \tau(yx)$, and $\tau(\mathbf{1}) = 1$. $AffTA$ is the collection of all affine maps from TA to $\mathbb{R}$. Let $(AffTA)_+$ be the subset of $AffTA$ consisting of all nonnegative affine functions. An element $\mathbf{1} \in AffTA$, defined by $\mathbf{1}(\tau) = 1, \forall \tau \in TA$ is called the unit of $AffTA$. ($AffTA$, $(AffTA)_+$, $\mathbf{1}$) forms a scaled ordered real Banach space. Any unital homomorphism $\phi : A \longrightarrow B$ induces a continuous affine map $T\phi : TB \longrightarrow TA$, in turn $T\phi$ induces a unique positive linear map $AffT\phi : AffTA \longrightarrow AffTB$.

If $\phi : A \longrightarrow B$ is not unital, we still have the positive linear map

$$AffT\phi : AffTA \longrightarrow AffTB$$

but it will not preserve the unit 1. It has property $AffT\phi(\mathbf{1}_{AffTA}) \leq \mathbf{1}_{AffTB}$.

2.5. If $\alpha : (\underline{K}(A), \underline{K}(A)^+, \Sigma A) \longrightarrow (\underline{K}(B), \underline{K}(B)^+, \Sigma B)$ is a homomorphism as in 2.3, then for each projection $p \in A$, there is a projection $q \in B$ such that $\alpha([p]) = [q]$.

Notice that for all C^*-algebras A considered in this paper—$\mathcal{HD}$ class or $\mathcal{AHD}$ algebra, the following statement is true: if $[p_1] = [p_2] \in K_0(A)$, then there is a unitary $u \in A$ with $up_1u^* = p_2$. Therefore both $AffT(pAp)$ and $AffT(qBq)$ depend only on the classes $[p] \in K_0(A)$ and $[q] \in K_0(B)$. Furthermore if $[p_1] = [p_2]$, then the identification $AffT(p_1Ap_1)$ and $AffT(p_2Ap_2)$ via the unitary equivalence $up_1u^* = p_2$ is canonical—that is, it does not depend on the choice of unitary u. For classes $[p] \in \Sigma A (\subset K_0(A)^+ \subset K_0(A))$, we will also take $AffT(pAp)$ as a part of our invariant. For two classes $[p] \in \Sigma A$ and $[q] \in \Sigma B$, with $\alpha([p]) = [q]$, we will consider systems of unital positive linear maps

$$\xi^{p,q} : AffT(pAp) \longrightarrow AffT(qBq).$$

Such system of maps is said to be compatible if for any $p_1 \le p_2$ with $\alpha([p_1]) = [q_1]$, $\alpha([p_2]) = [q_2]$, and $q_1 \le q_2$, the following diagram commutes

$$\begin{array}{ccc} AffT(p_1Ap_1) & \xrightarrow{\xi^{p_1,q_1}} & AffT(q_1Bq_1) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ AffT(p_2Ap_2) & \xrightarrow{\xi^{p_2,q_2}} & AffT(q_2Bq_2), \end{array} \tag{2.A}$$

where the verticle maps are induced by the inclusions $p_1Ap_1 \longrightarrow p_2Ap_2$ and $q_1Bq_1 \longrightarrow q_2Bq_2$. (See [Ji-Jiang] and [Stev].)

2.6. In this paper, we will denote

$$(\underline{K}(A), \underline{K}(A)^+, \Sigma A, \{AffT(pAp)\}_{[p]\in\Sigma A})$$

by $Inv^0(A)$. By a map between the invariant $Inv^0(A)$ and $Inv^0(B)$, we mean a map

$$\alpha : (\underline{K}(A), \underline{K}(A)^+, \Sigma A) \longrightarrow (\underline{K}(B), \underline{K}(B)^+, \Sigma B)$$

as in 2.3, and for each pair $[p] \in \Sigma A$, $[q] \in \Sigma B$ with $\alpha[p] = [q]$, there is an associate unital positive linear map

$$\xi^{p,q} : AffT(pAp) \longrightarrow AffT(qBq)$$

which are compatible in the sense of 2.5.

2.7. Let $[p] \in \Sigma A$ represented by $p \in A$. Let $\alpha[p] = [q]$ for $q \in K_0(B)$. Then α induce a map $\alpha : K_0(pAp) \longrightarrow K_0(qBq)$. Also there is a natural map $\rho : K_0(pAp) \longrightarrow AffT(pAp)$ defined by $\rho([p_1])(\tau) = \tau(p_1)$, which satisfies $\rho(K_0(pAp)^+) \subseteq AffT(pAp)_+$ and $\rho([p]) = \mathbf{1} \in AffT(pAp)$. By 1.20 of [Ji-Jiang], the compatibility in 2.5 (diagram (2.A) in 2.5) implies

that the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} K_0(pAp) & \xrightarrow{\rho} & AffT(pAp) \\ {\scriptstyle\alpha}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle\xi^{p,q}} \\ K_0(qBq) & \xrightarrow{\rho} & AffT(qBq) \end{array} \qquad (2.B)$$

For $p = \mathbf{1}_A$, this compatibility (the commutativity of diagram $(2.B)$) is included as a part of Elliott invariant for unital simple C^*-algebras. But this information are contained in our invariant $Inv^0(A)$, as pointed out in [Ji-Jiang].

2.8. Let A, B be unital C^*-algebras and $\{p_i\}_{i=1}^n \subset B$ be mutually orthogonal projections with $\Sigma p_i = \mathbf{1}_B$. Suppose that for each $\tau \in TB$, $\tau(p_i) \neq 0$ for all i. Let $\xi_i : AffTA \longrightarrow AffTp_iBp_i$ be unital positive linear maps, then we can define $\xi : AffTA \longrightarrow AffTB$ by

$$\xi(f)(\tau) = \Sigma\tau(p_i)\xi_i(f)(\frac{\tau|_{p_iBp_i}}{\tau(p_i)}).$$

Note that $\frac{\tau|_{p_iBp_i}}{\tau(p_i)} \in T(p_iBp_i)$, so $\xi_i(f)$ can evaluate at it. Without the condition $\tau(p_i) \neq 0$ for all i, we can still define

$$\xi(f)(\tau) = \sum_{\tau(p_i)\neq 0} \tau(p_i)\xi_i(f)(\frac{\tau|_{p_iBp_i}}{\tau(p_i)}).$$

We denote such ξ as $\oplus\xi_i$.

If $\phi_i : A \longrightarrow p_iBp_i$ are unital homomorphisms and $\phi = \oplus\phi_i : A \longrightarrow B$, then

$$AffT\phi(f)(\tau) = \Sigma\tau(p_i)AffT\phi_i(f)(\frac{\tau|_{p_iBp_i}}{\tau(p_i)}),$$

or $AffT\phi = \oplus AffT\phi_i$. In particular, if $\|AffT\phi_i(f) - \xi_i(f)\| < \varepsilon$ for all i, then

$$\|AffT\phi(f) - \xi(f)\| < \varepsilon.$$

2.9. Now, we will introduce the new ingredient of our invariant, which is a simplified version of $U(pAp)/\overline{DU(pAp)}$ for any $[p] \in \Sigma A$, where $DU(pAp)$ is commutator subgroup of $U(pAp)$. Some notations and prelimary results are quoted from [Thm 1-2] and [NT].

2.10. Let A be a unital C^*-algebra. Let $U(A)$ denote the group of unitaries of A and $U_0(A)$, the connected component of $\mathbf{1}_A$ in $U(A)$. Let $DU(A)$ and $DU_0(A)$ denote commutator subgroups of $U(A)$ and $U_0(A)$, respectively. (Recall that the commutator subgroups of a group G is the subgroup generated by all elements of the form $aba^{-1}b^{-1}$, where $a, b \in G$).

One can introduce the following metric D_A on $U(A)/\overline{DU(A)}$ (see [NT,§3]). For $u, v \in U(A)/\overline{DU(A)}$

$$D_A(u,v) = inf\{\|uv^* - c\|; c \in \overline{DU(A)}\},$$

where, on the right hand side of the equation, we use u, v to denote any elements in $U(A)$, which represent the elements $u, v \in U(A)/\overline{DU(A)}$.

Remark 2.11. Obviously, $D_A(u,v) \leq 2$. Also if $u, v \in U(A)/\overline{DU(A)}$ define two different elements in $K_1(A)$, then $D_A(u,v) = 2$. (This fact follows from the fact that $\|u - v\| < 2$ implies $uv^* \in U_0(A)$.)

2.12. Let A be a unital C^*-algebra. Let $AffTA$ be defined as in 2.4, and denote by

$$\rho : K_0(A) \longrightarrow AffTA$$

the canonical map (see 2.7). The metric d_A on $AffTA/\overline{\rho K_0(A)}$ is defined as follows (see [NT,§3]).

Let d' denote the quotient metric on $AffTA/\overline{\rho K_0(A)}$, i.e, for $f, g \in AffTA/\overline{\rho K_0(A)}$

$$d'(f,g) = inf\{\|f - g - h\|, h \in \overline{\rho K_0(A)}\}.$$

Define d_A by

$$d_A(f,g) = \begin{cases} 2, & if\ d'(f,g) \geq \frac{1}{2} \\ |e^{2\pi i d'(f,g)} - 1|, & if\ d'(f,g) < \frac{1}{2} \end{cases}$$

Obviously, $d_A(f,g) \leq 2\pi d'(f,g)$.

2.13. For $A = PM_k(C(X))P$, define $SU(A)$ to be the set of unitaries $u \in PM_k(C(X))P$ such that for each $x \in X$, $u(x) \in P(x)M_k(\mathbb{C})P(x) \cong M_{rank(P)}(\mathbb{C})$ has determinant 1 (note that the determinant of $u(x)$ does not depend on the identification of $P(x)M_k(\mathbb{C})P(x) \cong M_{rank(P)}(\mathbb{C})$). For $A = M_l(I_k)$, by $u \in SU(A)$ we mean that $u \in SU(M_{lk}C[0,1])$, where we consider A to be a subalgebra of $M_{lk}(C[0,1])$. For all basic building blocks except $M_l(I_k)$, we have $SU(A) = \overline{DU(A)}$. But for $A = M_l(I_k)$, this is not true. (see 2.14 and 2.15 below)

2.14. Let $A = I_k$. Then $K_1(A) = \mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z}$, which is generated by $[u]$ for the following unitary

$$u = \begin{pmatrix} e^{2\pi i\frac{k-1}{k}t} & & & \\ & e^{2\pi i(\frac{-t}{k})} & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & & e^{2\pi i(\frac{-t}{k})} \end{pmatrix} \in I_k.$$

(Note that $u(0) = \mathbf{1}_k$, $u(1) = e^{2\pi i(\frac{-1}{k})} \cdot \mathbf{1}_k$.)

Note that the above u is in $SU(A)$, but not in $U_0(A)$ and therefore not in $DU(A)$.

2.15. By [Thm1] (or [GLN]) for $u \in M_l(I_k)$ to be in $\overline{DU(A)}$ if and only if for any irreducible representation $\pi : M_l(I_k) \longrightarrow B(H)$ ($\dim H < +\infty$), $\det(\pi(u)) = 1$. For the above unitary u, and irreducible representation π corresponding to 1, $\pi(u) = e^{2\pi i(\frac{-1}{k})}$ whose determinant is $e^{2\pi i(\frac{-1}{k})}$ which is not 1. By [Thm2, 6.1] one knows that if $A = I_k$, then

$$U_0(A) \cap SU(A) = \{e^{2\pi i(\frac{j}{k})}, j = 0, 1, \cdots, k-1\} \cdot \overline{DU(A)}.$$

If $A = M_l(I_k)$, then for any $j \in \mathbb{Z}$

$$e^{2\pi i(\frac{j}{l})} \cdot \mathbf{1}_A \in \overline{DU(A)}.$$

Consequently,

$$U_0(A) \cap SU(A) = \{e^{2\pi i(\frac{j}{kl})}, j = 0, 1, \cdots, kl-1\} \cdot \overline{DU(A)}.$$

2.16. Let $\mathbb{T} = \{z \in \mathbb{C}, |z| = 1\}$. Then for any $A \in \mathcal{HD}$, $\mathbb{T} \cdot \overline{DU(A)} \subset U_0(A)$. From 2.14 and 2.15, we have either $SU(A) = \overline{DU(A)}$ or $U_0(A) \cap SU(A) \subset \mathbb{T} \cdot \overline{DU(A)}$.

Lemma 2.17. Let $A = PM_k(C(X))P \in \mathcal{HD}$. For any u, v, if $uv^* \in \mathbb{T} \cdot \overline{DU(A)}$ (in particular if both $u, v \in \mathbb{T} \cdot \overline{DU(A)}$), then

$$D_A(u, v) \leq \frac{2\pi}{rank(P)}.$$

Let $A = M_l(I_k)$. For any u, v with $uv^* \in \mathbb{T} \cdot \overline{DU(A)}$,

$$D_A(u, v) \leq \frac{2\pi}{l}.$$

Proof. There is $\omega \in \overline{DU(A)}$ such that $uv^* = \lambda\omega$ for some $\lambda \in \mathbb{T}$. Choose $\lambda_0 = e^{2\pi i \frac{j}{rank(P)}}$ such that $|\lambda - \lambda_0| < \frac{2\pi}{rank(P)}$. And $\lambda_0 \cdot P \in PM_k(C(X))P$ has determinant 1 everywhere and is in $\overline{DU(A)}$. And so does $\lambda_0\omega$. Also we have

$$|uv^* - \lambda_0\omega| < \frac{2\pi}{rank(P)}.$$

The case $A = M_l(I_k)$ is similar.

□

2.18. Let $path(U(A))$ denote the set of piecewise smooth paths $\xi : [0,1] \to U(A)$. Recall that de la Harp-Skandalis determinant (see [dHS]) $\Delta : path(U(A)) \to AffTA$ is defined by

$$\Delta(\xi)(\tau) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_0^1 \tau(\frac{d\xi}{dt} \cdot \xi^*)dt.$$

It is proved in [dHS](see also [Thm1]) that Δ induces a map

$$\Delta^\circ : \pi_1(U_0(A)) \to AffTA.$$

For any two paths ξ_1, ξ_2 starting at $\xi_1(0) = \xi_2(0) = 1 \in A$ and ending at the same unitary $u = \xi_1(1) = \xi_2(1)$, we have that

$$\Delta(\xi_1) - \Delta(\xi_2) = \Delta(\xi_1 \cdot \xi_2^*) \subset \Delta^\circ(\pi_1(U_0(A))).$$

Consequently Δ induces a map

$$\overline{\Delta} : U_0(A) \to AffTA/\Delta^\circ(\pi_1(U_0(A))). \qquad \text{(See [Thm1, section 3].)}$$

Passing to matrix over A, we have a map

$$\overline{\Delta}_n : U_0(M_n(A)) \to AffTA/\Delta_n^\circ(\pi_1 U_0^n(A)).$$

Recall that the Bott isomorphism

$$b : K_0(A) \to K_1(SA)$$

is given by the following: for any $x \in K_0(A)$ represented by a projection $p \in M_n(A)$; we have

$$b(x) = [e^{2\pi it}p + (\mathbf{1}_n - p)] \in K_1(SA).$$

If $\xi(t) = e^{2\pi it}p + (\mathbf{1}_n - p)$, then

$$(\Delta^\circ\xi)(\tau) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_0^1 \tau((2\pi i e^{2\pi it}p) \cdot (e^{-2\pi it}p + (1-p)))dt = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_0^1 \tau(2\pi ip) = \tau(p).$$

Since bott map is an isomorphism, we know that each loop in $\pi_1(U_0(A))$ is homotopic to a product of loops of above form $\xi(t)$. Consequently $\Delta^\circ\pi_1(U_0(M_n(A))) \subset \rho_A K_0(A)$. Hence $\overline{\Delta}_n$ can be regarded as a map

$$\overline{\Delta}_n : U_0(M_n(A)) \to AffTA/\overline{\rho_A K_0(A)}$$

Proposition 2.19. For $A \in \mathcal{HD}$ or $A \in \mathcal{AHD}$, $\overline{DU_0(A)} = \overline{DU(A)}$.

Proof. Let the determinant function

$$\overline{\Delta}_n : U_0(M_n(A)) \longrightarrow AffTA/\overline{\Delta_n^0(\pi_1 U_0(M_n(A)))}$$

be defined in §3 of [Thm1] (see 2.18 above). As observed in [NT] (see top of page 33 of [NT]), Lemma 3.1 of [Thm1] implies that $\overline{DU_0(A)} = U_0(A) \cap \overline{DU(A)}$. For reader's convenience, we give a brief proof of this fact. Namely, the equation

$$\begin{pmatrix} uvu^{-1}v^{-1} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \mathbf{1} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \mathbf{1} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} u & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & u^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \mathbf{1} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} v & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \mathbf{1} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & v^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} u^{-1} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & u & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \mathbf{1} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} v^{-1} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \mathbf{1} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & v \end{pmatrix}$$

implies that

$$\overline{DU(A)} \subset \overline{DU_0(M_3(A))}.$$

Therefore by Lemma 3.1 of [Thm1],

$$\overline{DU(A)} \subset ker\overline{\Delta}_3.$$

If $x \in U_0(A) \cap \overline{DU(A)}$, then $\overline{\Delta}_1$ is defined on x. Furthermore $\overline{\Delta}_3|_{U_0(A)} = \overline{\Delta}_1$ which implies

$$\overline{\Delta}_1(x) = 0,$$

and therefore

$$x \in \overline{DU_0(A)} = ker\overline{\Delta}_1,$$

by Lemma 3.1 of [Thm1].

Note that if $A \in \mathcal{HD}$ or $\mathcal{AHD}$, then we have $\overline{DU(A)} \subset U_0(A)$.

□

(It is not known to the authors whether it is always true that $\overline{DU_0(A)} = \overline{DU(A)}$.)

2.20. There is a natural map $\alpha : \pi_1(U(A)) \longrightarrow K_0(A)$, or more generally,

$$\alpha_n : \pi_1(U(M_n(A)) \longrightarrow K_0(A)).$$

We need the following notation. For a unital C^*-algebra A, let $\mathcal{P}_n K_0(A)$ be the subgroup of $K_0(A)$ generated by the formal difference of projections $p, q \in M_n(A)$ (instead of $M_\infty(A)$). Then

$$\mathcal{P}_n K_0(A) \subset Image(\alpha_n).$$

In particular if $\rho : K_0(A) \longrightarrow AffTA$ satisfies $\rho(\mathcal{P}_n K_0(A)) = \rho(K_0(A))$, then by Theorem 3.2 of [Thm1],

$$U_0(M_n(A))/\overline{DU_0(M_n(A))} \cong U_0(M_\infty(A))/\overline{DU_0(M_\infty(A))} \cong AffTA/\overline{\rho K_0(A)}.$$

Note that for all $A \in \mathcal{HD}$, we have $\rho(\mathcal{P}_1 K_0(A)) = \rho(K_0(A))$ (see below). Consequently,

$$U_0(A)/DU_0(A) \cong AffTA/\rho K_0(A).$$

If A does not contain building blocks of form $PM_n(C(T_{II,k}))P$, then such A is the special case of [Thm2], and the above fact is observed in [Thm2] (for circle algebras in [NT] earlier)—in this special case, we ever have $\mathcal{P}_1 K_0(A) = K_0(A)$ (as used in [NT] and [Thm2] in the form of surjectivity of $\alpha : \pi_1(U(A)) \longrightarrow K_0(A)$).

For $A = PM_n(C(T_{II,k}))P$, we do not have the surjectivity of $\alpha : \pi_1(U(A)) \longrightarrow K_0(A)$ any more. But $K_0(A) = \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z}$ and image$(\alpha) = \mathcal{P}_1 K_0(A)$ contains at least one element which corresponds to rank one projection (any bundle over $T_{II,k}$ has a subbundle of rank 1)—that is,

$$\rho(\mathcal{P}_1 K_0(A)) = \rho(K_0(A))(\subseteq AffTA)$$

consisting all constant functions from $T_{II,k}$ to $\frac{1}{rank(P)}\mathbb{Z}$.

As in [NT, Lemma 3.1] and [Thm 2, Lemma 6.4], the map $\overline{\Delta} :\ U_0(A) \to AffTA/\overline{\rho_A(K_0(A))}$ (in 2.18) has $\mathrm{Ker}\overline{\Delta} = \overline{DU(A)}$ and the following Lemma holds.

Lemma 2.21. Let unital C^*-algebra $A \in \mathcal{HD}$ or $A \in \mathcal{AHD}$.
(1) There is a split exact sequence

$$0 \to AffTA/\overline{\rho K_0(A)} \xrightarrow{\lambda_A} U(A)/\overline{DU(A)} \to K_1(A) \to 0.$$

(2) λ_A is an isometry with respect to the metrics d_A and D_A.

2.22. Recall from §3 of [Thm1], the dela Harpe—Skandalis determinant (see $[dHS]$) can be used to define

$$\overline{\Delta} : U_0(A)/\overline{DU(A)} \longrightarrow AffTA/\overline{\rho K_0(A)}$$

which is an isometry with respect to the metric d_A and D_A in our setting (see Lemma 2.21 above). In fact, the inverse of this map is λ_A in the above Lemma.

It follows from the definition of $\overline{\Delta}$ (see §3 of [Thm1]) that

$$\overline{\Delta}(e^{2\pi itp}) = t \cdot \rho([p]) \qquad (mod(\overline{\rho K_0(A)})). \tag{2.c}$$

where $[p] \in K_0(A)$ is the element represented by projection $p \in A$.

It is convenience to introduce extended commutator group $DU_0^+(A)$, which is generated by

$$DU(A) \subset U(A)$$

and the set

$$\{e^{2\pi itp} = e^{2\pi it}p + (\mathbf{1} - p) \in U(A), t \in \mathbb{R}, p \in A \ is \ a \ projection\}.$$

Let $\widetilde{DU(A)}$ denote the closure of $DU^+(A)$. That is $\widetilde{DU(A)} = \overline{DU^+(A)}$.

It follows from (2.c), the image of $\widetilde{DU(A)}/\overline{DU(A)}$ under the map $\overline{\Delta}$ is exact the closure of the real vector space spanned by $\overline{\rho(\mathcal{P}_1 K_0(A))} = \overline{\rho K_0(A)}$ modulo $\overline{\rho K_0(A)}$. Let us use $\widetilde{\rho K_0(A)}$ to denote this real vector space. That is

$$\widetilde{\rho K_0(A)} = \overline{\{\Sigma \lambda_i \phi_i, \lambda_i \in \mathbb{R}, \phi_i \in \rho_0 K_0(A)\}}.$$

Later on, we will also use the notation $\widetilde{\rho K_0}(A)$ for $\widetilde{\rho K_0(A)}$, in particular when the expression of the argument A occupied a large space.

Then $\overline{\Delta}$ map $\widetilde{DU(A)}/DU(A)$ onto $\widetilde{\rho K_0(A)}/\overline{\rho K_0(A)}$. Hence

$$\overline{\Delta} : U(A)/DU(A) \longrightarrow AffTA/\overline{\rho K_0(A)}$$

also induces a quotient map (still denoted by $\overline{\Delta}$)

$$\overline{\Delta} : U_0(A)/\widetilde{DU(A)} \longrightarrow AffTA/\widetilde{\rho K_0(A)}$$

which is an isometry using the quotient metrics of d_A and D_A. The inverse of this quotient map $\overline{\Delta}$ gives up to the isometry

$$\widetilde{\lambda}_A : AffTA/\widetilde{\rho K_0(A)} \longrightarrow U_0(A)/\widetilde{DU(A)} \hookrightarrow U(A)/\widetilde{DU(A)}$$

which is an isometry to quotient metric $\widetilde{d}_A$ and $\overline{D_A}$ as described below.

For any $u, v \in U(A)/\widetilde{DU(A)}$,

$$\overline{D_A}(u, v) = inf\{\|uv^* - c\|, c \in \widetilde{DU(A)}\}.$$

Let $\widetilde{d}'$ denote the quotient metric on $AffTA/\widetilde{\rho K_0(A)}$ of $AffTA$, ie, for $f, g \in AffTA/\widetilde{\rho K_0(A)}$,

$$\widetilde{d}'(f, g) = inf\{\|f - g - h\|, h \in \widetilde{\rho K_0(A)}\}.$$

Define $\widetilde{d}_A$ by

$$\widetilde{d}_A(f,g) = \begin{cases} 2, & if\ \widetilde{d}'(f,g) \geq \frac{1}{2} \\ |e^{2\pi i \widetilde{d}'(f,g)} - 1|, & if\ \widetilde{d}'(f,g) < \frac{1}{2} \end{cases}$$

Similar to Lemma 2.21, we have

Lemma 2.23. For unital C^*-algebra $A \in \mathcal{HD}$ or $A \in \mathcal{AHD}$, we have

(1) There is a split exact sequence

$$0 \to AffTA/\widetilde{\rho K_0(A)} \xrightarrow{\widetilde{\lambda}_A} U(A)/\widetilde{DU(A)} \xrightarrow{\pi_A} K_1(A) \to 0.$$

(2) $\widetilde{\lambda}_A$ is an isometriy with respect to $\widetilde{d}_A$ and $\overline{D_A}$.

2.24. Instead of $\widetilde{DU(A)}$, we will need the group

$$\widetilde{SU(A)} \triangleq \overline{\{x \in U(A) | x^n \in \widetilde{DU(A)}\ for\ some\ u \in \mathbb{Z}_+ \backslash \{0\}\}}.$$

Later on, we will also use the notation $\widetilde{SU}(A)$ for $\widetilde{SU(A)}$, in particular when the expression of the argument A occupied a large space.

For $A \in \mathcal{HD}$, say $A = PM_l(C(X))P$ ($X = [0,1], S^1$ or $T_{II,k}$) or $A = M_l(I_k)$, $\widetilde{SU(A)}$ is the set of all unitaries $u \in P(M_lC(X))P$ (or $u \in M_l(I_k)$) such that the function

$$X \ni x \longrightarrow determinant\ u(x)$$

or

$$(0,1) \ni t \longrightarrow determinant\ u(t)$$

is a constant function. Comparing with $SU(A)$ (which only defines for $\mathcal{HD}$ blocks) in 2.13, where the function will be constant 1, here we allow arbitrary constant in $\mathbb{T}$. Hence

$$\widetilde{SU(A)} = \mathbb{T} \cdot SU(A).$$

Lemma 2.25. Suppose that $\alpha, \beta : K_1(A) \longrightarrow U(A)/\widetilde{DU(A)}$ are two splittings of π_A in Lemma 2.23, then

$$\alpha|_{tor\ K_1(A)} = \beta|_{tor\ K_1(A)}$$

and $\alpha(tor\ K_1(A)) \subset \widetilde{SU(A)}/\widetilde{DU(A)}$. Furthermore α identifies $tor\ K_1(A)$ with $\widetilde{SU(A)}/\widetilde{DU(A)}$.

Proof. For any $z \in tor\ K_1(A)$, with $kz = 0$ for some integer $k > 0$, we have

$$\pi_A\alpha(z) = z = \pi_A\beta(z).$$

By the exactness of the sequence, there is $f \in AffTA/\widetilde{\rho K_0(A)}$ such that

$$\alpha(z) - \beta(z) = \widetilde{\lambda}_A(f).$$

Since

$$k\alpha(z) - k\beta(z) = \alpha(kz) - \beta(kz) = 0,$$

we have $\widetilde{\lambda}_A(kf) = 0$. By the injectivity of $\widetilde{\lambda}_A$, $kf = 0$. Note $AffTA/\widetilde{\rho K_0(A)}$ is an $\mathbb{R}$-vector space, $f = 0$. Furthermore, $k\alpha(z) = 0$ in $U(A)/\widetilde{DU(A)}$ implies that

$$\alpha(z) \in \widetilde{SU(A)}/\widetilde{DU(A)}.$$

Hence we get $\alpha(tor\ K_1(A)) \subset \widetilde{SU(A)}$. If $u \in \widetilde{SU(A)}/\widetilde{DU(A)}$ then $\alpha(\pi_A(u)) = u$.

□

2.26. Let $U_{tor}(A)$ denote the set of unitary $u \in A$ such that $[u] \in tor\ K_1(A)$. Then for $A \in \mathcal{HD}$ or $A \in \mathcal{AHD}$, we have

$$\widetilde{SU(A)} \subset U_{tor}(A),$$
$$\widetilde{DU(A)} = U_0(A) \cap \widetilde{SU(A)}$$

and

$$U_{tor}(A) = U_0(A) \cdot \widetilde{SU(A)}.$$

Evidently, we have

$$U_0(A)/\widetilde{DU(A)} \cong U_{tor}(A)/\widetilde{SU(A)}.$$

The metric $\overline{D_A}$ on $U(A)/\widetilde{DU(A)}$ induces a metric $\widetilde{D}_A$ on $U(A)/\widetilde{SU(A)}$. And the above identification $U_0(A)/\widetilde{DU(A)}$ with $U_{tor}(A)/\widetilde{SU(A)}$ is an isometry with respect to $\overline{D}_A$ and $\widetilde{D}_A$.

Similar to Lemma 2.23, we have

Lemma 2.27. Let $A \in \mathcal{HD}$ or $A \in \mathcal{AHD}$.
(1) There is a split exact sequence

$$0 \to AffTA/\widetilde{\rho K_0(A)} \xrightarrow{\widetilde{\lambda}_A} U(A)/\widetilde{SU(A)} \xrightarrow{\pi_A} K_1(A)/tor\ K_1(A) \to 0.$$

(2) $\widetilde{\lambda}_A$ is an isometry with respect to the metrics $\widetilde{d}_A$ and $\widetilde{D}_A$.

2.28. For each pair of projections $p_1, p_2 \in A$ with $p_1 = up_2u^*$,

$$U(p_1Ap_1)/\widetilde{SU(p_1Ap_1)} \cong U(p_2Ap_2)/\widetilde{SU(p_2Ap_2)}.$$

Also since in any unital C^*-algebra A and unitaries $u, v \in U(A)$, v and uvu^* represent a same element in $U(A)/\widetilde{SU(A)}$, and the above identification does not depend on choice of u to implement $p_1 = up_2u^*$. That is for any $[p] \in \Sigma A$, the group $U(pAp)/\widetilde{SU(pAp)}$ is well defined, which does not depend on choice of $p \in [p]$. We will include this group (with metric) as part of our invariant. If $[p] \leq [q]$, then we can choose $p \leq q$. In this case there is a natural inclusion map

$$\imath : pAp \longrightarrow qAq$$

which induces

$$\imath_* : U(pAp)/\widetilde{SU(pAp)} \longrightarrow U(qAq)/\widetilde{SU(qAq)},$$

where $\imath_*$ is defined by

$$\imath_*(u) = u \oplus (q-p) \in U(qAq), \forall u \in U(pAp).$$

2.29. In this paper, we will denote

$$(\underline{K}(A), \underline{K}(A)^+, \Sigma A, \{AffT(pAp)\}_{[p]\in\Sigma A}, \{U(pAp)/\widetilde{SU(pAp)}\}_{[p]\in\Sigma A})$$

by $Inv(A)$. By a map from $Inv(A)$ to $Inv(B)$, we mean

$$\alpha : (\underline{K}(A), \underline{K}(A)^+, \Sigma A) \longrightarrow (\underline{K}(B), \underline{K}(B)^+, \Sigma B)$$

as in 2.3, for each pair $[p] \in \Sigma A$ and $[\overline{p}] \in \Sigma B$ with $\alpha([p]) = [\overline{p}]$, and there is an associate unital positive linear map

$$\xi^{p,\overline{p}} : AffT(pAp) \longrightarrow AffT(\overline{p}B\overline{p})$$

and an associate contractive group homomorphism

$$\chi^{p,\overline{p}} : U(pAp)/\widetilde{SU(pAp)} \longrightarrow U(\overline{p}B\overline{p})/\widetilde{SU(\overline{p}B\overline{p})}$$

satisfies the following compatible conditions.

(a) If $p < q$, then the diagrams

$$\begin{array}{ccc} AffT(pAp) & \xrightarrow{\xi^{p,\overline{p}}} & AffT(\overline{p}B\overline{p}) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ AffT(qAq) & \xrightarrow{\xi^{q,\overline{q}}} & AffT(\overline{q}B\overline{q}) \end{array} \qquad (I)$$

and

$$\begin{array}{ccc} U(pAp)/\widetilde{SU(pAp)} & \xrightarrow{\chi^{p,\overline{p}}} & U(\overline{p}B\overline{p})/\widetilde{SU(\overline{p}B\overline{p})} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ U(qAq)/\widetilde{SU(qAq)} & \xrightarrow{\chi^{q,\overline{q}}} & U(\overline{q}B\overline{q})/\widetilde{SU(\overline{q}B\overline{q})} \end{array} \qquad (II)$$

commutes, where verticle maps are induced by inclusion.

(b) The following diagram commutes

$$\begin{array}{ccc} K_0(pAp) & \xrightarrow{\rho} & AffT(pAp) \\ {\scriptstyle\alpha}\downarrow & & {\scriptstyle\xi^{p,\overline{p}}}\downarrow \\ K_0(\overline{p}B\overline{p}) & \xrightarrow{\rho} & AffT(\overline{p}B\overline{p}) \end{array} \qquad (III)$$

and therefore $\xi^{p,\overline{p}}$ induces a map (still denote by $\xi^{p,\overline{p}}$):

$$\xi^{p,\overline{p}} : AffT(pAp)/\rho\widetilde{K_0(pAp)} \longrightarrow AffT(\overline{p}B\overline{p})/\rho\widetilde{K_0(\overline{p}B\overline{p})}.$$

(The commutativity of (III) follows from the commutativity of (I), by 1.20 of [Ji-Jiang]. So this not an extra requirement.)

(c) The following diagrams

$$\begin{array}{ccc} AffT(pAp)/\rho\widetilde{K_0(pAp)} & \longrightarrow & U(pAp)/\widetilde{SU(pAp)} \\ {\scriptstyle\xi^{p,\overline{p}}}\downarrow & & {\scriptstyle\chi^{p,\overline{p}}}\downarrow \\ AffT(\overline{p}B\overline{p})/\rho\widetilde{K_0(\overline{p}B\overline{p})} & \longrightarrow & U(\overline{p}B\overline{p})/\widetilde{SU(\overline{p}B\overline{p})} \end{array} \qquad (IV)$$

and

$$\begin{array}{ccc} U(pAp)/\widetilde{SU(pAp)} & \longrightarrow & K_1(pAp)/tor\ K_1(pAp) \\ {\scriptstyle\chi^{p,\overline{p}}}\downarrow & & {\scriptstyle\alpha_1}\downarrow \\ U(\overline{p}B\overline{p})/\widetilde{SU(\overline{p}B\overline{p})} & \longrightarrow & K_1(\overline{p}B\overline{p})/tor\ K_1(\overline{p}B\overline{p}) \end{array} \qquad (V)$$

commutes, where α_1 is induced by α.

We will denote the map from $Inv(A)$ to $Inv(B)$ by

$$(\alpha,\xi,\chi) : (\underline{K}(A), \{AffT(pAp)\}_{[p]\in\Sigma A}, \{U(pAp)/\widetilde{SU(pAp)}\}_{[p]\in\Sigma A}) \longrightarrow$$

$$(\underline{K}(B), \{AffT(\overline{p}B\overline{p})\}_{[\overline{p}]\in\Sigma B}, \{U(\overline{p}B\overline{p})/\widetilde{SU(\overline{p}B\overline{p})}\}_{[\overline{p}]\in\Sigma B}).$$

Completely similar to [NT, Lemma 3.2] and [Thm 2, Lemma 6.5], we have the following proposition.

Proposition 2.30. Let $A, B \in \mathcal{HD}$ or $\mathcal{AHD}$ be unital C^*-algebras. Assume

$$\psi_1 : K_1(A) \longrightarrow K_1(B)$$

and

$$\psi_0 : AffTA/\overline{\rho K_0(A)} \longrightarrow AffTB/\overline{\rho K_0(B)}$$

are group homomorphisms such that ψ_0 is a contraction with respect to d_A and d_B. Then there is a group homomorphism

$$\psi : U(A)/\overline{DU(A)} \longrightarrow U(B)/\overline{DU(B)}$$

which is a contraction with respect to D_A and D_B such that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & Aff TA/\overline{\rho K_0(A)} & \xrightarrow{\lambda_A} & U(A)/\overline{DU(A)} & \xrightarrow{\pi_A} & K_1(A) & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \psi_0} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \psi} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \psi_1} & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & Aff TAB/\overline{\rho K_0(B)} & \xrightarrow{\lambda_B} & U(B)/\overline{DU(B)} & \xrightarrow{\pi_B} & K_1(B) & \longrightarrow & 0 \end{array}$$

commutes. If ψ_0 is an isometric isomorphism and ψ_1 is an isomorphism, then ψ is an isometric isomorphism.

Proposition 2.31. Let $A, B \in \mathcal{HD}$ or $\mathcal{AHD}$ be unital C^*-algebras. Assume

$$\psi_1 : K_1(A) \longrightarrow K_1(B)$$

and

$$\psi_0 : Aff TA/\widetilde{\rho K_0(A)} \longrightarrow Aff TB/\widetilde{\rho K_0(B)}$$

are group homomorphisms such that ψ_0 is a contraction with respect to $\widetilde{d}_A$ and $\widetilde{d}_B$. Then there is a group homomorphism

$$\psi : U(A)/\widetilde{SU(A)} \longrightarrow U(B)/\widetilde{SU(B)}$$

which is a contraction with respect to $\widetilde{D}_A$ and $\widetilde{D}_B$ such that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & Aff TA/\widetilde{\rho K_0(A)} & \xrightarrow{\widetilde{\lambda}_A} & U(A)/\widetilde{SU(A)} & \xrightarrow{\widetilde{\pi}_A} & K_1(A)/tor\ K_1(A) & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \psi_0} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \psi} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \psi_1} & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & Aff TAB/\widetilde{\rho K_0(B)} & \xrightarrow{\widetilde{\lambda}_B} & U(B)/\widetilde{SU(B)} & \xrightarrow{\widetilde{\pi}_B} & K_1(B)/tor\ K_1(B) & \longrightarrow & 0 \end{array}$$

commutes. If ψ_0 is an isometric isomorphism and ψ_1 is an isomorphism, then ψ is an isometric isomorphism.

Remark 2.32. As Proposition 2.31 (or Proposition 2.30), for each fixed pair $p \in A$, $\overline{p} \in B$ with

$$\alpha([p]) = [\overline{p}],$$

if we have isometric isomorphism between $Aff T(pAp)/\widetilde{\rho K_0(pAp)}$ and $Aff T(\overline{p}B\overline{p})/\widetilde{\rho K_0(\overline{p}B\overline{p})}$ (or between $Aff T(pAp)/\overline{\rho K_0(pAp)}$ and $Aff T(\overline{p}B\overline{p})/\overline{\rho K_0(\overline{p}B\overline{p})}$) and isomorphism between

$K_1(pAp)$ and $K_1(pBp)$, then we have isometric isomorphism between $U(pAp)/\widetilde{SU(pAp)}$ and $U(\overline{p}B\overline{p})/\widetilde{SU(\overline{p}B\overline{p})}$ (or $U(pAp)/\overline{DU(pAp)}$ and $U(\overline{p}B\overline{p})/\overline{DU(\overline{p}B\overline{p})}$) which make both diagrams (IV) and (V) commute. This is the reason $U(A)/\overline{DU(A)}$ is not included in the Elliott invariant in the classification of simple C^*-algebras. For our setting, even though for each p, we can find the isometric isomorphic between $U(pAp)/\widetilde{SU(pAp)}$ and $U(\overline{p}B\overline{p})/\widetilde{SU(\overline{p}B\overline{p})}$, provided that other part of invariants $Inv^0(A)$ and $Inv^0(B)$ are isomorphic, we still can not make such system of isometric isomorphisms compatible—that is to make the diagram II commutes for $p < q$. We will present two non isomorphic C^*-algebras A and B in our class such that $Inv^0(A) \cong Inv^0(B)$, in §9, where $Inv^0(B)$ is defined in 2.6. Hence it is essential to include $\{U(pAp)/\widetilde{SU(pAp)}\}_{p\in\Sigma}$ with the compatibility as part of $Inv(A)$.

2.33. Replacing $U(pAp)/\widetilde{SU(pAp)}$, one can also use $U(pAp)/\overline{DU(pAp)}$ as part of the invariant. That is, one can define $Inv'(A)$ as

$$(\underline{K}(A), \underline{K}(A)^+, \Sigma A, \{AffT(pAp)\}_{[p]\in\Sigma A}, \{U(pAp)/\overline{DU(pAp)}\}_{[p]\in\Sigma A}),$$

with corresponding compatibility condition—one needs to change diagrams (4) and (5) to the corresponding ones. It is not difficult to see that $Inv'(A) \cong Inv'(B)$ implies $Inv(A) \cong Inv(B)$. We choose the formulation of $Inv(A)$, since it is more convenient for our proof and it is formally a weaker requirement than to require the isomorphism between $Inv'(A)$ and $Inv'(B)$.

Furthermore, it is straight forward to check the following proposition:

Proposition 2.34. For $\mathcal{HD}$ algebras or $\mathcal{AHD}$ algebras A and B with $K_1(A) = tor\ K_1(A)$, $K_1(B) = tor\ K_1(B)$, that $Inv^0(A) \cong Inv^0(B)$ implies that $Inv(A) \cong Inv(B)$.

Proof. It follows from the fact any isomorphism

$$\xi^{p,\overline{p}} : \quad AffT(pAp)/\widetilde{\rho K_0(pAp)} \longrightarrow AffT(\overline{p}B\overline{p})/\widetilde{\rho K_0(\overline{p}B\overline{p})}$$

induces a unique isomorphism

$$\chi^{p,\overline{p}} : U(pAp)/\widetilde{SU}(pAp) \longrightarrow U(\overline{p}B\overline{p})/\widetilde{SU}(\overline{p}B\overline{p})$$

(Note that by the split exact sequence in Lemma 2.27, we have $AffT(pAp)/\widetilde{\rho K_0}(pAp) \cong U(pAp)/\widetilde{SU}(pAp)$).

□

2.35. In general, for $A = \oplus A^i$,

$$\widetilde{SU(A)} = \oplus_i \widetilde{SU(A^i)}.$$

For $A = PM_l(C(X))P \in \mathcal{HD}$, $\widetilde{SU(A)} = \widetilde{DU(A)}$.
For $A = M_l(I_k)$, $\widetilde{SU(A)} = \widetilde{DU(A)} \oplus K_1(A)$.
For both cases, $U(A)/\widetilde{SU(A)}$ can be identified with $C_1(X, S^1) = C(X, S^1)/\{constant\ functions\}$ or with $C_1([0,1], S^1) = C([0,1], S^1)/\{constant\ functions\}$, for $A = M_l(I_k)$.

Furthermore, $C_1(X, S^1)$ can be identified as the set of continuous functions from X to S^1 such that $f(x_0) = 1$ for certain fixed base point $x_0 \in X$. For $X = [0,1]$, we choose 0 to be the base point. For $X = S^1$, we choose $1 \in S^1$ to be the base point.

2.36. Let $A = \oplus_{i=1}^n A^i \in \mathcal{HD}$, $B = \oplus_{j=1}^m B^j \in \mathcal{HD}$. In this subsection we will discuss some consequences of the compatibility of the maps between $AffT$ spaces. Let

$$p = \oplus p^i < q = \oplus q^i \in A$$

and

$$\overline{p} = \oplus_{j=1}^m \overline{p}^j < \overline{q} = \oplus_{j=1}^m \overline{q}^j \in B$$

be projections satisfying $\alpha([p]) = [\overline{p}]$ and $\alpha([q]) = [\overline{q}]$. Suppose that two unital positive linear maps $\xi_1 : AffTpAp \longrightarrow AffT\overline{p}B\overline{p}$ and $\xi_2 : AffTqAq \longrightarrow AffT\overline{q}B\overline{q}$ are compatible with α (see diagram $(2.B)$ in 2.7) and compatible with each other (see diagram $(2.A)$ in 2.5). Since the (not necessarily unital) maps $AffTpAp \longrightarrow AffTqAq$ and $AffT\overline{p}B\overline{p} \longrightarrow AffT\overline{q}B\overline{q}$ induced by inclusions, are injective, we know that the map ξ_1 is completely decided by ξ_2. Let

$$\xi_2^{i,j} : AffTq^iAq^i \longrightarrow AffT\overline{q^j}B^j\overline{q^j}$$

or

$$\xi_1^{i,j} : AffTp^iAp^i \longrightarrow AffT\overline{p^j}B^j\overline{p^j}$$

be the corresponding component of the map ξ_2 (or ξ_1). If $p^i \neq 0$ and $\overline{p}^j \neq 0$, then $\xi_1^{i,j}$ is given by the following formula, for any $f \in AffTp^iA^ip^i = C_{\mathbb{R}}(SpA^i) (\cong AffTq^iAq^i)$

$$\xi_1^{i,j}(f) = \frac{rank\ \overline{q_j}}{rank\ \overline{p_j}} \cdot \frac{rank\ \alpha^{i,j}(p^i)}{rank\ \alpha^{i,j}(q^i)} \cdot \xi_2^{i,j}(f).$$

In particular, if $q = \mathbf{1}_A$ with $\overline{q} = \alpha_0[\mathbf{1}_A]$, and $\xi_2 = \xi : AffTA \longrightarrow Aff\alpha_0[\mathbf{1}_A]B\alpha_0[\mathbf{1}_A]$ (note that since $AffTQBQ$ only depends the unitary equivalence class of Q, so it is convenient to denote it as $AffT[Q]B[Q])$, then we will denote ξ_1 by notation $\xi|_{([p],\alpha[p])}$. Even for the general case, we can also write $\xi_1 = \xi_2|_{([p],\alpha[p])}$, when $p < q$ as above.

2.37. As in 2.35, let $A = \oplus_{i=1}^{n} A^i$, $B = \oplus_{j=1}^{m} B^j$ and $p < q \in A$, $\overline{p} < \overline{q} \in B$, with $\alpha_0[p] = [\overline{p}]$ and $\alpha_0[q] = [\overline{q}]$. If

$$\gamma_1 : U(pAp)/\widetilde{SU(pAp)} \longrightarrow U(\overline{p}B\overline{p})/\widetilde{SU(\overline{p}B\overline{p})}$$

is compatible with

$$\gamma_2 : U(qAq)/\widetilde{SU(qAq)} \longrightarrow U(\overline{q}B\overline{q})/\widetilde{SU(\overline{q}B\overline{q})},$$

then γ_1 is completely determined by γ_2 (since both maps

$$U(pAp)/\widetilde{SU(pAp)} \longrightarrow U(qAq)/\widetilde{SU(qAq)}, U(\overline{p}B\overline{p})/\widetilde{SU(\overline{p}B\overline{p})} \longrightarrow U(\overline{q}B\overline{q})/\widetilde{SU(\overline{q}B\overline{q})}$$

are injective). Therefore we can denote γ_1 by $\gamma_2|_{([p],\alpha[p])}$.

2.38. Let us point out that, in 2.35 and 2.36, if $A \in \mathcal{AHD}$ and $B \in \mathcal{AHD}$, ξ_1 is not completely determined by ξ_2 and γ_1 is not completely determined by γ_2.

§3. Shape equivalence

The following dichotomy result is essentially due to Pasnicu.

Proposition 3.1. (see [Pa1, Lemma 2.9]) Let $A = lim(A_n = \oplus A_n^i, \phi_{n,m})$ be an $\mathcal{AHD}$ inductive limit. Suppose that A has ideal property. For any $\delta > 0$ and A_n, there is $m_0 > n$ such that the following is true:

For any closed subset $X \subset SpA_n^i$ and any $m > m_0$, the homomorphism $\phi_{n,m}^{i,j}$ satisfy the dichotomy condition: either

$$Sp(\phi_{n,m}^{i,j})_y \cap X = \emptyset, \forall y \in SpA_m^j$$

or

$$Sp(\phi_{n,m}^{i,j})_y \cap B_\delta(X) \neq \emptyset, \forall y \in SpA_m^j.$$

Proof. Lemma 2.9 of [Pa1] says the theorem is true for AH inductive limit with ideal property. One can check the proof of Lemma 2.9 works for our case. One should notice that the test functions used in both Lemma 2.8 and Lemma 2.9 of [Pa1] (the functions $\widetilde{f}$ and f_1 in the proof of Lemma 2.8 and f in the proof of Lemma 2.9) are in the center of $A_n^i = P_{n,i}M_{[n,i]}(C(X_{n,i}))P_{n,i}$. If $A_n^i = M_l(I_k)$, we can choose same functions in $M_{lk}(C[0,1])$—it is automatically in $M_l(I_k)$, since the functions are in the center. Then the result follows the same proof words by words.

□

The following two results follow the same way as in the proofs of Lemma 2.10 and Theorem 2.6 of [Pa1] replacing Lemma 2.9 of [Pa1] by the above proposition.

Proposition 3.2. [Pa1. Lemma 2.10] Let $A = lim(A_n = \oplus A_n^i, \phi_{n,m})$ be an $\mathcal{AHD}$ inductive limit system. Suppose that A has ideal property. Fix $A_n, F = \oplus F_n^i \subset \oplus A_n^i = A_n$, and $\varepsilon > 0$. Let $\eta > 0$ such that F is (ε, η) continuous (see 1.25 for the terminology). Let $L > 0$. There is $m_0 > n$ such that each partial map $\phi_{n,m}^{i,j}(m > m_0)$ satisfies either
(a) $\phi_{n,m}^{i,j}$ is L-large (see 1.12 for L-large), or
(b) There exist finitely many points $x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_\bullet \in Sp(A_n^i)$ and contractible subspaces $X_1, X_2, \cdots, X_\bullet$ such that $X_k \cap X_l = \emptyset$ for $k \neq l$ and $x_k \in X_k \subset B_\eta(x_k)$, and such that

$$Sp\phi_{n,m}^{i,j} \subset \cup_{k=1} X_k.$$

Consequently, there is a unital homomorphism

$$\psi_{n,m}^{i,j} : A_n^i \longrightarrow \phi_{n,m}^{i,j}(\mathbf{1}_{A_n^i}) A_m^j \phi_{n,m}^{i,j}(\mathbf{1}_{A_n^i})$$

factoring through a finite dimensional C^*-algebra as

$$A_n^i \longrightarrow A_n^i|_{\{x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_\bullet\}} \longrightarrow \phi_{n,m}^{i,j}(\mathbf{1}_{A_n^i}) A_m^j \phi_{n,m}^{i,j}(\mathbf{1}_{A_n^i})$$

such that $\phi_{n,m}^{i,j}$ is homotopic to $\psi_{n,m}^{i,j}$ (within the projection $\phi_{n,m}^{i,j}|\mathbf{1}_{A_n^i}$) and

$$\|\phi_{n,m}^{i,j}(f) - \psi_{n,m}^{i,j}(f)\| < \varepsilon, \forall f \in F_n^i.$$

Proposition 3.3. [Pa1. Lemma 2.6] Let $A = lim(A_n = \oplus A_n^i, \phi_{n,m})$ be an $\mathcal{AHD}$ inductive limit system. Suppose that A has ideal property. Then there is a sequence $l_1 < l_2 < \cdots < l_n < \cdots$, and a homomorphism $\widetilde{\phi}_{n,n+1} : A_{l_n} \longrightarrow A_{l_{n+1}}$ such that
(a) $\widetilde{\phi}_{n,n+1}$ is homotopic to $\phi_{l_n, l_{n+1}}$ and
(b) $SPV(\widetilde{\phi}_{n,m}) \leq 2^{-m}$ for all $n < m$.
Consequently $\widetilde{A} = lim(A_{l_l}, \widetilde{\phi}_{n,n+1})$ is of real rank zero.

3.4. Let $A = lim(A_n = \oplus A_n^i, \phi_{n,m})$ and $B = lim(B_n = \oplus B_n^i, \psi_{n,m})$ be two $\mathcal{AHD}$ inductive limit systems whose limit algebras A and B have ideal property. If

$$\alpha : (\underline{K}(A), \underline{K}(A)^+, \Sigma A) \longrightarrow (\underline{K}(B), \underline{K}(B)^+, \Sigma B)$$

is an isomorphism (compatible with Bockstein operations), then by 3.3, $A = lim(A_n, \phi_{n,m})$ is shape equivalent to $\widetilde{A} = lim(A_{l_n}, \widetilde{\phi}_{n,m})$ and $B = lim(B_n, \psi_{n,m})$ is shape equivalent to $\widetilde{B} = lim(B_k, \widetilde{\psi}_{n,m})$. Evidently the shape equivalences induce the isomorphisms of

$$(\underline{K}(A), \underline{K}(A)^+, \Sigma A) \cong (\underline{K}(\widetilde{A}), \underline{K}(\widetilde{A})^+, \Sigma \widetilde{A})$$

and

$$(\underline{K}(B), \underline{K}(B)^+, \Sigma B) \cong (\underline{K}(\widetilde{B}), \underline{K}(\widetilde{B})^+, \Sigma\widetilde{B}).$$

Therefore the isomorphism α induces an isomorphism

$$\widetilde{\alpha} : (\underline{K}(\widetilde{A}), \underline{K}(\widetilde{A})^+, \Sigma\widetilde{A}) \longrightarrow (\underline{K}(\widetilde{B}), \underline{K}(\widetilde{B})^+, \Sigma\widetilde{B}).$$

By Theorem 9.1 of [DG] and its proof, $\widetilde{A} \cong \widetilde{B}$ and the isomorphism is induced by the shape equivalence between $\widetilde{A} = lim(A_{l_n}, \widetilde{\phi}_{n,m})$ and $\widetilde{B} = lim(B_{k_n}, \widetilde{\psi}_{n,m})$. Hence we have the following theorem (passing to subsequence if necessary).

Theorem 3.5. Let $A = lim(A_n, \phi_{n,m})$ and $B = lim(B_n, \psi_{n,m})$ be $\mathcal{AHD}$ inductive limit systems with A and B having ideal property. Let

$$\alpha : (\underline{K}(A), \underline{K}(A)^+, \Sigma A) \longrightarrow (\underline{K}(B), \underline{K}(B)^+, \Sigma B)$$

be an isomorphism. Then there are subsequences

$$l_1 < l_2 < l_3 < \cdots$$

and

$$k_1 < k_2 < k_3 < \cdots$$

and homomorphisms

$$\nu_n : A_{l_n} \longrightarrow B_{k_n}$$

$$\mu_n : B_{k_n} \longrightarrow A_{l_{n+1}}$$

such that

(a) $\mu_n \circ \nu_n \sim_h \phi_{l_n,l_{n+1}}$, $\nu_{n+1} \circ \mu_n \sim_h \psi_{k_n,k_{n+1}}$.

(b) $\alpha \circ \underline{K}(\phi_{l_n,\infty}) = \underline{K}(\psi_{k_n,\infty}) \circ \underline{K}(\nu_n)$, $\alpha^{-1} \circ \underline{K}(\psi_{k_n,\infty}) = \underline{K}(\phi_{l_{n+1},\infty}) \circ \underline{K}(\mu_n)$, where $\underline{K}(\phi)$ is the map on $\underline{K}$ induced by homomorphism ϕ.

Remark 3.6. It is easy to see that for any family of mutually orthogonal projections $\{p_i\} \subset A_{l_n}$, there is a unitary $u \in A_{l_{n+1}}$ such that for each p_i

$$\phi_{l_n,l_{n+1}}(p_i) = u(\mu_n \circ \nu_n(p_i))u^*,$$

Therefore we can modify μ_n to $Adu \circ \mu_n$ to obtain

$$\phi_{l_n,l_{n+1}}(p_i) = \mu_n \circ \nu_n(p_i).$$

§4. Spectral distribution property and decomposition theorems

In the case of simple inductive limit $A = lim(A_n, \phi_{n,m})$ with $A_n \in \mathcal{HD}$ (and $\phi_{n,m}$ injective), one can prove that for each A_n and each $\eta > 0$, there is an $m > n$ and $\delta > 0$, such that all partial maps $\phi^{i,j}_{n,m} : A^i_n \longrightarrow \phi^{i,j}_{n,m}(\mathbf{1}_{A^i_n})A^j_m\phi^{i,j}_{n,m}(\mathbf{1}_{A^i_n})$ have property $sdp(\eta, \delta)$ (see 1.24 for the definition of $sdp(\eta, \delta)$). For the case of AH inductive limit, this is proved in [Li2] and for the case of inductive limit of dimension drop C^*-algebras, this is proved in [EGJS].) For the case of non simple inductive limits with ideal property, $\phi^{i,j}_{n,m}$ itself will not have property $sdp(\eta, \delta)$ in general no matter how large the m is—we will prove that one can factor the map $\phi^{i,j}_{n,m}$ as $A^i_n \to \bigoplus_s (A^i_n|_{Y^{j,s}_i}) \xrightarrow{\oplus\phi^s} A^j_m$, where $Y^{j,s}_i \subset X_{n,i}$ are connected subsimplicial complex and ϕ^s has property $sdp(\eta, \delta)$. For the case of AH inductive limit, this is Lemma 2.8 of [GJLP]. The general case (which also involves dimension drop interval algebras) can be proved similarly (see below). We will use such theorem to prove several decomposition theorems, by decomposing the connecting homomorphisms into several parts, with the major part factoring through interval algebras.

4.1. Let $A = PM_k(C(x))P$ or $M_l(I_k)$. Let $Y \subset Sp(A)$ be a closed subspace. As in 1.31, recall that $A|_Y \triangleq \{f|_Y, f \in A\}$ which is a quotient subalgebra of A.

Proposition 4.2. (c.f. Theorem 2.2 of [GJLP]) Let $A = lim(A_n = \oplus^{t_n}_{i=1} A^i_n, \phi_{n,m})$ be an $\mathcal{AHD}$ inductive limit with ideal property. Then for any $A_n, \eta > 0$, there is an m and there are subcomplexes $X^1_{n,i}, X^2_{n,i}, \cdots, X^{t_m}_{n,i} \subset Sp(A^i_n)$ such that the following hold
(1) $Sp(\phi^{i,j}_{n,m}) \subset X^j_{n,i}$.
(2) For any point $x_0 \in X^j_{n,i}$ and $y \in Sp(A^j_m)$,

$$Sp(\phi^{i,j}_{n,m})_y \cap B_{\eta/2}(x_0, X^j_{n,i}) \neq \phi,$$

where $B_{\eta/2}(x_0, X^j_{n,i}) = \{x \in X^j_{n,i} | dist(x, x_0) < \eta/2\}$.

Proof. The proof is completely the same as the proof of Theorem 2.2 of [GJLP] replacing Lemma 2.9 of [$Pa1$] by Proposition 3.1 above.

□

4.3. In the above proposition, $X^j_{n,i} (1 \leq j \leq t_m)$ may not be connected. We need to reduce the version which involve only connected subcomplexes. One can write

$$X^j_{n,i} = Z_1 \cup Z_2 \cdots \cup Z_\bullet,$$

where Z_k are connected subsimplicial complexes of $X^j_{n,i}$. But the fact that

$$Sp(\phi^{i,j}_{n,m})_y \cap B_{\eta/2}(x_0, X^j_{n,i}) \neq \emptyset \ \ \forall x_0 \in X^j_{n,i}$$

does not imply

$$Sp(\phi^{i,j}_{n,m})_y \cap B_{\eta/2}(x_0, Z_k) \neq \emptyset \ \ \forall x_0 \in Z_k,$$

because even for $x_0 \in Z_k$, $B_{\eta/2}(x_0, Z_k)$ could be a proper subset of $B_{\eta/2}(x_0, X^j_{n,i})$. In [GJLP], joint with Pasnicu, we use a technique to prove that $Sp(\phi^{i,j}_{n,m})_y \cap B_\eta(x_0, Z_k) \neq \emptyset$ (use η instead of $\eta/2$). This technique works equally well for $M_l(I_k)$ with $SpM_l(I_k) = [0,1]$. In fact, the case of $A = M_l(I_k)$ is similar to the interval algebras $M_n(C[0,1])$, which has been dealt with in [Ji-Jiang] by a different, but more straight forward method. That is, we have the following theorem.

Theorem 4.4. (c.f Lemma 2.8 of [GJLP]) Let $A = lim(A_n = \oplus_{i=1}^{t_n} A^i_n, \phi_{n,m})$ be an $\mathcal{AHD}$ inductive limit with ideal property. For $A_n, \eta > 0$, there exist a $\delta > 0$, a positive integer $m > n$, connected finite simplicial complexes $Z^1_i, Z^2_i, \cdots, Z^\bullet_i \subset X_{n,i}, i = 1, 2, \cdots, t_n$ and a homomorphism

$$\phi : B = \oplus_{i=1}^{t_n}(\oplus_s A^i_n|_{Z^s_i}) \longrightarrow A_m$$

such that

(1) $\phi_{n,m}$ factors as $A_n \xrightarrow{\pi} B \to A_m$, where π is defined by

$$\pi(f) = (f|_{Z^1_i}, f|_{Z^2_i}, \cdots, f|_{Z^\bullet_i}) \in \oplus_s A^i_n|_{Z^s_i} \subset B$$

for any $f \in A^i_n$.

(2) The homomorphism ϕ satisfies the dichotomy condition

($*$): for each Z^s_i, the partial map

$$\phi^{(i,s),j} : A^i_n|_{Z^s_i} \longrightarrow A^j_m$$

either has the property $sdp(\eta/32, \delta)$ or it is zero map. Furthermore for any $m' > m$, each partial map of $\phi_{m,m'} \circ \phi$ satisfies the dichotomy condition ($*$): either it has property $sdp(\eta/32, \delta)$ or it is zero map.

4.5. Recall $KK(I_{k_1}, I_{k_2}) = \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}/k_1 \oplus \mathbb{Z}/gcf(k_1, k_2)$, where $gcf(k_1, k_2)$ is the greatest common factor of k_1 and k_2. Let $\psi_0, \psi_1 : I_{k_1} \longrightarrow I_{k_2}$ be defined by

$$\psi_0(f) = f(\underline{0}) \cdot 1_{k_2}, \qquad \psi_1(f) = f(\underline{1}) \cdot 1_{k_2} \qquad \forall f \in I_{k_1}.$$

Let $\psi : I_{k_1} \longrightarrow M_{k_1/gcf(k_1,k_2)}(I_{k_2})$ be defined by

$$\psi(f) = diag(\underbrace{f, f, \cdots, f}_{k_2/gcf(k_1,k_2)}) \qquad \forall f \in I_{k_1}.$$

As in Theorem 3.1 of [EGJS], in $KK(I_{k_1}, I_{k_2})(= \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}/k_1 \oplus \mathbb{Z}/gcf(k_1,k_2))$, $[\psi_0]$ can be identified with $(1,0,0)$, $[\psi_1]-[\psi_0]$ can be identified with $(0,1,0)$, and $[\psi]-k_1/gcf(k_1,k_2)[\psi_0]$ can be identified with $(0,0,1)$.

4.6. Let $e_0, e_1 : M_{l_2}(I_{k_2}) \longrightarrow M_{l_2}(\mathbb{C})$, be the homomorphisms defined by evaluations at points 0 and 1 respectively. That is,

$$e_0(f) = f(\underline{0}) = \pi_{\underline{0}}(f),$$

$$e_1(f) = f(\underline{1}) = \pi_{\underline{1}}(f).$$

Let $\phi : M_{l_1}(I_{k_1}) \longrightarrow M_{l_2}(I_{k_2})$ be a unital homomorphism. As in 1.31 and 1.32, we use $\phi|_{\underline{0}}$ and $\phi|_{\underline{1}}$ to denote the homomorphisms $e_0 \circ \phi$ and $e_1 \circ \phi$ respectively. Then

$$KK(\phi|_{\underline{0}}) \in KK(I_{k_1}, \mathbb{C}) = \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}/k_1$$

and

$$KK(\phi|_{\underline{1}}) \in KK(I_{k_1}, \mathbb{C}) = \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}/k_1.$$

Let $\frac{n_0}{k_1}$ ($\frac{n_1}{k_1}$ respectively) be the number of $Sp\phi|_{\{\underline{0}\}} \cap \{1\}$ ($Sp\phi|_{\{\underline{1}\}} \cap \{1\}$, respectively) counting multiplicity. Namely

$$\frac{n_0}{k_1} = \sharp(Sp\phi|_{\{\underline{0}\}} \cap \{1\})$$

and

$$\frac{n_1}{k_1} = \sharp(Sp\phi|_{\{\underline{1}\}} \cap \{1\})$$

counting multiplicity. Then

$$KK(\phi|_{\{0\}}) = (\frac{l_2}{l_1}, n_0) \in \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}/k_1$$

and

$$KK(\phi|_{\{1\}}) = (\frac{l_2}{l_1}, n_1) \in \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}/k_1$$

—of course n_0 and n_1 are considered to be integers modulo k_1. (Note that the multiplicity of 0 or 1 in $Sp\phi_t$ may appear fractional times.)

Lemma 4.7. n_1-n_0 is a multiple of $k_1/gcf(k_1,k_2)$ (we denote $\widetilde{k} = k_1/gcf(k_1,k_2)$).

Proof. Recall $\phi|_0$ (and $\phi|_1$ respectively): $M_{l_1}(I_{k_1}) \longrightarrow M_{l_2k_2}(\mathbb{C})$ be defined by

$$\phi|_0 = diag(\underbrace{\phi|_{\underline{0}}, \phi|_{\underline{0}}, \cdots, \phi|_{\underline{0}}}_{k_2})$$

and

$$\phi|_1 = diag(\underbrace{\phi|_{\underline{1}}, \phi|_{\underline{1}}, \cdots, \phi|_{\underline{1}}}_{k_2}),$$

respectively, then $\phi|_0$ is homotopic to $\phi|_1$ via $\phi|_t, 0 < t < 1$. Consequently

$$k_2(n_1 - n_0) = 0 \ \ (mod\ k_1)$$

as desired. □

It is easy to see

$$KK(\phi) = (\frac{l_2}{l_1}, n_0, \frac{n_1 - n_0}{\widetilde{k}}) \in \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}/k_1 \oplus \mathbb{Z}/gcf(k_1, k_2)$$

under the identification in 4.5.

4.8. Suppose that a unital homomorphism

$$\phi : M_{l_1}(I_{k_1}) \longrightarrow M_m(\mathbb{C})$$

satisfies

$$KK(\phi) = (m/l_1, m_1) \in \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}/k_1,$$

where $0 \leq m_1 < k_1$. Let

$$m_0 = m/l_1 - m_1 \qquad (mod\ k_1)$$

and

$$0 \leq m_0 < k_1.$$

Then up to unitary equivalence, ϕ can be written as $\phi_0 \oplus \phi' \oplus \phi_1$, where

$$\phi_0(f) = diag(\underbrace{f(\underline{0}), f(\underline{0}), \cdots, f(\underline{0})}_{m_0}),$$

$$\phi_1(f) = diag(\underbrace{f(\underline{1}), f(\underline{1}), \cdots, f(\underline{1})}_{m_1}),$$

and ϕ' factors through $M_{l_1k_1}C[0,1]$ as

$$\phi' : M_{l_1}(I_{k_1}) \overset{\imath}{\hookrightarrow} M_{l_1k_1}(C[0,1]) \overset{\tilde{\phi}}{\to} M_{m-l_1(m_0+m_1)}(\mathbb{C}),$$

where $\imath$ is the canonical inclusion.

The following proposition is a combination of Theorem 2.3 (see 2.4 also) and the proof of Theorem 3.7 of [EGJS] — and in fact, it is essentially proved in [Ell1-2] and [Su].

Proposition 4.9. Let $\phi, \psi : M_{l_1}(I_{k_1}) \to M_{l_2}(I_{k_2})$ be two unital homomorphisms. Suppose that $Sp\phi|_{\underline{i}}$ and $Sp\psi|_{\underline{i}}$ ($i = 0$ *or* 1) can be paired within η and suppose that for any $t \in (0,1)$, $Sp\phi|_t$ and $Sp\psi|_t$ can be paired within $\eta < 1$. Then for any finite subset $F \subset M_{l_1}(I_k)$, there is a unitary $u \in M_{l_2}(I_{k_2})$, such that $\| \phi(f) - u\psi(f)u^* \| \leq sup\{\|f(x) - f(y)\|, |x - y| < \eta\}$.

That is if F is (ε, η) uniformly continuous, then

$$\|\phi(f) - u\psi(f)u^*\| \leq \varepsilon \quad \forall f \in F.$$

Proof. Use Theorem 2.3 of [EGJS], one can reduce the case that both ϕ and ψ are of standard forms as described in Definition 2.2 of [EGJS]. Then the proposition follows from the proof of Theorem 3.7 of [EGJS] (also see Theorem 2.4 of [EGJS] and its proof).

□

Remark 4.10. (a) We do not need to assume $KK(\phi) = KK(\psi)$ since it follows from the condition that $Sp\phi|_{\underline{i}}$ and $Sp\psi|_{\underline{i}}(i = 0, 1)$ can be paired within $\eta < 1$.
(b) Also in proposition, one can replace the condition that $Sp\phi|_{\underline{i}}$ and $Sp\psi|_{\underline{i}}(i = 0, 1)$ can be paired within η by $KK(\phi) = KK(\psi)$. In fact $KK(\phi) = KK(\psi)$ and $Sp\phi|_t$ and $Sp\psi|_t$ (as $t \to 0$) can be paired within η implies that $Sp\phi|_0$ and $Sp\psi|_0$ can be paired within η' for any $\eta' > \eta$. One can see this as follows. To simplify the notation, let $\phi, \psi : I_{k_1} \to M_l(I_{k_2})$(that is $l_1 = 1$). By $KK(\phi) = KK(\psi)$, there is $l_0, l_1 < k_1$
such that

$$Sp\phi_{\underline{0}} = \{\underline{0}^{\sim l_0}, \underline{1}^{\sim l_1}, t_1, t_2, \cdots, t_m\} = \{0^{\sim \frac{l_0}{k_1}}, 1^{\sim \frac{l_1}{k_1}}, t_1, t_2, \cdots, t_m\}$$

and

$$Sp\psi_{\underline{0}} = \{\underline{0}^{\sim l_0}, \underline{1}^{\sim l_1}, t_1', t_2', \cdots, t_m'\} = \{0^{\sim \frac{l_0}{k_1}}, 1^{\sim \frac{l_1}{k_1}}, t_1', t_2', \cdots, t_m'\}$$

for $t_i \in [0,1]$, and $t_i' \in [0,1]$. $Sp\phi|_t$ and $Sp\psi|_t$ can be paired within η (for $t \to 0$) implies

$$Sp\phi_0 = \{0^{\sim l_0 \cdot \frac{k_2}{k_1}}, 1^{\sim l_1 \cdot \frac{k_2}{k_1}}, t_1^{\sim k_2}, t_2^{\sim k_2}, \cdots, t_m^{\sim k_2}\}$$

and

$$Sp\psi_0 = \{0^{\sim l_0 \cdot \frac{k_2}{k_1}}, 1^{\sim l_1 \cdot \frac{k_2}{k_1}}, t_1'^{\sim k_2}, t_2'^{\sim k_2}, \cdots, t_m'^{\sim k_2}\}$$

can be paired within $\eta'(>\eta)$. This is equivalent to that t_0 $\{t_1^{\sim k_2}, t_2^{\sim k_2}, \cdots, t_m^{\sim k_2}\}$ and $\{t_1'^{\sim k_2}, t_2'^{\sim k_2}, \cdots, t_m'^{\sim k_2}\}$ can be paired within η' which is also equivalent to $\{t_1, t_2, \cdots, t_m\}$ and $\{t_1', t_2', \cdots, t_m'\}$ can be paired within η'.

Theorem 4.11. Let $A = I_{k_1}$, for any positive numbers δ, $\eta < 1$, there is a positive number L such that if $l \geq L$ and $\phi : I_{k_1} \to M_l(I_{k_2})$ is a unital homomorphism with property $sdp(\eta/16, \delta)$, then there are two homomorphisms $\varphi_1 : I_{k_1} \to M_{l_1}(I_{k_2})$ and $\varphi_2 : I_{k_1} \to M_{l_2}(I_{k_2})$ with $l_1 + l_2 = l$, such that for any finite set $F \subset I_{k_1}$,
(1) $\|\phi(f) - (\varphi_1 \oplus \varphi_2)(f)\| \leq sup\{\|f(x) - f(y)\|, |x - y| < \eta\}, \forall f \in F$.
(2) $SPV(\varphi_1) < \eta$.
(3) φ_2 factors through $M_{k_1}(C[0,1])$ as

$$\varphi_2 : I_{k_1} \hookrightarrow M_{k_1}(C[0,1]) \xrightarrow{\varphi_2'} M_{l_2}(I_{k_2}).$$

Proof. Let n be a positive integer with $\frac{1}{n} < \frac{\eta}{2}$. Let L be an integer satisfying $\delta \cdot L > 12nk$. Let us assume that $l \geq L$ and that $\phi : I_{k_1} \to M_l(I_{k_2})$ is a unital homomorphism. Then $KK(\phi) = (l, d, s) \in \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}/k_1 \oplus \mathbb{Z}/(k_1, k_2)$(We use (k_1, k_2) for great common factor of k_1, k_2). Let us assume $d < k_1$ and $s < (k_1, k_2)$. Let $d_0 = l - d - s \cdot \frac{k_1}{(k_1,k_2)} \pmod{k_1}$ and $d_0 < k_1$. Set $l_0 = d + d_0 + s \cdot \frac{k_1}{(k_1,k_2)}$, then $l - l_0$ is a multiple of k_1, and $l_0 < 3k_1$.

Define $\varphi_0 : I_{k_1} \to M_{l_0}(I_{k_2})$ by

$$\varphi_0 = diag(\psi_0^{\sim d_0}, \psi_1^{\sim d}, \psi^{\sim s}),$$

where ψ_0, ψ_1 and ψ are as in 4.5, which represented (1, 0, 0), (1, 1, 0) and ($\frac{k_1}{(k_1,k_2)}$, 0, 1) in

$$KK(I_{k_1}, I_{k_2}) = \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}/k_1 \oplus \mathbb{Z}/(k_1, k_2).$$

Hence $KK(\varphi_0) = (l_0, d, s)$. Let $\varphi_1' : I_{k_1} \to M_{3(n-1)k_1}(I_{k_2})$ be defined by

$$\varphi_1'(f) = diag\left(f(\frac{1}{n})^{\sim 3}, f(\frac{2}{n})^{\sim 3}, \cdots, f(\frac{n-1}{n})^{\sim 3}\right) \otimes \mathbf{1}_{k_2} \in M_{3(n-1)k_1}(C[0,1]) \otimes \mathbf{1}_{k_2} \subset M_{3(n-1)k_1}(I_{k_2}).$$

Let $\varphi_1 : I_{k_1} \to M_{l_1}(I_{k_2})$ be defined by $\varphi_1 = diag(\varphi_0, \varphi_1')$, where $l_1 = l_0 + 3(n-1)k_1$. Since $\{\frac{i}{n}\}_{i=1}^{n-1}$ is $\frac{\eta}{2}$ dense in $[0,1]$, and $l_0 < 3k_1$, $SPV(\varphi_1) < \eta$ (see Theorem 1.2.17 of [G5] and its proof).

By Theorem 2.3 of [EGJS], we can assume that $\phi : I_{k_1} \to M_l(I_{k_2})$ is given by

$$\phi(f)(t) = U diag\left(f(\underline{0})^{\sim d_0 k_2}, f(\lambda_1(t)), \cdots, f(\lambda_m(t)), f(\underline{1})^{\sim dk_2}\right) U^*,$$

where

$$m = \frac{lk_2 - (d_0 + d)k_2}{k_1} = \frac{(l - l_1 + 3(n-1)k_1 + s\frac{k_1}{(k_1,k_2)}) \cdot k_2}{k_1},$$

and

$$0 \le \lambda_1(t) \le \lambda_2(t) \le \cdots \le \lambda_m(t).$$

Let $m_1 = \frac{l-l_1}{k_1} \cdot k_2$. Then $m = m_1 + 3(n-1)k_2 + s \cdot \frac{k_2}{(k_1,k_2)}$.

Let

$$\begin{gathered}
\mu_1(t) = \mu_2(t) = \cdots = \mu_{k_2}(t) = \lambda_1(t), \\
\mu_{k_2+1}(t) = \mu_{k_2+2}(t) = \cdots = \mu_{2k_2}(t) = \lambda_{k_2+1}(t), \\
\mu_{2k_2+1}(t) = \mu_{2k_2+2}(t) = \cdots = \mu_{3k_2}(t) = \lambda_{2k_2+1}(t), \\
\vdots \\
\mu_{(\widetilde{m}_1-1)k_2+1}(t) = \mu_{(\widetilde{m}_1-1)k_2+2}(t) = \cdots = \mu_{\widetilde{m}_1 k_2}(t) = \lambda_{(\widetilde{m}_1-1)k_2+1}(t), \\
\mu_{\widetilde{m}_1 k_2+1}(t) = \mu_{\widetilde{m}_1 k_2+2}(t) = \cdots = \mu_m(t) = \lambda_{\widetilde{m}_1 k_2+1}(t),
\end{gathered}$$

where $\widetilde{m}_1 = \frac{l-l_1}{k_1} = \frac{m_1}{k_2}$. Note that

$$m - \widetilde{m}_1 k_2 = m - m_1 = 3(n-1) + s\frac{k_2}{(k_1,k_2)} \le 3(n-1) + k_2.$$

Also note that ϕ has $sdp(\eta/16, \delta)$ property, so any interval of length $\eta/8$ containing at least $\delta \cdot l \cdot k_2 > 3(n-1)k_2$ elements. It follows that $\{\lambda_i(t)\}_{i=1}^m$ and $\{\mu_i(t)\}_{i=1}^m$ can be paired to within $\eta/4$.

Define $\varphi_2' : M_{k_1}(C[0,1]) \to M_{\widetilde{m}_1 k_1}(I_{k_2})$ by

$$\varphi_2'(f)(t) = diag\big(f(\mu_{k_2}(t)), f(\mu_{2k_2}(t)), \cdots, f(\mu_{\widetilde{m}_1 k_2}(t))\big) \otimes \mathbf{1}_{k_2} \in M_{\widetilde{m}_1 k_1}(C[0,1]) \otimes \mathbf{1}_{k_2} \hookrightarrow M_{\widetilde{m}_1 k_1}(I_{k_2}).$$

And let $\varphi_2 = \varphi_2' \circ \iota$, where $\iota : I_{k_1} \hookrightarrow M_{k_1}(C[0,1])$ is the canonical inclusion. Evidently for each $t \in (0,1)$, $Sp(\varphi_1 \oplus \varphi_2)|_t$ is obtained from the set

$$\Theta(t) \triangleq \{\underline{0}^{\sim d_0 k_2}, \mu_1(t), \mu_2(t), \cdots, \mu_m(t), \underline{1}^{\sim d k_2}\}$$

by replacing $m - \widetilde{m}_1 k_2 = 3(n-1)k_2 + s \cdot \frac{k_2}{(k_1,k_2)}$ elements with the set

$$\{t^{\sim s \cdot \frac{k_2}{(k_1,k_2)}}, (\frac{1}{n})^{\sim 3k_2}, (\frac{2}{n})^{\sim 3k_2}, \cdots, (\frac{n-1}{n})^{\sim 3k_2}\}.$$

Let $p = m - \widetilde{m}_1 k_2$. Evidently, each interval of length $\eta/4$ contains at least $\delta l k_2 \geq 12nk_1k_2 > 4p$ elements in the set $\Theta(t)$.

It is routine to check the following fact: if $X \subset [0,1]$ is a finite set with multiplicity, such that, any interval $(a,b) \subset [0,1]$ of length $\eta/4$ contains at least $4p$ elements in X, and if Y is obtained from X by replacing p elements of X by any other p elements from $[0,1]$, then X and Y can be paired within $\eta/2$. Applying the fact to $X = \Theta(t)$, we have that $Sp(\varphi_1 \oplus \varphi_2)|_t$ can be paired within $\Theta(t)$ to within $\eta/2$. On the other hand, we already have that $\Theta(t)$ can be paired within $Sp\phi|_t$ to within $\eta/8$. Hence conclusion (1) hold following from Proposition 4.9 and Remark 4.10(b) up to a unitary equivalence.

□

Remark 4.12. Theorem 4.11 also holds for $\phi : I_k|_{[0,a]} \to M_l(I_k)$ or $\phi : I_k|_{[b,1]} \to M_l(I_k)$ with even easier proof.

Also note that if F is (ε, η) continuous, then conclusion(2) in Theorem 4.11 implies that $\omega(\varphi_1(F)) < \varepsilon$.

The following Theorem is an analogy of Theorem 2.12 of [GJLP], but involving dimension drop algebras.

Theorem 4.13. Let $A = lim(A_n = \oplus_{i=1}^{tn} A_n^i, \phi_{n,m})$ be $\mathcal{AHD}$ inductive limit with ideal property. For any A_n, finite sets $F = \oplus_{i=1}^{tn} F_n^i \subset A_n$ and $G \subset AffTA_n$, and $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $m > n$, and there exist projections $Q_0, Q_1, Q_2 \in A_m$ with $Q_0 + Q_1 + Q_2 = \phi_{n,m}(\mathbf{1}_{A_n})$ and unital map $\psi_0 \in Map(A_n, Q_0A_mQ_0)_1$ and homomorphisms $\psi_1 \in Hom(A_n, Q_1A_mQ_1)_1$, $\psi_2 \in Hom(A_n, Q_2A_mQ_2)_1$ such that the following statements are true.
(1) $\| \phi_{n,m}(f) - \psi_0(f) \oplus \psi_1(f) \oplus \psi_2(f) \| < \varepsilon, \quad \forall f \in F$.
(2) ψ_1 is defined by point evaluation (or factoring through) finite dimensional (C^*-algebra), and

$$(\psi_0 \oplus \psi_1)(F) \subset (Q_0 + Q_1)A_m(Q_0 + Q_1)$$

is weakly approximately constant to within ε, i,e $\omega((\psi_0 \oplus \psi_1)(F)) < \varepsilon$.
(3) The homomorphism ψ_2 factors through C — a direct sum of matrix algebras over interval $C[a,b]$, where ($[a,b] \subset [0,1]$) or $\mathbb{C}$ as

$$\psi_2 : A_n \xrightarrow{\xi_1} C \xrightarrow{\xi_2} Q_2A_mQ_2,$$

where ξ_1 and ξ_2 are unital homomorphisms.
(4) There exist a homomorphism $\widetilde{\psi_0} \in Hom(A_n, Q_0A_mQ_0)_1$ such that the following is true:

Let

$$\psi : A_n \to \phi_{nm}(\mathbf{1}_{A_n})A_m\phi_{nm}(\mathbf{1}_{A_n})$$

be defined by $\psi = \widetilde{\psi_0} \oplus \psi_1 \oplus \psi_2$. Then

$$KK(\phi_{n,m}) = KK(\psi) \in KK(A_n, A_m)$$

and

$$\|AffT\phi_{n,m}(f) - AffT\psi(f)\| < \varepsilon$$

for all $f \in G$, where $AffT$ map is the unital map regarded as a map from $AffTA_n$ to $AffT(\phi_{nm}(\mathbf{1}_{A_n})A_m\phi_{nm}(\mathbf{1}_{A_n}))$.

Furthermore by enlarging the set F, one can assume that the condition (1) implies that

$$\|AffT\phi_{n,m}(g) - AffT\psi'(g)\| < \tfrac{\varepsilon}{2}, \forall g \in G,$$

where $\psi' = \psi_0 \oplus \psi_1 \oplus \psi_2$.

(If we further assume that $\frac{rank(Q_0)}{rank(Q_1)} < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$, then

$$\|AffT\psi'(g) - AffT\psi(g)\| < \tfrac{\varepsilon}{2} \text{ for all } g \text{ with } \|g\| \leq 1.)$$

Proof. Using dilation Lemma — Lemma 1.9 and 1.11, one can assume that each block A_n^i is of the form $M_{[n,i]}(C(X_{n,i}))$, $X_{n,i} = S^1$, $[0,1]$, $T_{II,k}$ or of form $M_{[n,i]}(I_{k_{n,i}})$. Let

$$\varepsilon' = \frac{\varepsilon}{\max_{1\leq i\leq t_n}[n,i]}.$$

For $F_n^i \subset A_n^i$, let $F'^i \subset C(X_{n,i})$ (or $I_{k_{n,i}}$) be the finite set consisting of all entries of elements in $F_n^i (\subset M_{[n,i]}C(X_{n,i}))$ or $M_{[n,i]}(I_{k_{n,i}})$. Let $\eta > 0$ be such that if $x, x' \in X_{n,i}$ (or $x, x' \in [0,1]$) with dist $(x, x') < 2\eta$, then

$$\| f(x) - f(x') \| < \tfrac{\varepsilon'}{3} \text{ for all } f \in F'^i.$$

Apply Theorem 4.4 to A_n and η, there is a $\delta > 0$ as in Theorem 4.4. That is, there are $m_1 > n$, connected finite simplicial complexes $Z_i^1, Z_i^2, \cdots, Z_i^\bullet \subset SpA_n^i$, and a homomorphism

$$\phi' = \oplus_i \oplus_s A_n^i|_{Z_i^s} \to A_{m_1}$$

satisfying the following conditions:
(1) $\phi_{n,m'} = \phi' \circ \pi$, where $\pi : A_n \to \oplus_i \oplus_s A_n^i|_{Z_i^s}$ is defined by the direct sun of the restriction

maps.
(2) for each $Z_i^s \subset Sp(A_n^i)$ (either $X_{n,i}$ or $[0,1]$ for the case of $M_{[n,i]}(I_{k_{ni}})$), the partial map

$$\phi'^{(i,s),j} : A_n^i|_{Z_i^s} \to A_{m_1}^j$$

either has $sdp(\eta/32, \delta)$ property or is zero map.

Let L be the maximum of the number L as in Theorem 4.35 of [G5] (corresponding to Z_i^s, η, δ) or L as in Theorem 4.11 (see Remark 4.12).

Let $T = \max_i [n,i]$, where $[n,i]$ appears in $A_n^i = M_{[n,i]}(C(X_{n,i}))$ or $M_{[n,i]}(I_{k_{n,i}})$. Let

$$K = 125T \cdot L^2 \cdot 2^{L+1}$$

(here $125 = (2+2+1)^3$ and $\dim(X_{n,i}) \leq 2$ as appeared in Theorem 4.35 of [G5] as $(\dim X + \dim Y + 1)^3$).

Let $B = \oplus_i \oplus_s A_n^i \mid_{Z_i^s}$.

By Proposition 3.2, there is $m_2 > m_1$ such that each partial map $\phi^{(i,s),j} : A_n^i|_{Z_i^s} \to A_{m_2}^j$ of $\phi = \phi_{m_1,m_2} \circ \phi' : B \to A_{m_2}$ satisfies one of following two conditions:
(a) There is a unital homomorphism

$$\psi^{(i,s),j} : A_n^i|_{Z_i^s} \to \phi^{(i,s),j}(1) A_m^j \phi^{(i,s),j}(1)$$

with finite dimensional image, such that

$$\| \phi^{(i,s),j}(f) - \psi^{(i,s),j}(f) \| < \varepsilon$$

for all $f \in F^{(i,s)} = \pi_s(F^i)$, where $\pi_s : A_n^i \to A_n^i|_{Z_i^s}$ is the restriction map; or
(b) $\phi^{(i,s),j}$ is K-large.

We need the following observation: in the decomposition of $\phi : C(X) \to PM_kC(Y)P$ in Theorem 4.35 of [G5], if $\dim(X) \leq 2, \dim(Y) \leq 2$ with $H^2(X)$ and $H^2(Y)$ torsion groups as in our case (note that for a proper subsimplicial complex X of $T_{II,k}$, one has that $H^2(X) = 0$), and $rank(Q_0) \geqslant 12$, then there is a homomorphism $\widetilde{\phi}_0 \in Hom(C(X), Q_0M_k(Y)Q_0)_1$ such that $KK(\phi) = KK(\widetilde{\phi}_0 \oplus \phi_1 \oplus \phi_2)$. This is a consequence of Theorem 3.22 of [EG2]. (If $\text{rank}(Q_0) < 12$, we can move part of point evaluation from part ϕ_1 into ϕ_0 to make $\text{rank}(Q_0) \geq 12$).

Applying Theorem 2.12 of [GJLP] to homogenous copy of A_n^i or applying Theorem 4.11 to the dimension drop copy of A_n^i, and using above observation, we have the following claim.

Claim: Let $A = \lim(A_n = \oplus A_n^i, \phi_{n,m})$ be $\mathcal{AHD}$ inductive limit with ideal property. For any finite set $F = \oplus F_n^i \subset A_n^i$ and $\varepsilon > 0$, there is an $m_2 > 0$, such that the following is true.

(1) For each block $A_n^i = M_{[n,i]}C(X_{n,i})$, for any $A_{m_2}^j$ (no matter $A_{m_2}^j$ is of homogenous type or of dimension drop type) if $\psi : A_{m_2}^j \to M_\bullet(C(Z))$ is a homomorphism, then

$$\psi \circ \phi_{n,m}^{i,j} : A_n^i \to \psi \circ \phi_{n,m}^{i,j}(1) M_\bullet(C(Z)) \psi \circ \phi_{n,m}^{i,j}(1)$$

has the desired decomposition as in our theorem. That is, there are projections

$$Q_0, Q_1, Q_2 \in M_\bullet(C(Z))$$

with

$$Q_0 + Q_1 + Q_2 = \psi \circ \phi_{n,m}^{i,j}(\mathbf{1})$$

and

$$\psi_0 \in Map(A_n^i, Q_0 M_\bullet C(Z) Q_0),$$

$$\psi_1 \in Hom(A_n^i, Q_1 M_\bullet C(Z) Q_1),$$

and

$$\psi_2 \in Hom(A_n^i, Q_2 M_\bullet C(Z) Q_2)$$

such that

$$\| \psi \circ \phi_{n,m_2}^{i,j}(f) - (\psi_0(f) \oplus \psi_1(f) \oplus \psi_2(f)) \| < \varepsilon, \quad \forall f \in F_n^i,$$

where $(\psi_0 \oplus \psi_1)(F_n^i)$ is weakly approximately constant to within ε, and ψ_2 factors through direct sum of matrix algebras over intervals or points. (In particular, if $A_{m_2}^j$ is of homogeneous type, then this part of claim implies that we can get desired decomposition for $\phi_{n,m_2}^{i,j}$ by choosing ψ to be identity). Note that we use the above observation to get required property (4) in our Theorem.

(2) For each block A_n^i of dimension drop type, for each $A_{m_2}^j$ (no matter $A_{m_2}^j$ is of dimension drop type or of homogeneous type) if $\psi : A_{m_2}^j \to M_\bullet(I_k)$ is a homomorphism, then $\psi \circ \phi_{n,m_2}^{i,j}$

can be decomposed as desired in the theorem. Note for this case the property (4) already holds, since all parts ψ_0, ψ_1, ψ_2 of the decomposition are homomorphisms.

We apply the claim again to A_{m_2} (in place of A_n) and $F' = \oplus_j \oplus_i \phi^{i,j}_{n,m}(F^i_n) \subset \oplus A^j_{m_2}$ (in place of F) and $\varepsilon > 0$, to obtain A_m (in place of A_{m_2}). Then it is routine to check that A_m and $\phi_{n,m}$ satisfy the condition by decomposing $\phi^{i,j}_{n,m} = \bigoplus_k \phi^{k,j}_{m_2,m} \circ \phi^{i,k}_{n,m_2}$ — that is, if A^i_n and $A^k_{m_2}$ are of same type (both homogeneous or both dimension drop), then $\phi^{i,k}_{n,m}$ can be decomposed with desired approximation on F, if $A^k_{m_2}$ and A^j_m are of the same type, then we decompose $\phi^{m,j}_{m_2,k}$, and finally if A^i_n and A^j_m are of same type, then we decompose the composition $\phi^{k,j}_{m_2,m} \circ \phi^{i,k}_{n,m_2}$.

□

The following is more or less Theorem 3.7 of [EGJS] which is the uniqueness theorem involving dimension drop algebra (see also [Ell2]).

Proposition 4.14. Let $\eta < 1$ and δ be the positive numbers. There exist a finite set $H \subseteq C_{\mathbb{R}}[0,1]$ such that the following is true. Let $\phi_0, \phi_1 : A = M_{l_1}(I_{k_1}) \to B = M_{l_2}(I_{k_2})$ be two unital homomorphisms satisfying
(i) $[\phi_0] = [\phi_1] \in KK(A,B)$,
(ii) ϕ_0 has $sdp(\frac{\eta}{8}, \delta)$ property.
(iii) $\| AffT\phi_0(h) - AffT\phi_1(h) \| < \frac{\delta}{4}, \forall h \in H$.
Then there is a unitary $W \in B$ such that

$$\| \phi_1(f) - W\phi_0(f)W^* \| \leq 3 \sup\{\|f(x) - f(y)\|, |x-y| < \eta\}.$$

That is, for a fixed $F \subset A$, $\varepsilon > 0$, if F is $(\frac{\varepsilon}{3}, \eta)$ uniformly continuous, then one can choose W such that

$$\| \phi_1(f) - W\phi_0(f)W^* \| \leq \varepsilon, \text{ for all } f \in F.$$

Proof. One separates the fractional part of 0 and 1 out from the $Sp\phi_0 \mid_t$ and $Sp\phi_1 \mid_t$ (which are the same since $KK(\phi_0) = KK(\phi_1)$. Then it follows from the same proof of [Li 2, 2.15], that $Sp\phi_0 \mid_t$ and $Sp\phi_1 \mid_t$ can be paired within η for each $t \in (0,1)$). Then the proposition follows from Proposition 4.9 and Remark 4.10.

□

Remark 4.15. In the above proposition, using the same set $H \subset C_{\mathbb{R}}[0,1]$ which only

depends on η and δ, one has the following conclusion. For any closed interval $X \subset [0,1]$ (X may be of forms $[0,b]$, $[a,1]$ or $[a,b]$), if $\phi_0, \phi_1 : A \mid_X \to B = M_{l_2}(I_{k_2})$ such that

(1) $KK(\phi_0) = KK(\phi_1)$,

(2) ϕ_0 has $sdp(\eta/8, \delta)$ property (with respect to X)

and

(3) $\| AffT\phi_0(h \mid_X) - AffT\phi_1(h \mid_X) \| < \frac{\delta}{4}$,

then the conclusion of Proposition 4.14 still holds.

§5. Additional decomposition theorems

In this section, we will prove two more decomposition theorems. One is about the homomorphism from I_k to $PM_\bullet(C(X))P$ and another about the one from $C(X)$ to $M_\bullet(I_k)$, where X is a 2 dimensional finite simplicial complex.

First we will prove the following theorem.

Theorem 5.1. Let $F \subset I_k$ be a finite set, $\varepsilon > 0$. There is an $\eta > 0$, satisfying that if

$$\phi : I_k \to PM_\bullet(C(X))P \quad (dim(X) \leq 2)$$

is a unital homomorphism such that for any $x \in X$,

$$\sharp(Sp\phi'_x \cap [0, \eta/4]) \geq k \text{ and } \sharp(Sp\phi'_x \cap [1-\eta/4, 1]) \geq k,$$

where

$$\phi' : C[0,1] \xrightarrow{\imath} I_k \xrightarrow{\phi} PM(C(X))P,$$

then there are three mutually orthogonal projections

$$Q_0,\ Q_1,\ P_1\ \in PM_\bullet(C(X))P$$

with

$$Q_0 + Q_1 + P_1 = P$$

and a unital homomorphism

$$\psi_1 :\ M_k(C[0,1]) \to P_1 M_\bullet(C(X))P_1$$

such that
(1) write $\psi(f) = \underline{f}(0)Q_0 + \underline{f}(1)Q_1 + (\psi_1 \circ \imath)(f)$ we have

$$\| \phi(f) - \psi(f) \| < \varepsilon$$

for all $f \in F \subset I_k \subset M_k(C[0,1])$.
(2) $rank(Q_0) \leq k$ and $rank(Q_1) \leq k$.

We will divide the proof into several steps.

5.2. Let $\eta > 0$ (and $\eta < 1$) be such that if $| t - t' | < \eta$, then $\| f(t) - f(t') \| < \frac{\varepsilon}{6}$. We will prove that this η is as desired. Let a unital homomorphism $\phi : I_k \to PM_\bullet C(X)P$ satisfy that $\sharp(Sp\phi_x \cap [0, \frac{\eta}{4}]) \geq k$, and $\sharp(Sp\phi_x \cap [1 - \frac{\eta}{4}, 1]) \geq k$ for each $x \in X$, we will prove such ϕ has the decomposition as desired.

5.3. Let $rank(P) = n$. And let $e_{i,j} \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$ be the matrix units. For any closed set $Y \subset [0,1]$, define $h_Y \in C[0,1] \subset I_k$ (considering C[0,1] as in the center of I_k) as

$$h_Y(t) = \begin{cases} 1, & if\ t \in Y. \\ 1 - \dfrac{12n}{\eta} dist(t,x), & if\ dist(t,x) \leq \dfrac{\eta}{12n}. \\ 0, & if\ dist(t,x) \geq \dfrac{\eta}{12n}. \end{cases} .$$

Define $H' = \{h_Y \mid Y \text{ closed}\} \cup \{h_Y e_{ij}, Y \subset [\frac{\eta}{12n}, 1 - \frac{\eta}{12n}] \text{ closed}\}$. Note that for $Y \subset [\frac{\eta}{12n}, 1 - \frac{\eta}{12n}]$, $h_Y(0) = h_Y(1) = 0$, and therefore $h_Y e_{ij} \in I_k$. Note also that the family H' is equally continuous. There is a finite set $H \subset H'$ satisfying that for any $h' \in H'$, $\exists h \in H$ such that

$$\| h - h' \| \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{12(n+1)^2}.$$

For finite set $H \cup F$, $\varepsilon > 0$, and $\phi : I_k \to PM_\bullet(C(X))P$, there is a $\tau > 0$ such that the following are true:
(a) For $x, x' \in X$ with $dist(x', x) < \tau$, $Sp\phi|_x$ and $Sp\phi|_{x'}$ can be paired within $\frac{\eta}{24n^2}$, this condition is equivalent to that $Sp\phi'|_x$ can be paired with $Sp\phi'|_{x'}$ to within $\frac{\eta}{24n^2}$ (since $KK(\phi|_x) = KK(\phi|_{x'})$), where $\phi' = \phi \circ \iota$ is as the above.
(b) For $x, x' \in X$ with $dist(x', x) < \tau$,

$$\|\phi(h)(x) - \phi(h)(x')\| \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{12(n+1)^2},$$

regarding $\phi(h)(x) \in P(x)M_\bullet(\mathbb{C})P(x) \subset M_\bullet(\mathbb{C})$ and $\phi(h)(x') \in P(x')M_\bullet(\mathbb{C})P(x') \subset M_\bullet(\mathbb{C})$. In particular, since $1 \in H$, $\|P(x) - P(x')\| < \frac{\varepsilon}{12(n+1)^2}$.

5.4. Choose any simplicial decomposition on X such that for any simplex $\Delta \subset X$, the set

$$Star(\triangle) = \cup\{\overset{\circ}{\Delta}' \mid \Delta' \text{ is a simplex of } X \text{ with } \Delta' \cap \Delta \neq \varnothing\}$$

has diameter at most $\frac{\tau}{2}$, where $\overset{\circ}{\Delta}'$ is the interior of the simplex Δ'.

5.5. We will construct the homomorphism $\psi : I_k \to PM_\bullet(C(X))P$ which is of form

$$\psi(f) = \underline{f}(0)Q_0 + \underline{f}(1)Q_1 + \psi_1(f)$$

as described in the theorem. Our construction will be carried out simplex by simplex. That is, we first define the restriction of map ψ to $PM_\bullet(C(X))P|_v = P(v)M_\bullet(\mathbb{C})P(v)$ for each vertex $v \in X$. The homomorphism is denoted by

$$\psi|_{\{v\}} : I_k \to P(v)M_\bullet(\mathbb{C})P(v).$$

For the second step, we will define, for each 1-simplex $[a, b] \subset X$, the homomorphisms

$$\psi|_{[a,b]} : I_k \to P|_{[a,b]}M_\bullet(C([a, b]))P|_{[a,b]}$$

which will give the same maps as $\psi|_{\{a\}}$ and $\psi|_{\{b\}}$ defined in previous step. In the third and last step, we will define, for each 2-simplex $\Delta \subset X$, the homomorphism

$$\psi|_\Delta : I_k \to P|_\Delta M_\bullet(C(\Delta))P|_\Delta$$

such that $\psi|_{\partial\triangle}$ should be as same as what defined in the second step for 1-simplex.

5.6. For each simplex Δ of any dimension, let C_Δ denote the center of the simplex. That is, if Δ is a vertex v, then $C_\Delta = v$; if Δ is a 1-simplex identified with [a,b], then $C_\Delta = \frac{a+b}{2}$; and if Δ is a 2-simplex identified with a triangle in $\mathbb{R}^2$ with vertices $\{a, b, c\} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2$, then $C_\Delta = \frac{a+b+c}{3} \in \mathbb{R}^2$ which is barycenter of Δ.

5.7. According to each simplex Δ (of possible dimension 0, 1, or 2), we will divide the set $Sp\phi'|_\Delta \subset [0, 1]$ into pieces, where recall $\phi' : C[0, 1] \hookrightarrow I_k \xrightarrow{\phi} PM_\bullet C(X)P$. (Recall $Sp\phi'|_x = \{Sp\phi|_x\}^{\sim k}$, and $Sp\phi'|_x$ has no fractional multiplicity). So for each $x \in X$,

$$Sp\phi'|_x = n = rank(P) \text{ (counting multiplicity).}$$

If we order $Sp\phi|_x$ as

$$0 \leq \lambda_1(x) \leq \lambda_2(x) \leq \cdots \leq \lambda_n(x) \leq 1,$$

then all functions λ_i are continuous functions. By path connectness of simplex Δ, one can write the set $Sp\phi|_\Delta$ as

$$Sp\phi|_\Delta = [a_0, b_0] \cup [a_1, b_1] \cup \cdots \cup [a_{k'-1}, b_{k'-1}] \cup [a_{k'}, b_{k'}]$$

with

$$0 \leq a_0 \leq b_0 < a_1 \leq b_1 < a_2 \leq b_2 < \cdots < a_{k'-1} \leq b_{k'-1} < a_{k'} \leq b_{k'} \leq 1.$$

We will group the above intervals into groups $T_0 \cup T_1 \cup \cdots \cup T_{last}$ (that is write $Sp\phi|_\Delta = \cup T_j$) with the condition that for any $\lambda \in T_j, \mu \in T_{j+1}$, we have $\lambda < \mu$, according to the following procedure:
(i) $Sp\phi|_\Delta \cap [0, \frac{\eta}{4}] \subset T_0$, that is all the above intervals $[a_i, b_i]$ with $b_i \leq \eta/4$ should be in the group T_0; and $Sp\phi|_\Delta \cap [1-\eta/4, 1] \subset T_{last}$, that is all $[a_i, b_i]$ with $a_i \geq 1-\eta/4$ will be grouped into the last group T_{last}.
(ii) If $|a_i - b_{i-1}| \leq \eta/12n$, then $[a_{i-1}, b_{i-1}]$ and $[a_i, b_i]$ are in the same group, say T_j.
(iii) If $|a_i - b_{i-1}| > \eta/12n$, and $a_i > \eta/4, b_{i-1} < 1 - \eta/4$, then $[a_{i-1}, b_{i-1}]$ and $[a_i, b_i]$ are in different groups say T_j and T_{j+1}.

Denote T_{last} by T_{l_Δ} (i.e $l_\Delta = last$) — if there is no danger of confusion, we will call T_{l_Δ} by T_l. Let $t_0 = 0$, $s_0 = \max\{\frac{\eta}{4}, \max T_0\}$, $t_l = \min\{1 - \frac{\eta}{4}, \min T_l\}$, $s_l = 1$; and for $1 < i <$, let $t_i = \min T_i$, and $s_i = \max T_i$. Then $T_i \subset [t_i, s_i]$. We have the following Lemma.

Lemma 5.8 With the above notation, we have the following
(a) length $[t_0, s_0] \leq \frac{\eta}{4} + \frac{\eta}{6}$, length $[t_l, s_l] \leq \eta/4 + \eta/6$,
length $[t_i, s_i] \leq \eta/6$ for $i \in \{1, 2, \cdots, l-1\}$.
(b) $|t_{i+1} - s_i| > \frac{\eta}{12n}$ for $i \in \{1, 2, \cdots, l-1\}$.

Proof. (b) is obvious, otherwise $T_i \subset [t_i, s_i]$ and $T_{i+1} \subset [t_{i+1}, s_{i+1}]$ will be grouped together as one group. The following fact is well known.

Fact: For any two sequences $0 \leq \lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2 \leq \cdots \leq \lambda_n \leq 1$ and $0 \leq \mu_1 \leq \mu_2 \leq \cdots \leq \mu_n \leq 1$, $\{\lambda_i\}_{i=1}^n$ and $\{\mu_i\}_{i=1}^n$ can be paired within σ if and only if $|\lambda_i - \mu_i| < \sigma$ for all $i \in \{1, 2, \cdots, n\}$.

Note that Δ is path connected and $Sp\phi|_\Delta = \bigcup_{i=1}^{k'} [a_i, b_i]$ with $[a_i, b_i] \cap [a_j, b_j] = \emptyset$ if $i \neq j$. We conclude that for any $z, z' \in \Delta$ and i,

$$\sharp(Sp\phi'|_z \cap [a_i, b_i]) = \sharp(Sp\phi'|_{z'} \cap [a_i, b_i])$$

counting multiplicity. In our construction, we know that $Sp\phi'|_z$ and $Sp\phi'|_{z'}$ can be paired within $\eta/24n^2$, using the above mentioned fact. We know also that

$$Sp\phi'|_z \cap [a_i, b_i]) \text{ and } Sp\phi'|_{z'} \cap [a_i, b_i]$$

can be paired within $\eta/24n^2$. Consequently

$$[a_i, b_i] \subset_{\eta/24n^2} [a_i, b_i] \cap Sp\phi'|_{C_\Delta},$$

where C_Δ is the center of simplex Δ. Note that $Sp\phi'|_{C_\Delta} \cap [a_i, b_i]$ is a finite set with at most n points in $[0, 1]$ and $\eta/24n^2$-neighborhood of each points is a closed interval of length at most $\eta/24n^2 \cdot 2 = \eta/12n^2$. Hence we have

$$length[a_i, b_i] \leq (\eta/12n^2) \cdot n = \eta/12n.$$

Furthermore, each T_j contains at most n intervals $[a_i, b_i]$ and for each consecutive intervals

$$[a_i, b_i] \cup [a_{i+1}, b_{i+1}] \subset (\eta/4, 1 - \eta/4),$$

and the distance between them $|a_{i+1} - b_i| \leq \eta/12n$. That is the gap between them is at most $\eta/12n$. Hence for each $i \in \{1, 2, \cdots, l-1\}$, the length of $[t_i, s_i]$ is at most

$$n \cdot \frac{\eta}{12n} + (n-1) \cdot \frac{\eta}{12n} < \eta/6$$

(at most n possible intervals and $n-1$ gaps)

Also

$$length[t_0, s_0] < \frac{\eta}{4} + \frac{\eta}{6}$$

and

$$length[t_l, s_l] < \frac{\eta}{4} + \frac{\eta}{6}.$$

□

5.9. For each simplex Δ with face $\Delta' \subset \Delta$, we use $T_i(\Delta)$ and $T_j(\Delta')$ to denote the sets $[t_i(\Delta), s_i(\Delta)]$ or $[t_j(\Delta'), s_j(\Delta')]$ in 5.8, corresponding to Δ and Δ'. Then evidently, the decomposition

$$Sp\phi|_{\Delta'} = \bigcup_j (T_j(\Delta') \cap Sp\phi|_{\Delta'}),$$

is a refinement of the decomposition $Sp\phi|_{\Delta'} = \cup(T_i(\Delta) \cap Sp\phi|_{\Delta'})$— that is, if two elements

$$\lambda, \mu \in Sp\phi|_{\Delta'}$$

in the same set $T_j(\Delta')$ (i,e $\lambda, \mu \in T_j(\Delta')$) for the same j), then they are in the same $T_i(\Delta)$ (i,e $\lambda, \mu \in T_i(\Delta)$ for the same i).

5.10. For each simplex Δ, consider

$$\phi : I_k \to PM_\bullet(C(\Delta))P = A|_\Delta$$

since $Sp\phi|_\Delta \subset \bigcup_{j=0}^{l} T_j(\Delta) = \bigcup\limits_{j=1}^{l} [t_j, s_j]$, ϕ factors through as

$$I_k \to \oplus_{j=0}^{l} I_k|_{[t_j,s_j]} \xrightarrow{\oplus\phi_j} PM_\bullet(C(\Delta))P.$$

Let $P_j(x) = \phi_j(\mathbf{1}_k|_{[t_j,s_j]})(x)$ for each $x \in \Delta$. Then $P_j(x)$ are mutually orthogonal projections with

$$\sum_{j=0}^{l} P_j(x) = P(x).$$

By the condition of Theorem 5.1, we have $rank(P_0) \geq k$ and $rank(P_l) \geq k$.

5.11. Now we define $\psi : I_k \to A|_\Delta$ simplex by simplex starting with vertices — the zero dimensional simplices.

Let $v \in X$ be a vertex. As in 5.8, we write

$$Sp\phi|_{\{v\}} = \bigcup_{i=0}^{l} [t_i, s_i] \bigcap Sp\phi|_{\{v\}},$$

where $0 = t_0 < s_0 < t_1 \leq s_1 < \cdots < t_{l-1} \leq s_{l-1} < t_l < s_l = 1$, with

$$[0, \eta/4] \subset [t_0, s_0] \subset [0, \eta/2],$$

$$[1 - \eta/4, 1] \subset [t_l, s_l] \subset [1 - \eta/2, 1],$$

$|s_i - t_i| < \eta/6$ for each i, and

$$|t_{i+1} - s_i| > \eta/12n.$$

Recall that $\phi|_{\{v\}} : I_k \to P(v)M_\bullet(\mathbb{C})P(v)$, as in 5.10, can be written as

$$\phi|_{\{v\}} = diag(\phi_0, \phi_1, \cdots, \phi_l) : I_k \to \bigoplus_{i=0}^{l} P_i M_\bullet(\mathbb{C}) P_i \subset P(v)M_\bullet(\mathbb{C})P(v),$$

with $\phi_i : I_k \to I_k|_{[t_i,s_i]} \to P_i M_\bullet(\mathbb{C}) P_i$ and $P(v) = \sum_{i=0}^{l} P_i$.

From now on, we will also use the notation $diag_{0\le i\le l}\ (\phi_i)$ to denote $diag(\phi_0, \phi_1, \cdots, \phi_l)$.

Define $\psi_i : I_k|_{[t_i,s_i]} \to P_i M_\bullet(\mathbb{C}) P_i$ by

$$\psi_i = \phi_i \text{ if } 1 \le i \le l-1,$$

(That is, we do not modify ϕ_i for $1 \le i \le l-1$). For $i = 0$ (the case $i = l$ is similar) we do the following modification. There is a unitary $u \in M_\bullet(\mathbb{C})$ such that

$$\phi_0(f)(v) = u \begin{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} f(\underline{0}) & & & \\ & f(\underline{0}) & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & & f(\underline{0}) \end{pmatrix}_{j\times j} & & & & & \\ & f(\xi_1) & & & & \\ & & f(\xi_1) & & & \\ & & & \ddots & & \\ & & & & f(\xi_{\bullet\bullet}) & \\ & & & & & 0 \\ & & & & & & \ddots \\ & & & & & & & 0 \end{pmatrix} u^*,$$

where $\xi_i \in (0, s_1]$, $0 < \xi_1 \le \xi_2 \le \cdots \le \xi_{\bullet\bullet} \le s_1$. If $0 < j \le k$ then we do not do any modification and just let $\psi_0 = \phi_0$. If $j > k$, then write $j = kk' + j'$ with $0 < j' \le k$ (not

quite a reminder) and choose $\xi' \in (0, \xi_1)$ and define

$$\psi_0(f)(v) = u \begin{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} f(\underline{0}) & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & f(\underline{0}) \end{pmatrix}_{j' \times j'} & & & & \\ & \begin{pmatrix} f(\xi') & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & f(\xi') \end{pmatrix}_{k' \times k'} & & & \\ & & f(\xi_1) & & \\ & & & \ddots & \\ & & & & f(\xi_{\bullet\bullet}) \\ & & & & & 0 & \\ & & & & & & \ddots \\ & & & & & & & 0 \end{pmatrix} u^*.$$

That is, change kk' terms of $f(\underline{0})$ in the diagonal of definition of ϕ_0 to k' terms of the form $f(\xi')$ (Note that $f(\xi') \in M_k(\mathbb{C})$ and $f(\underline{0}) \in \mathbb{C}$, so the size is correct). If $j = 0$, then we change ξ_1 to 0, that is

$$\psi_0(f)(v) = u \begin{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} f(\underline{0}) & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & f(\underline{0}) \end{pmatrix}_{k \times k} & & & & \\ & f(\xi_2) & & & \\ & & \ddots & & \\ & & & f(\xi_{\bullet\bullet}) & \\ & & & & 0 & \\ & & & & & \ddots \\ & & & & & & 0 \end{pmatrix} u^*.$$

Since $|\xi' - 0| < \frac{\eta}{2}$ and $|\xi_1 - 0| < \frac{\eta}{2}$, we have $\|\phi_0(f) - \psi_0(f)\| < \frac{\varepsilon}{6}$, for all $f \in F$(see 5.2). We do the similar modification for ϕ_l to define ψ_l. Let

$$\psi|_{\{v\}} = diag(\psi_0, \psi_1, \cdots, \psi_l) : I_k \to P(v)M_\bullet(\mathbb{C})P(v).$$

Then $\|\phi(f) - \psi(f)\| < \frac{\varepsilon}{6}$ for all $f \in F$.

Remark 5.12. When we define ψ_i to get the definition of ψ on the vertces $\{v\}$, we have

$$\psi_i = \phi_i \text{ for } 0 < i < l_{\{v\}} = l$$

and

$$Sp(\psi_0) \subset [0, s_0], Sp(\psi_{l(v)}) \subset [t_{l\{v\}}, 1].$$

Furthermore, $\psi_i(1) = \phi_i(1)$ for any i, and consequently $\psi(1) = \phi(1)$.

5.13. Let Δ be 1-simplex $[a, b] \subset X$ in the simplicial decomposition. We already have the definitions of $\psi|_{\{a\}}$ and $\psi|_{\{b\}}$, and need to define $\psi|_\Delta = \psi|_{[a,b]}$. According to 5.7, we write $Sp\phi|_\Delta = \bigcup_{j=1}^{l_\Delta} Sp\phi|_\Delta \cap T_j^\Delta$ with $T_0^\Delta = [0, s_0(\Delta)]$ and $T_{l_\Delta(\Delta)} = [t_{l_\Delta(\Delta)}, 1]$. Recall that in the definition of $\psi|_{\{a\}}$, $\psi|_{\{b\}}$, we use the decomposition

$$\phi|_{\{a\}} = diag_{1 \le j \le l_{\{a\}}}(\phi_{\{0\}}^{T_j^{(\{a\})}})$$

and

$$\phi|_{\{b\}} = diag_{1 \le j \le l_{\{b\}}}(\phi_{\{b\}}^{T_j^{(\{b\})}})$$

and only modified $\phi_0 = \phi_{\{a\}}^{[0,s_0\{a\}]}$ (or corresponding $\phi_{\{b\}}^{[0,s_0\{b\}]}$) and $\phi_{l\{a\}} = \phi_{\{a\}}^{[t_{l_{\{a\}}}(\{a\}),1]}$ (or corresponding $\phi_{\{b\}}^{[t_{l_{\{b\}}}(\{b\}),1]}$).

For $\Delta = [a, b]$, let us consider the decomposition

$$\phi|_\Delta = \bigoplus_{j=1}^{l_\Delta} \phi_\Delta^{[t_j(\Delta), s_j(\Delta)]}.$$

From the above, we know that for any $0 < j < l_\Delta$, the definition of $\phi_\Delta^{[t_j(\Delta),s_j(\Delta)]}|_{\{a\}}$ is same as $\psi|_{\{a\}}^{[t_j(\Delta),s_j(\Delta)]}$, since the decomposition

$$Sp\phi|_{\{a\}} = \bigcup_{j=1}^{l_{\{a\}}} T_j\{a\} \cap Sp\phi|_{\{a\}}$$

is finer than the decomposition

$$Sp\phi|_{\{a\}} = \bigcup_{j=1}^{l_\Delta} T_j(\Delta) \cap Sp\phi|_{\{a\}}$$

as pointed out in 5.9 — that is only partial maps involving

$$[0, s_1\{a\}] \subset [0, s_1(\Delta)]$$

and

$$[t_{l_{\{a\}}}(\{a\}), 1] \subset [t_{l_{(\Delta)}}(\Delta), 1]$$

are modified. The same is true for $\phi|_{\{b\}}$ and $\psi|_{\{b\}}$. Therefore we can define the partial maps

$$\psi|_{\Delta}^{[t_j(\Delta),s_j(\Delta)]} = \phi|_{\Delta}^{[t_j(\Delta),s_j(\Delta)]}$$

for $0 < j < l_\Delta$. The only part to be modified are $\phi|_{\Delta}^{[0,s_0(\Delta)]}$ and $\phi|_{\Delta}^{[t_l(\Delta),1]}$.

5.14. Now denote $\phi|_{\Delta}^{[0,s_0(\Delta)]}(\Delta = [a,b])$ by ϕ_0 and $\phi|_{\Delta}^{[t_l(\Delta),1]}$ by ϕ_l, and $s_0(\Delta)$ by s_0, $t_{l(\Delta)}(\Delta)$ by t_l. Now we have two unital homomorphisms

$$\phi_0 : I_k|_{[0,s_0]} \to P_0 M_\bullet C(\Delta) P_0 \text{ and}$$

$$\phi_l : I_k|_{[t_l,1]} \to P_l M_\bullet C(\Delta) P_l,$$

where P_0, P_l are defined as in 5.10, we will only do the modification of ϕ_0 to get ψ_0 (the one for ϕ_l is completely the same).

We already have the definitions of $\psi_0|_{\{a\}}$ and $\psi_0|_{\{b\}}$. Note that $P_0 \in M_\bullet(C(\Delta))$ can be written as $\phi(h_{[0,s_0]})$, where $h_{[0,s_0]}$ is the test function appear in 5.3, which is 1 on $[0,s_0]$ and 0 on $[s_0 + \frac{\eta}{12n}, 1]$. ($\phi|h_{[0,s_0]}$ is a projection since $Sp\phi \subset [0,s_0] \cup [t_1,1]$ and $t_1 > s_0 + \frac{\eta}{12n}$). Consequently,

$$\|P_0(x) - P_0(y)\| < \frac{\varepsilon}{12(n+1)^2}$$

(see (b) of 5.3) for all $x, y \in [a,b] = \Delta$.

There exists a unitary $W \in M_\bullet(C(\Delta))$ such that

$$P_0(x) = W(x) \begin{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & 1 \end{pmatrix}_{rank(P_0)\times rank(P_0)} & & \\ & \begin{matrix} 0 & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & 0 \end{matrix} & \end{pmatrix} W^*(x),$$

for all $x \in \Delta$ and $\|W(x) - W(y)\| < \frac{\varepsilon}{6(n+1)^2}$.

To define

$$\psi_0 : I_k|_{[0,s_0]} \to P_0 M_\bullet(C(\Delta)) P_0$$

is as same as to define

$$AdW^* \circ \psi_0 : I_k|_{[0,s_0]} \to M_{rank(P_0)}(C(\Delta)),$$

since

$$W^*P_0W = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{1}_{rank(P_0)} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Note that

$$\sharp(Sp\widetilde{\psi}_0|_{\{a\}} \cap \{0\}) = rank(P_0) \quad (mod\ k),$$

where

$$\widetilde{\psi}_0 : C[0, s_0] \hookrightarrow I_k|_{[0,s_0]} \xrightarrow{\psi_0} P_0(\{a\})M_\bullet(\mathbb{C})P_0(\{a\})$$

(that is true since all spectra other than 0 appear as multiple of k times). Similarly,

$$\sharp(Sp\widetilde{\psi}_0|_{\{b\}} \cap \{0\}) = rank(P_0) \quad (mod\ k).$$

Also from the definition of ψ on the vertices (namely on $\{a\}$ and $\{b\}$) from 5.11, we know both $\sharp(Sp\widetilde{\psi}_0|_{\{b\}} \cap \{0\})$ and $\sharp(Sp\widetilde{\psi}_0|_{\{a\}} \cap \{0\})$ are the same number, call it k' with $0 < k' \leq k$.

Lemma 5.15 Suppose that two unital homomorphisms

$$\alpha', \alpha'' : I_k|_{[0,s_0]} \to M_{rank(P_0)}(\mathbb{C})$$

satisfy that

$$0 < \sharp(Sp\widetilde{\alpha}' \cap \{0\}) = \sharp(Sp\widetilde{\alpha}'' \cap \{0\}) \leq k$$

(counting multiplicity, where $\widetilde{\alpha}'$ (and $\widetilde{\alpha}''$) is the composition

$$C[0, s_0] \hookrightarrow I_k|_{[0,s_0]} \xrightarrow{\alpha'} M_{rank(P_0)}(\mathbb{C})).$$

Then there is a homomorphism

$$\alpha : I_k|_{[0,s_0]} \to M_{rank(P_0)}(C[a, b]),$$

such that $0 < \sharp(Sp\widetilde{\alpha}|_t \cap \{0\}) \leq k$, for all $t \in [a, b]$ and $\alpha|_{\{a\}} = \alpha'$, $\alpha|_{\{b\}} = \alpha''$, where again $\widetilde{\alpha}$ is the composition

$$C[0, s_0] \hookrightarrow I_k|_{[0,s_0]} \xrightarrow{\alpha} M_{rank(P_0)}(C[a, b])$$

Proof. We can regard $[a, b] = [0, 1]$. There are two unitaries $u, v \in M_{rank(P_0)}(\mathbb{C})$ and $k' \in \{1, 2, \ldots, k_l\}$ and

$$0 < \xi_1 \leq \xi_2 \leq \cdots \leq \xi_\bullet \leq s_0$$

$$0 \le \xi' \le \xi'_2 \le \cdots \le \xi'_\bullet \le s_0,$$

such that

$$\alpha'(f) = u \begin{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} f(\underline{0}) & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & f(\underline{0}) \end{pmatrix}_{k' \times k'} & & & \\ & f(\xi_1) & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & & f(\xi_\bullet) \end{pmatrix} u^*$$

and

$$\alpha''(f) = v \begin{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} f(\underline{0}) & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & f(\underline{0}) \end{pmatrix}_{k' \times k'} & & & \\ & f(\xi'_1) & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & & f(\xi'_\bullet) \end{pmatrix} v^*.$$

Let $u(t)$, $0 \le t \le \frac{1}{2}$ be any unitary path with $u(0) = u$, $u(\frac{1}{2}) = v$. Define α as follows.

For $0 \le t \le \frac{1}{2}$

$$\alpha(f)(t) = u(t) \begin{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} f(\underline{0}) & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & f(\underline{0}) \end{pmatrix}_{k' \times k'} & & & \\ & f(\xi_1) & & \\ & & f(\xi_2) & \\ & & & \ddots \\ & & & f(\xi_\bullet) \end{pmatrix} u^*(t);$$

and for $\frac{1}{2} \le t \le 1$

$$\alpha(f)(t) = v \begin{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} f(\underline{0}) & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & f(\underline{0}) \end{pmatrix}_{k' \times k'} & & \\ & f((2-2t)\xi_1 + (2t-1)\xi'_1) & \\ & & \ddots \\ & & f((2-2t)\xi_\bullet + (2t-1)\xi'_\bullet) \end{pmatrix} v^*.$$

□

5.16. Applying the above lemma,we can define

$$\alpha : I_k|_{[0,s_0]} \to M_{rank(P_0)}(C[a,b])$$

such that

$$\imath \circ \alpha|_{\{a\}} = AdW^*(a) \circ \psi_0|_{\{a\}}$$

and

$$\imath \circ \alpha|_{\{b\}} = AdW^*(b) \circ \psi_0|_{\{b\}},$$

where $\imath : M_{rank(P_0)}(\mathbb{C}) \to M_\bullet(\mathbb{C})$ is defined by

$$\imath(A) = \begin{pmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then we define

$$\psi_0 : I_k|_{[0,s_0]} \to P_0 M_\bullet(C(\Delta))P_0$$

by $\psi_0 = AdW \circ (\imath \circ \alpha)$ — that is for any $t \in [a,b] = \Delta$,

$$\psi_0(f)(t) = W(t) \begin{pmatrix} \alpha(f)(t) & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} W^*(t).$$

As mentioned in 5.13, when we modify $\phi|_{[a,b]}$ to obtain $\psi|_{[a,b]}$, we only need to modify $\phi_0 = \phi|_{[a,b]}^{[0,s_0]}$ and $\phi_l = \phi|_{[a,b]}^{[t_l,1]}$. The modifications of ϕ_l to ψ_l are the same as that from ϕ_0 to ψ_0. Thus we have the definition of $\psi|_{[a,b]} = diag_{0\le i\le l}(\psi_i)$.

5.17. Let us estimate the difference of $\phi|_{[a,b]}$ and $\psi|_{[a,b]}$ on the finite set $F \subset I_k$. Note that

$$\phi|_{[a,b]} = diag_{0\le i\le l}(\phi_i), \quad \psi|_{[a,b]} = diag_{0\le i\le l}(\psi_i)$$

and $\phi_i = \psi_i$, for $0 < i < l$. So we only need to estimate $\|\phi_0(f) - \psi_0(f)\|$ and $\|\phi_l(f) - \psi_l(f)\|$.

Note that ϕ_0 and ψ_0 are from $I_k|_{[0,s_0]}$ to $P_0 M_\bullet(C[a,b])P_0$, where P_0 is as in 5.14. And both $AdW^* \circ \phi_0$ and $AdW^* \circ \psi_0$ can be regarded as $\imath \circ \phi'$ and $\imath \circ \psi'$ for

$$\phi', \psi' : I_k|_{[0,s_0]} \to M_{rank(P_0)}(C[a,b]),$$

where

$$\imath : M_{rank(P_0)}(C[a,b]) \to M_\bullet(C[a,b])$$

is given by

$$\imath(A) = \begin{pmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Claim: Any unital homomorphism $\alpha : I_k|_{[0,s_0]} \to M_{rank}(C[a,b])$ satisfies that

$$\left\| \alpha(f) - \begin{pmatrix} f(\underline{0}) & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & f(\underline{0}) \end{pmatrix}_{rank(P_0)} \right\| \leq \sup_{0<\xi\leq s_0} \|f(\xi) - f(0)\|.$$

Proof of the claim. For each $x \in [a,b]$, there exist $u_x \in U(M_{rank(P_0)}(\mathbb{C}))$ and $k' \in \{1, 2, \cdots, k_1\}$ and $0 \leq \xi_1 \leq \xi_2 \leq \cdots \leq \xi_{\bullet\bullet} \leq s_0$ such that

$$\alpha(f)(x) = u_x \begin{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} f(\underline{0}) & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & f(\underline{0}) \end{pmatrix}_{k'\times k'} & & & \\ & f(\xi_1) & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & & f(\xi_{\bullet\bullet}) \end{pmatrix} u_x^*.$$

Therefore

$$\|\alpha(f)(x) - f(\underline{0}) \cdot \mathbf{1}_{rank(P_0)}\| =$$

$$\left\| u_x \left[\begin{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} f(\underline{0}) & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & f(\underline{0}) \end{pmatrix}_{k'\times k'} & & & \\ & f(\xi_1) & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & & f(\xi_{\bullet\bullet}) \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} f(\underline{0}) & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & f(\underline{0}) \end{pmatrix}_{k'\times k'} & & & \\ & f(0) & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & & f(0) \end{pmatrix} \right] u_x^* \right\|$$

$$= \left\| \begin{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & 0 \end{pmatrix}_{k'\times k'} & & & \\ & f(\xi_1) - f(0) & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & & f(\xi_{\bullet\bullet}) - f(0) \end{pmatrix} \right\|$$

$$\leq \sup_{0\leq\xi\leq s_0} \|f(\xi) - f(0)\| .$$

□

It follows from the claim that

$$\|\phi(f)(t) - \psi(f)(t)\| \leq 2\max(\sup_{0\leq\xi\leq s_0}\|f(\xi) - f(0)\|, \sup_{t_l\leq\xi\leq 1}\|f(\xi) - f(1)\|) \leq 2\cdot\frac{\varepsilon}{6}$$

for all $t \in [a, b]$, and $f \in F$, as $|s_0 - 0| < \frac{\eta}{2}$ and $|t_l - 1| < \frac{\eta}{2}$. Hence we have the definition of ψ on the 1-skeleton $X^{(1)} \subset X$ such that

$$\|\phi(f)(t) - \psi(f)(t)\| < \frac{\varepsilon}{3}$$

for all $t \in X^{(1)}$ and $f \in F$.

5.18. Now fix a 2-simplex $\Delta \subset X$. We will define

$$\psi|_\Delta : I_k \to PM_\bullet(C(\Delta))P$$

with previous definition of

$$\psi|_{\partial\Delta} : I_k \to PM_\bullet C(\partial\Delta)P.$$

Again, write

$$\phi|_\Delta = diag_{0\leq i\leq l(\Delta)}(\phi_i),$$

where

$$\phi_i = \phi|_\Delta^{[t_i(\Delta),s_i(\Delta)]} = I_k|_{[t_i,s_i]} \to P_iM_\bullet(C(\Delta))P_i$$

and P_i are projections defined on Δ with

$$\sum_{i=0}^{l(\Delta)} P_i(x) = P(x),$$

for each $x \in \Delta$.

For each $\Delta' \subset \partial\Delta$, we know that the decomposition

$$Sp\phi|_{\Delta'} = \bigcup_{j=0}^{l_{\Delta'}} T_j(\Delta') \bigcap Sp\phi|_{\Delta'} = \bigcup_{j=0}^{l_{\Delta'}} [t_j(\Delta'), s_j(\Delta')] \bigcap Sp\phi|_{\Delta'}$$

is finer than the decomposition

$$Sp\phi|_{\Delta'} = \bigcup_{j=0}^{l_\Delta} T_j(\Delta) \bigcap Sp\phi|_{\Delta'} = \bigcup_{j=0}^{l_\Delta} [t_j(\Delta), s_j(\Delta)] \bigcap Sp\phi|_{\Delta'}.$$

Consequently

$$[0, s_0(\Delta')] \subset [0, s_0(\Delta)]$$

and

$$[t_{l(\Delta')}, 1] \subset [t_{l(\Delta)}, 1].$$

Note that when we define $\psi|_{\Delta'}$ by modifying $\phi|_{\Delta'}$ we only modified the parts of $\phi|_{\Delta'}^{[0,s_0(\Delta')]}$ and $\phi|_{\Delta'}^{[t_{l(\Delta')},1]}$ — that is

$$\phi|_{\Delta'}^{[s_0(\Delta')+\delta, t_{l(\Delta')}^{(\Delta')}-\delta]} = \psi|_{\Delta'}^{[s_0(\Delta')+\delta, t_{l(\Delta')}^{(\Delta')}-\delta]},$$

where $\delta \in (0, \frac{\eta}{12n})$. Hence

$$\phi|_{\Delta'}^{[t_1(\Delta), s_{l(\Delta)-1}(\Delta)]} = \psi|_{\Delta'}^{[t_1(\Delta), s_{l(\Delta)-1}(\Delta)]},$$

since

$$t_1(\Delta) > s_0(\Delta) + \frac{\eta}{12n} \geq s_0(\Delta') + \delta$$

and

$$s_{l(\Delta)-1} < t_{l(\Delta)}(\Delta) - \frac{\eta}{12n} < t_{l(\Delta')}(\Delta') - \delta.$$

Because $\Delta' \subset \partial\Delta$ is an arbitrary face, we have

$$\phi|_{\partial\Delta}^{[t_1(\Delta), s_{l(\Delta)-1}(\Delta)]} = \psi|_{\partial\Delta}^{[t_1(\Delta), s_{l(\Delta)-1}(\Delta)]},$$

Therefore as same as what we did on 1-simplexes,we define

$$\psi|_{\Delta}^{[t_j(\Delta), s_j(\Delta)]} = \phi|_{\Delta}^{[t_j(\Delta), s_j(\Delta)]}$$

for $j \in \{1, 2, \cdots, l(\Delta) - 1\}$. And we only need to modify $\phi|_{\Delta}^{[0,s_0(\Delta)]} = \phi_0$ and $\phi|_{\Delta}^{[t_{l(\Delta)},1]} = \phi_l$. We will only do it for ϕ_0.

5.19. We have the definition of unital homomorphism

$$\psi_0|_{\partial\Delta} : I_k|_{[0,s_0]} \to P_0 M_\bullet(C(\partial\Delta))P_0$$

such that

$$\sharp(Sp\widetilde{\psi_0}|_x \cap \{0\}) = k' \in \{1, 2, \cdots, k\}$$

for any $x \in \partial\Delta$, where $\widetilde{\psi_0}$ is defined as composition

$$C[0, s_0] \hookrightarrow I_k|_{(0,s_0]} \xrightarrow{\psi_0} P_0 M_\bullet(C(\partial\Delta))P_0.$$

We need to extend it to a homomorphism

$$\psi_0|_\Delta : I_k|_{[0,s_0]} \to P_0 M_\bullet(C(\Delta))P_0$$

such that $\sharp(Sp\widetilde{\psi_0}|_\Delta \cap \{0\}) = k'$ for all $x \in \Delta$. Once this extension is obtained, as in 5.17, we can use the claim in 5.17 to prove $\phi|_\Delta^{[0,s_0]}$ and $\psi|_\Delta^{[0,s_0]}$ are approximately equal to within $\frac{\varepsilon}{3}$ for all $f \in F$. (Note in the argument in 5.17, the estimation is true which do not depend on the choice of extension. It only uses $|s_0 - 0| < \eta/2 < \eta$, and $\|f(t) - f(t')\| < \frac{\varepsilon}{6}$ if $|t - t'| < \eta$.)

There is a $W \in U(M_\bullet(C(\Delta)))$ such that

$$P_0(x) = W(x) \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{1}_{rank(P_0)} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} W^*(x)$$

for any $x \in \Delta$. Again, if we can extend

$$(AdW^* \circ \psi_0)|_{\partial\Delta} : I_k|_{[0,s_0]} \to \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{1}_{rank(P_0)} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} M_\bullet(C(\Delta)) \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{1}_{rank(P_0)} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

to

$$\alpha|_\Delta : I_k|_{[0,s_0]} \to \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{1}_{rank(P_0)} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} M_\bullet(C(\Delta)) \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{1}_{rank(P_0)} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

then we can set $\psi_0|_\Delta = AdW \circ \alpha|_\Delta$ to obtain our extension. But $(AdW^* \circ \psi_0)|_{\partial\Delta}$ (and $\alpha|_\Delta$ respectively) should be regarded as a homomorphism from $I_k|_{[0,s_0]}$ to $M_{rank(P_0)}(C(\partial\Delta))$ (or to $M_{rank(P_0)}(C(\Delta))$. Hence the construction of $\psi_0|_\Delta$ follows from the following Lemma.

Lemma 5.20. Let $\beta : I_k|_{[0,s_0]} \to M_{n'}(C(S^1))$ be a unital homomorphism such that for any $x \in S^1$,

$$\sharp(Sp(\beta \circ \imath)_x \cap \{0\}) = k' \in \{1, 2, \cdots, k\}$$

for some fixed k' (not depending on x), where $\imath : C[0, s_0] \to I_k|_{[0,s_0]}$. Then there is a homomorphism

$$\overline{\beta} : I_k|_{[0,s_0]} \to M_{n'}(C(D)),$$

(where D is the disk with boundary S^1) such that

$$\sharp Sp(\overline{\beta} \circ \imath)_x \bigcap \{0\} = k'$$

for all $x \in D$ and $\pi \circ \overline{\beta} = \beta$, where

$$\pi : M_{n'}(C(D)) \to M_{n'}(C(S^1))$$

is the restriction.

Proof. Let $h(t) = t \cdot \mathbf{1}_k$ be the function in the center of $I_k|_{[0,s_0]}$. Then $\beta(h)$ is a self adjoint element in $M_{n'}(C(S^1))$. For each $z \in S^1$, write the eigenvalue of $\beta(h)(z)$ in increasing order

$$0 = \lambda_1(z) \leq \lambda_2(z) \leq \cdots \leq \lambda_{n'}(z) \leq s_0.$$

Then $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \cdots, \lambda_{n'}$ are continuous functions from S^1 to $[0, s_0]$. From the condition of the Lemma, we know that $\lambda_1(z) = \lambda_2(z) = \cdots = \lambda_{k'}(z) = 0$ and for all $j > k'$, $\lambda_j(z) > 0$(Note that each $\lambda_j(j > k')$ repeats some multiple of k times). Consequently, there is $\xi \in (0, s_0]$ such that $\lambda_j(z) \geq \xi$ for all $j > k'$. Hence β factors through as

$$I_k|_{[0,s_0]} \to I_k|_{\{0\}} \oplus I_k|_{[\xi,s_0]} \xrightarrow{diag(\beta_0,\beta_1)} M_{n'}(C(S^1)),$$

where

$$\beta_0 : I_k|_{\{0\}}(= \mathbb{C}) \to Q_0 M_{n'}(C(S^1))Q_0$$

and

$$\beta_1 : I_k|_{[\xi,s_0]}(= M_k(C[\xi, s_0]) \to Q_1 M_{n'}(C(S^1))Q_1$$

with

$$Q_0 + Q_1 = \mathbf{1}_{n'} \in M_{n'}(C(S^1)).$$

Note that $rank(Q_0) = k'$, and $rank(Q) = n' - k'$, which is a multiple of k. Write $rank(Q_1) = n' - k' = kk''$. There is a unitary $u \in M_n(C(S^1))$ such that

$$uQ_0u^* = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{1}_{k'} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \; uQ_1u^* = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \mathbf{1}_{n'-k'} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Hence

$$Adu \circ \beta = diag(\beta_0', \beta_1')$$

with

$$\beta_0' : I_k|_{\{0\}}(= \mathbb{C}) \to M_{k'}(C(S^1))$$

and

$$\beta_1' : I_k|_{[\xi,s_0]}(= M_k(C[\xi, s_0]) \to M_{kk''}(C(S^1)).$$

Evidently,

$$\beta_0'(c) = \begin{pmatrix} c & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & c \end{pmatrix} = c \cdot \mathbf{1}_{k'} \in M_{k'}(C(S^1)), \; \forall c \in \mathbb{C}.$$

For β_1' ,there is $\beta'' : C[\xi, s_0] \to M_{k''}(C(S^1))$ and a unitary $V \in M_{kk''}(C(S^1))$ such that

$$V\beta_1'(f)V^* = \beta'' \otimes id_k(f), \; \forall f \in M_k(C[\xi, s_0]).$$

Let

$$W = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{1}_{k'} & 0 \\ 0 & V \end{pmatrix} \cdot u.$$

Then we get

$$(AdW \circ \beta)(f) = \begin{pmatrix} f(\underline{0}) & & & \\ & \ddots & & \\ & & f(\underline{0}) & \\ & & & \beta'' \otimes id_k(f) \end{pmatrix}.$$

Let m be the winding number of the map

$$S^1 \ni z \to \text{determinant}(W(z)) \in \mathrm{T} \subseteq \mathbb{C}.$$

Then $W \in U(M_{n'}(C(S^1)))$ is homotopic to $W' \in M_{n'}(C(S^1))$ defined by

$$W'(e^{2\pi i\theta}) = \begin{pmatrix} e^{2\pi im\theta} & & & & \\ & 1 & & & \\ & & 1 & & \\ & & & \ddots & \\ & & & & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Let $\{w(r)\}_{\frac{1}{2} \le r \le 1}$ be a unitary path with $w(\frac{1}{2}) = W'$ and $w(1) = W$. Also evidently the homomorphism

$$\beta'' : C[\xi, s_0] \to M_{k''}(C(S^1))$$

is homotopic to the homomorphism

$$\beta''' : C[\xi, s_0] \to M_{k''}(C(S^1))$$

defined by

$$\beta'''(f)(e^{2\pi i\theta}) = f(\xi)\mathbf{1}_{k''}$$

— that is $\beta'''(f)(e^{2\pi i\theta})$ is constant matrix $f(\xi)\mathbf{1}_{k''}$ (which does not depend on θ). There is a path $\{\beta_r\}_{0 \le r \le \frac{1}{2}}$ of homomorphisms

$$\beta_r : C([\xi, s_0]) \to M_{k''}(C(S^1))$$

such that $\beta_{\frac{1}{2}} = \beta''$ and $\beta_0 = \beta'''$.

Finally, regard $D = \{re^{i\theta}, 0 \le r \le 1\}$, and define

$$\overline{\beta} : I_k|_{[0,s_0]} \to M_{n'}(C(D))$$

by

$$\overline{\beta}(f)(e^{i\theta}) = \begin{cases} w^*(r) \begin{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} f(\underline{0}) & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & f(\underline{0}) \end{pmatrix}_{k' \times k'} & \\ & (\beta'' \otimes id_k)(f)(e^{i\theta}) \end{pmatrix} w(r), \ if \ \frac{1}{2} \le r \le 1 \\ \begin{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} f(\underline{0}) & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & f(\underline{0}) \end{pmatrix}_{k' \times k'} & \\ & (\beta_r \otimes id_k)(f)(e^{i\theta}) \end{pmatrix}, \ if \ 0 \le r \le \frac{1}{2}. \end{cases}$$

This homomorphism is as desired.

Proof of Theorem 5.1. From 5.3—5.20, we have constructed

$$\psi : I_k \to PM_\bullet(C(X))P$$

with the property

$$\|\phi(f) - \psi(f)\| < \frac{\varepsilon}{3}$$

for all $f \in F$, and importantly for each $x \in X$, $\sharp(Sp\widetilde{\psi}|_x \cap \{0\})$ is a constant $k' \in \{1, 2, \cdots, k\}$ and $\sharp(Sp\widetilde{\psi}|_x \cap \{1\})$ is also a constant $k'_1 \in \{1, 2, \cdots, k\}$, where $\widetilde{\psi}$ is the composition

$$C[0,1] \hookrightarrow I_k \xrightarrow{\psi} PM_\bullet(C(X))P.$$

Let $h(t) = t \cdot \mathbf{1}_k \in I_k$, be the canonical function in the center of I_k. $\psi(h) \in PM_\bullet(C(X))P$ is a self adjoint element. For each $x \in X$, denote the eigenvalues of $\psi(h)(x)$ by

$$0 \le \lambda_1(x) \le \lambda_2(x) \le \cdots \le \lambda_{rank(P)}(x) \le 1.$$

Then all $\lambda_i(x)$ are continuous functions from X to $[0,1]$. Furthermore,

$$\lambda_1(x) = \lambda_2(x) = \cdots = \lambda_{k'}(x) = 0,$$

$$0 < \lambda_{k'+1}(x) \le \lambda_{k'+2}(x) \le \cdots \le \lambda_{rank(P)-k'_1}(x) < 1,$$

and

$$\lambda_{rank(P)-k'_1+1}(x) = \lambda_{rank(P)-k'_1+2}(x) = \cdots = \lambda_{rank(P)}(x) = 1.$$

Let

$$\xi_1 = \min_{x\in X} \lambda_{k'+1}(x) > 0 \text{ and } \xi_2 = \max \lambda_{rank(P)-k'_1}(x) < 1.$$

Then

$$Sp\psi \subset \{0\} \cup [\xi_1, \xi_2] \cup \{1\}.$$

That is ψ factors through as

$$I_k \to \mathbb{C} \oplus M_k(C[\xi_1, \xi_2]) \oplus \mathbb{C} \xrightarrow{diag(\alpha_0,\psi_1,\alpha_1)} PM_\bullet(C(X))P,$$

where we identify $I_k|_{\{0\}} = \mathbb{C}$ and $I_k|_{\{1\}} = \mathbb{C}$.

Let $Q_0 = \alpha_0(1), Q_1 = \alpha_1(1)$ and $P_1 = \psi_1(\mathbf{1}_{M_k(C([\xi_1,\xi_2]))})$. Finally regarding ψ_1 as

$$M_k(C[0,1]) \xrightarrow{restriction} M_k(C([\xi_1, \xi_2])) \xrightarrow{\psi_1} P_1M_\bullet(C(x))P_1,$$

we finish the proof of Theorem 5.1.

□

Remark 5.21. From the definition of ψ in the above procedure, for each $x \in X$, the map

$$\psi|_x : I_k \xrightarrow{\psi} PM_\bullet(C(X))P \xrightarrow{evaluate\ at\ x} P(x)M_\bullet(\mathbb{C})P(x)$$

was defined when the construction of

$$\psi|_\Delta : I_k \to PM_\bullet(C(\Delta))P$$

was carried out for the unique simplex Δ such that $x \in \overset{\circ}{\Delta}$ (the interior of Δ). As we already see, when we define $\psi|_\Delta$ by modifying $\phi|_\Delta$, the only modifications are made on two parts $\phi|_\Delta^{[0,s_0(\Delta)]}$ and $\phi|_\Delta^{[t_l(\Delta),1]}$. Consequently,

$$Sp\phi_x \cap (s_0(\Delta), t_l(\Delta)) = Sp\psi_x \cap (s_0(\Delta), t_l(\Delta))$$

as sets with multiplicity. On the other hand for any simplex Δ, $s_0(\Delta) < \frac{\eta}{2}$ and $t_{l(\Delta)}(\Delta) > 1 - \frac{\eta}{2}$. Hence

$$Sp\phi|_x \cap [\frac{\eta}{2}, 1 - \frac{\eta}{2}] = Sp\psi|_x \cap [\frac{\eta}{2}, 1 - \frac{\eta}{2}].$$

If we further assume that ϕ has property $sdp(\eta/4, \delta)$, then ψ has property $sdp(\eta, \delta)$. As a consequence, we can use the decomposition theorem for

$$\psi_1 : M_k(C[0,1]) \to P_1M_\bullet(C(X))P_1$$

to study the homomorphisms

$$\phi, \psi : I_k \to PM_\bullet(C(X))P.$$

Note that the homomorphisms $f \to f(\underline{0})Q_0$ and $f \to f(\underline{1})Q_1$ have finite dimensional image, or factor through finite dimensional C^*-algebras.

Remark 5.22. Lemma 5.20 is not true for the case $k' = 0$. In fact, there exists a unital homomorphism

$$\beta : M_k(\mathbb{C}) \to M_k(C(S^1))$$

which can not be extended to a homomorphism

$$\overline{\beta} : M_k(\mathbb{C}) \to M_k(C(D)).$$

5.23. Our next task is to study the possible decomposition of $\phi : C(X) \to M_l(I_{k_2})$ for $X \in [0,1], S^1$ or $\mathrm{T}_{II,k}$. The cases of $[0,1], S^1$ are more or less known(see [Ell1] and [EGJS]). Let us assume X is a 2-dimensional connected simplicial complex.

The following two lemmas are essentially due to Su (See [Su]). The case of $X =$ **graph** was stated in [Li].

Lemma 5.24. For any connected simplicial complex X, a finite set $F \subset C(X)$, which generate $C(X)$, $\eta > 0$ and a positive interger $n > 0$, there is a $\delta > 0$, such that for any two unital homomorphisms

$$\phi, \psi : C(X) \to M_n(\mathbb{C}),$$

if

$$\|\phi(f) - \psi(f)\| < \delta, \ \forall f \in F.$$

then $Sp(\phi)$ and $Sp(\psi)$ can be paired within η.

This is a consequence of Lemma 2.2 and 2.3 of [Su]; also see the argument in [Li 1, 2.1.3]. For the case of graph, it was stated in [Li 1, 2.1.9].

Lemma 5.25. For any connected simplicial complex X, a finite set of generators $F \subset C(X)$, $\varepsilon > 0$ and positive integer $n > 0$, there is $\delta > 0$ with the following property: If $x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_n \in X$ are n points (possibly repeating), $u, v \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$ are two unitaries such that

$$\|u \begin{pmatrix} f(x_1) & & & \\ & f(x_2) & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & & f(x_n) \end{pmatrix} u^* - v \begin{pmatrix} f(x_1) & & & \\ & f(x_2) & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & & f(x_n) \end{pmatrix} v^*\| < \delta,$$

for all $f \in F$, then there is a path of unitaries $u_t \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$ connecting u and v (i.e, $u_0 = u, u_1 = v$) such that

$$\|u_t \begin{pmatrix} f(x_1) & & & \\ & f(x_2) & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & & f(x_n) \end{pmatrix} u_t^* - u_{t'} \begin{pmatrix} f(x_1) & & & \\ & f(x_2) & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & & f(x_n) \end{pmatrix} u_{t'}^* \| < \varepsilon$$

for all $f \in F$ and $t, t' \in [0,1]$ (of course δ depends on both ε and n).

This was proved in step 2 and step 3 of the proof of Theorem 3.1 of [Su]. Note that Su deals with the more complicated case of homomorphisms from nonhomogeneous algebra (rather than $C(X)$), in particular, the case 2 of steps of [Su] is to deal for dealing with vertices with singularity, which does not appear in our case.

The following Lemma reduces the study of $\phi : C(X) \to M_l(I_k)$ to the study of homomorphism $\phi_1 : C(\Gamma) \to M_l(I_k)$, where $\Gamma \subset X$ is 1-skeleton of X under certain simplicial decomposition. Since Γ is a graph, then we will apply the technique from [Li 1-2] to obtain the decomposition of ϕ_1.

Lemma 5.26. Let X be any 2-dimensional simplicial complex. For any $F \subset C(X), \varepsilon > 0, \eta > 0$, and any unital homomorphism $\phi : C(X) \to M_l(I_k)$, there is a simpicial decomposition of X with 1-skeleton $X^{(1)} = \Gamma$ and a homomorphism $\phi_1 : C(\Gamma) \to M_l(I_k)$ such that the following are true (let $\pi : C(X) \to C(\Gamma)$ be the map given by $f \mapsto f|_\Gamma$):

1. $\|\phi(f) - \phi_1 \circ \pi(f)\| < \varepsilon$;

2. for any $t \in [0,1]$, $Sp\phi|_t$ and $Sp(\phi_1 \circ \pi)_t$ can be paired within η.

Proof. By Lemma 5.24, we only need to prove that there exists a homomorphism ϕ_1 to satisfy condition (1). Without lose of generality, we can assume that F generates $C(X)$. By 5.25, there is an $\varepsilon' > 0$ such that for any $x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_{kl} \in X$ and unitaries $u, v \in M_{kl}(\mathbb{C})$, if

$$\left\| u \begin{pmatrix} f(x_1) & & & \\ & f(x_2) & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & & f(x_{kl}) \end{pmatrix} u^* - v \begin{pmatrix} f(x_1) & & & \\ & f(x_2) & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & & f(x_{kl}) \end{pmatrix} v^* \right\| < \varepsilon',$$

then there is a continuous path u_t with $u_0 = u, u_1 = v$ satisfying that

$$\left\| u_t \begin{pmatrix} f(x_1) & & & \\ & f(x_2) & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & & f(x_{kl}) \end{pmatrix} u_t^* - u_{t'} \begin{pmatrix} f(x_1) & & & \\ & f(x_2) & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & & f(x_{kl}) \end{pmatrix} u_{t'}^* \right\| < \frac{\varepsilon}{3}.$$

Recall for the simplicial complex, a continuous path $\{x(t)\}_{0\leq t\leq 1}$ is called piecewise linear, if there are a sequence of points

$$0 = t_0 < t_1 < \cdots < t_n = 1$$

such that $\{x(t)\}_{t_i\leq t\leq t_{i+1}}$ fall in the same simplex of X and are linear there. Note that the property of piecewise linear is preserved under barycentric subdivision of the simplicial complex. For the simplicial complex X, we endow the standard metric on X, briefly described as below (see 1.4.1 of [G5] for detail). Identify each n-simplex with an n-simplex in $\mathbb{R}^n$ whose edges are of length 1, preserving affine structure of simplex. Such identifications give rise to a unique metric on simplex Δ. For any two points $x, y \in X$, $d(x, y)$ is defined to be length of the shortest path connecting x and y. (The length is measured in individual simplex, by breaking the path into small pieces). With this metric, if $x_0, x_1 \in X$ with $d(x_0, x_1) = d$, then there is a piecewise linear path $x(t)$ with length d such that $x(0) = x_0, x(1) = x_1$. Furthermore, $d(x(t), x(t)') \leq d$ for all $t, t' \in [0, 1]$. In fact, we can choose $x(t)$, such that

$$d(x(t), x(t')) = |t' - t| \cdot d.$$

There is an $\eta' < \frac{\eta}{4}$ such that the following is true: for any $x, x' \in X$ with $d(x, x') < 2\eta'$,

$$|f(x) - f(x)'| < \frac{\varepsilon'}{3}.$$

Let $\delta > 0$, such that if $|t - t'| \leq \delta$, then

$$\|\phi(f)(t) - \phi(f)(t')\| < \frac{\varepsilon'}{3},\ \forall f \in F.$$

And $Sp\phi_t$ can be paired with $Sp\phi_{t'}$ within η'.

Dividing the interval $[0, 1]$ into pieces $0 = t_0 < t_1 < t_2 < \cdots < t_\bullet = 1$, with $|t_{i+1} - t_i| < \delta$. We first define $\psi : C(X) \to M_l(I_k)$ such that ψ is close to ψ on F to within $\frac{\varepsilon}{3}$, $Sp\phi_t$ and $Sp\psi_t$ can be paired within η', and with extra property that for each interval $t \in [t_i, t_{i+1}]$; $Sp\psi_t = \{\alpha_1(t), \alpha_2(t), \cdots, \alpha_{lk}(t)\}$ with all $\alpha_j : [t_i, t_{i+1}] \to X$ being piecewise linear. Set $\psi|_{\{t_i\}} = \phi|_{\{t_i\}}$, for each t_i $(i = 0, 1, 2, \cdots, \bullet)$— that is,

$$\psi(f)(t_i) = \phi(f)(t_i), \text{ for } i = 0, 1, 2, \cdots, \bullet.$$

And we will define $\psi|_{\{t\}}$ for $t \in (t_i, t_{i+1})$ by interpolate the definitions between $\psi|_{\{t_i\}}$ and $\psi|_{\{t_i+1\}}$. (Note that we do not change the definitions of $\phi|_{\{0\}}$ and $\phi|_{\{1\}}$, hence ψ is a homomorphism into $M_l(I_k)$ instead of $M_{lk}(C[0,1])$.)

Let

$$Sp\psi|_{\{t_i\}} = \{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \cdots, \alpha_{lk}\} \subset X$$
$$Sp\psi|_{\{t_{i+1}\}} = \{\beta_1, \beta_2, \cdots, \beta_{lk}\} \subset X$$

Since $Sp\psi|_{\{t_i\}}$ and $Sp\psi|_{\{t_{i+1}\}}$ can be paired within η', we can assume $dist(\alpha_i, \beta_i) < \eta'$. There exist two unitaries $u, v \in M_{lk}(\mathbb{C})$ such that

$$\psi(f)(t_i) = u \begin{pmatrix} f(\alpha_1) & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & f(\alpha_{kl}) \end{pmatrix} u^*$$

$$\psi(f)(t_{i+1}) = v \begin{pmatrix} f(\beta_1) & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & f(\beta_{kl}) \end{pmatrix} v^*$$

Note that $\|f(\alpha_j) - f(\beta_j)\| < \frac{\varepsilon'}{3}$ for each j, we have

$$\left\| v \begin{pmatrix} f(\alpha_1) & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & f(\alpha_{kl}) \end{pmatrix} v^* - v \begin{pmatrix} f(\beta_1) & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & f(\beta_{kl}) \end{pmatrix} v^* \right\| < \frac{\varepsilon'}{3}.$$

Combing with $\|\psi(f)(t_i) - \psi(f)(t_{i+1})\| < \frac{\varepsilon'}{3}$, we get

$$\left\| u \begin{pmatrix} f(\alpha_1) & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & f(\alpha_{kl}) \end{pmatrix} u^* - v \begin{pmatrix} f(\alpha_1) & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & f(\alpha_{kl}) \end{pmatrix} v^* \right\| < \frac{2\varepsilon'}{3}.$$

Since ε' is chosen in Lemma 5.25 for $\frac{\varepsilon}{3}$, applying Lemma 5.25, there is a unitary path

$$\{u(t)\}_{t_i \le t \le \frac{t_i + t_{i+1}}{2}}$$

with

$$u(t_i) = u, \ u(\frac{t_i + t_{i+1}}{2}) = v$$

such that

$$\left\| u(t) \begin{pmatrix} f(\alpha_1) & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & f(\alpha_{kl}) \end{pmatrix} u^*(t) - u(t') \begin{pmatrix} f(\alpha_1) & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & f(\alpha_{kl}) \end{pmatrix} u^*(t') \right\| < \frac{\varepsilon}{3}$$

for all $t, t' \in [t_i, \frac{t_i+t_{i+1}}{2}]$.

There are piecewise linear paths $r_i(t)$ with $r_i(\frac{t_i+t_{i+1}}{2}) = \alpha_i$ and $r_i(t_{i+1}) = \beta_i$ such that

$$d(r_i(t), r_i(t')) \leq dist(\alpha_i, \beta_i) < \eta'.$$

Define $\psi(f)$ as follows:
For $t \in [t_i, \frac{t_i+t_{i+1}}{2}]$,

$$\psi(f)(t) = u(t) \begin{pmatrix} f(\alpha_1) & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & f(\alpha_{kl}) \end{pmatrix} u^*(t);$$

for $t \in [\frac{t_i+t_{i+1}}{2}, t_{i+1}]$,

$$\psi(f)(t) = v \begin{pmatrix} f(r_1(t)) & & & \\ & f(r_2(t)) & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & & f(r_{kl}(t)) \end{pmatrix} v^*.$$

Then $\{Sp\psi_t, t \in [t_i, t_{i+1}]\}$ is a collection of kl piecewise linear maps from $[t_i, t_{i+1}]$ to X. (Note that for $t \in [t_i, \frac{t_i+t_{i+1}}{2}]$, we use constant maps which are linear.)

Now we subdivided the simplicial complex X so that each simplex of the subdivision has diameter at most η' and so that all the points in $Sp\phi|_{\{0\}} = Sp\psi|_{\{0\}}$ and $Sp\phi|_{\{1\}} = Sp\psi|_{\{1\}}$ are vertices. With this simplicial decomposition, for each simplex Δ, we have $Sp\psi \cap \Delta \subsetneqq \Delta$. This is true because $Sp\psi|_{[t_i,t_{i+1}]}$ is the union of the collection of images of kl piecewise linear maps from $[t_i, t_{i+1}]$ to X, and a finite unions of line segments are 1-dimensional. Hence for each simplex Δ of dimension 2, we can choose a point $x_\Delta \in \overset{\circ}{\Delta}$, such that $x_\Delta \notin Sp$.

There is a $\sigma > 0$ such that $Sp\psi$ has no intersection with
$\overline{B_\sigma(x_\Delta)} = \{x \in X, dist(x, x_\Delta) \leq \sigma\}$ for each Δ. Let $Y = X \setminus \cup \{B_\sigma(x_\Delta), \Delta \text{ is 2-simplex}\}$ Then $Sp\psi \subset Y$. That is, ψ factors through as

$$C(X) \xrightarrow{restruction} C(Y) \xrightarrow{\psi_1} M_l(I_k).$$

Let $\alpha : Y \to X^{(1)}$ be the standard retraction defined as a map sending $\Delta \backslash \{x_\Delta\}$ to $\partial\Delta$ for each simplex Δ. Then $d(x, \alpha(x)) < \eta'$. Let

$$\phi_1 : C(X^{(1)}) \to M_l(I_k)$$

be defined by

$$\psi_1 \circ \alpha^* : C(X^{(1)}) \xrightarrow{\alpha^*} C(Y) \xrightarrow{\psi_1} M_l(I_k).$$

Evidently ϕ_1 is as desired. □

Corollary 5.27. Suppose that $\phi : C(X) \to M_l(I_k)$ is a unital homomorphism. For any finite set $F \subset C(X)$, $\varepsilon > 0$, and $\eta > 0$, there is a unital homomorphism

$$\psi : C(X) \to M_l(I_k)$$

such that
(1) $\phi(f)(0) = \psi(f)(0), \phi(f)(1) = \psi(f)(1)$ for all $f \in C(X)$.
(2) $\|\phi(f) - \psi(f)\| < \varepsilon$ for all $f \in F$.
(3) $Sp\phi_t$ and $Sp\psi_t$ can be paired to within η.
(4) For each $t \in (0, 1)$, the maximal multiplicity of $Sp\psi_t$ is one — that is, $\psi|_{\{t\}}$ has distinct spectra.

Proof. Applying Lemma 5.26, we reduce the case of $C(X)$ to the case of $C(X^{(1)})$, where $X^{(1)}$ is a 1-dimensional simplicial complex. The Corollary follows from Theorem 2.1.6 of [Li1] and its proof. Note that from the proof of Theorem 2.16 in [Li1], if we do not require the homomorphism ψ to have distinct spectrum at the end points 0 and 1, then we do not need to modify the definition of these two end points. To do this, one need to notice that — [Li1, Lemma 2.1.1] and its proof still holds if among two l-element sets $X^0 = \{x_1^0, x_2^0, \cdots, x_l^0\}$ and $X^1 = \{x_1^1, x_2^1, \cdots, x_l^1\}$ — only one of them is distinct — that is the following statement is true with the same proof:

Let $X = X_1 \vee X_2 \vee \cdots \vee X_k$ be a bunch of k intervals $X_i = [0, 1]$ $(1 \leq i \leq k)$. Let $Y = [0, 1]$. Let

$$X^0 = \{x_1^0, x_2^0, \cdots, x_l^0\} \subset X$$

and

$$X^1 = \{x_1^1, x_2^1, \cdots, x_l^1\} \subset X$$

with $x_i^1 \neq x_j^1$ if $i \neq j$.
Then there are l continuous functions $f_1, f_2, \cdots, f_l : Y \to X$ such that
(1) as sets with multiplicity, we have

$$\{f_1(0), f_2(0), \cdots, f_l(0)\} = X^0$$

$$\{f_1(1), f_2(1), \cdots, f_l(1)\} = X^1$$

(2) for each $t \in (0,1] \subset Y$ and $i \neq j$, we have

$$f_i(t) \neq f_j(t).$$

Similarly, the analogy of [Li1, Lemma 2.1.2] also holds. When one proves the analogy of [Li1, Theorem 2.1.6] for the case $M_l(I_k)$ (in place of $M_n(C(Y)) = M_{kl}(C[0,1])$ for $Y = [0,1]$, $n = kl$), only the constructions of $\psi|_{[0,y^1]}$ and $\psi|_{[y^{m-1},1]}$ there should be slightly modified by applying the above analogy of [Li1, Lemma 2.1.2] (instead of the original one). The construction for the part $\psi|_{[y^i,y^{i+1}]}$ on the other interval $[y^i, y^{i+1}] \subset (0,1)$ are exactly the same as in [Li1].

□

Remark 5.28. In Corollary 5.27, we can further assume that $Sp\psi|_{\{0\}}$ and $Sp\psi|_{\{1\}}$ have eigenvalue multiplicity exact k as homomorphisms from $C(X)$ to $M_{lk}(C[0,1])$, or equivalently both maps

$$C(X) \xrightarrow{\psi} M_l(I_k) \xrightarrow{evaluate\ at\ 0} M_l(\mathbb{C})$$

and

$$C(X) \xrightarrow{\psi} M_l(I_k) \xrightarrow{evaluate\ at\ 1} M_l(\mathbb{C})$$

have distinct spectrum. To do this, one can first extend the definition of the original ϕ to a slight larger interval $[-\delta, 1+\delta]$ as below.

Find $u \in M_l(\mathbb{C})$ and $x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_l \in X$ such that

$$\phi(f)(0) = u \begin{pmatrix} f(x_1) & & & \\ & f(x_2) & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & & f(x_l) \end{pmatrix} u^* \otimes id_k.$$

Since X is path connected and $X \neq \{pt\}$, there are functions $\alpha_i : [-\delta, 0] \to X$ such that $\{\alpha_i(-\delta)\}_{i=1}^l$ is a set of distinct l points $\alpha_i(0) = x_i$, and $dist(\alpha_i(t), \alpha_i(0))$ are arbitrarily small, we define

$$\phi(f)(t) = u \begin{pmatrix} f(\alpha_1(t)) & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & f(\alpha_l(t)) \end{pmatrix} u^* \otimes \mathbf{1}_k, \text{ for } t \in [-\delta, 0]$$

Similarly, we can define $\phi(f)(t)$ for $t \in [1, 1+\delta]$, so that $\phi|_{1+\delta}$ as a homomorphism from $C(X)$ to $M_{kl}(\mathbb{C})$ has multiplicity exactly k and $\phi(f)(1+\delta) \in M_l(\mathbb{C}) \otimes \mathbf{1}_k$. One can re-paremetrize $[-\delta, 1+\delta]$ to $[0,1]$ so that $\phi|_0$ and $\phi|_1$ as homomorphisms from $C(X)$ to $M_{kl}(\mathbb{C})$

has multiplicity exactly k. Then we apply the corollary to perturb ϕ to ψ without changing the definition at the end points.

Remark 5.29. The same argument can be used to prove the following results. Let $X \neq \{pt\}$ be a finite simplicial complex of any dimension. Let Y be a 1-dimensional simplicial complex, then any homomorphism $\phi : C(X) \to M_n(C(Y))$ can be approximated arbitrarily well by a homomorphism ψ with distinct spectrum. This is a strengthen form of [G5, Theorem 2.1] for the case $dim(Y) = 1$.

The case for $X = \mathbf{gragh}$, the following Theorem is a slight modification of [Li3, Theorem 2.7]. The general case can be reduced to the case $X = \mathbf{gragh}$ by applying Lemma 5.26.

Theorem 5.30. Let X be a connected simplicial complex of dimension at most 2, and $G \subset C(X)$ be a finite set which generates $C(X)$. For any $\varepsilon > 0$, there is an $\eta > 0$ such that the following is true.

Suppose that $\phi : C(X) \to M_{l_1 l_2+r}(I_k)$ is a unital homomorphism satisfying the following conditions:

There are l_1 continuous maps

$$a_1, a_2, \cdots, a_{l_1} : [0,1](= Sp(I_k)) \to X$$

such that for each $y \in [0,1]$, $Sp\phi_y$ (as a homomorphism from $C(X) \to M_{(l_1 l_2+r)k}(C[0,1])$) and $\Theta(y)$ can be paired within η, where

$$\Theta(y) = \{a_1(y)^{\sim l_2 k}, a_2(y)^{\sim l_2 k}, \cdots, a_{l_1-1}(y)^{\sim l_2 k}, a_{l_1}(y)^{(l_2+r)k}\}.$$

It follows that there are l_1 mutually orthogonal projections $p_1, p_2, \cdots, p_{l_1} \in M_{l_1 l_2+r}(I_k)$ such that
(i) $\|\phi(g)(y) - p_0\phi(g)(y)p_0 \oplus \sum_{k=1}^{l_1} g(a_k(y))p_k\| < \varepsilon$ for each $g \in G$ and $y \in Y$, where $p_0 = 1 - \sum_{k=1}^{l_1} p_k$.
(ii) $rank(p_k) = (l_2 - 3)k$, $rank(p_{l_1}) = (l_2 + r - 3)k$ (as projections in $M_{(l_1 l_2+r)k}(C[0,1])$) and therefore $rank(p_0) = 3l_1 k$.

Proof. We will apply [Li3, Theorem 2.7] (using map a_i to replace map $b \circ a_i$ as in [Li3, Remark 2.8]) and its proof (see 2.9-2.15 of [Li3]) for the case Y in [Li3, Theorem 2.7] being [0,1]. For finite set $G \subset C(X)$, $\varepsilon > 0$, choose $\eta > 0$ such that $dist(x_1, x_2) \leq 3\eta$ implies $|g(x_1) - g(x_2)| < \frac{\varepsilon}{8}$ for any $g \in G$. By Lemma 5.26, 5.27, 5.28, there is a $\sigma > 0$ such that if a subdivision X satisfies that each simplex has diameter at most σ, then there is a

homomorphism

$$\phi_1 : C(X^{(1)}) \to M_{l_1l_2+r}(I_k)$$

where $X^{(1)}$ is the 1-skeletor of subdivision, such that
(a) $\|\phi(g) - \phi_1(g|_{X^{(1)}})\| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}, \forall g \in G$;
(b) $Sp\phi_y$ and $Sp\phi_1|_y$ can be paired within $\eta/2$;
(c) ϕ_1 has distinct spectrum $Sp(\phi_1)_y$ for every $y \in (0,1)$;
(d) for $y = 0$, or 1, $Sp(\phi_1)_y$ has multiplicity exactly k for each spectrum, as the map from $C(X^{(1)})$ to $M_{(l_1l_2+r)k}(\mathbb{C})$.

Also if σ is small enough, we can perturb $a_i : Y = [0,1] \to X$ to a map

$$Y = [0,1] \xrightarrow{a_i'} X^{(1)} \hookrightarrow X$$

such that $dist(a_i(y), a_i'(y)) < \eta$, for all $y \in [0,1]$ (This is a standard result about simplicial approximation in topology). Considering $G|_{X^{(1)}}$ as the set G, a_i' as a_i and ϕ_1 as ϕ, we reduce the general case to the case of X being a graph. Note that for any $g \in G|_{X^{(1)}}$, we still have $\|g(x_1) - g(x_2)\| < \frac{\varepsilon}{8}$ for any $x_1, x_2 \in X^{(1)}$ with $dist(x_1, x_2) < 3\eta$. Also $Sp(\phi_1)_y$ and $\Theta'(y)$ can be paired within 3η for each $y \in [0,1]$, where

$$\Theta'(y) = \{a_1'(y)^{\sim l_2k}, a_2'(y)^{\sim l_2k}, \cdots, a_{l_1}'(y)^{(l_2+r)k}\}.$$

Then we can apply Theorem 2.7 of [Li3] to $\phi_1 : C(X^{(1)}) \to M_{(l_1l_2+r)}(I_k) \subset M_{(l_1l_2+r)k}(C[0,1])$ with 3η in place of η and $\frac{\varepsilon}{2}$ in place of ε)(see the choice of η in [Li3 2.16], made for the proof of [Li3, Theorem 2.7]). As a matter of fact, in the proof [Li3, Theorem 2.7], Li does use that X to be graph, for only one property that any homomorphism from $C(X) \to M_nC(Y)$. (Y graph) can be approximated arbitrarily well by homomorphisms with distinct spectra. By Remark 5.29, the above Li's theorem [Li3 Theorem 2.7] holds for the case $X \neq \{pt\}$ being any connected simplicial complex and Y, a graph.

Since we need to modify the projections p_i to satisfy

$$p_i(0), p_i(1) \in (M_{l_1l_2+r}(\mathbb{C})) \otimes \mathbf{1}_{k_1} \subseteq M_{(l_1l_2+r)k}(\mathbb{C}),$$

we will repeat part of the proof of [Li3 Theorem2.7] and point out how to modify it.

As in the proof of [Li3, Theorem 2.7], we can chose an open cover $U_0, U_1, \cdots, U_\bullet$ of $[0,1]$ with

$$U_0 = [0, b_0), U_1 = (a_1, b_1), U_2 = (a_2, b_2), \cdots, U_{\bullet-1} = (a_{\bullet-1}, b_{\bullet-1}), U_\bullet = (a_\bullet, 1],$$

$$0 < a_1 < b_0 < a_2 < b_1 < a_3 < b_2 < \cdots < a_\bullet < b_{\bullet-1} < 1.$$

We will define $P^i_U (i = 1, 2, \cdots, l_1)$ as same as in [Li3, 2.12] for $U = U_i (0 < i < \bullet)$—note that $Sp\phi_y$, for $y \in (a_1, b_{\bullet-1}) \subset (0, 1)$, are distinct. For U_0 and $U_\bullet$, it needs a special care as follows. We will only do it for U_0 (it is the same for $U_\bullet$). Write $Sp\phi|_0 = \{\lambda_1^{\sim k}, \lambda_2^{\sim k}, \cdots, \lambda_q^{\sim k}\}$ with $q = l_1 l_2 + r$. Then $\{\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \cdots, \lambda_q\}$ can be paired with $\{a_0(0)^{\sim l_2}, a_2(0)^{\sim l_2}, \cdots, a_{l_1-1}(0)^{\sim l_2}, a_{l_1}(0)^{\sim l_2+r}\}$ (note $\sim\ l_2 k$ is changed to $\sim l_2$ here) to within η. We can divide $\{\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \cdots, \lambda_q\}$ into groups $\{\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \cdots, \lambda_q\} = \bigcup_{j=1}^{l_1} E'^j$ (where $|E'^j| = l_2$ if $1 \le j \le l_1 - 1$, and $|E'^j| = l_2 + r$ if $j = l_1$) such that $dist(\lambda_i, a_j(0)) < \eta$, for all $\lambda_i \in E^j$.

Let σ' satisfies the following conditions:
(1) $\sigma' < \min\{dist(\lambda_i, \lambda_j),\ \ i \ne j\}$;
(2) $\sigma' < \eta - \max\{dist(\lambda_i, a_j(0)),\ \lambda_i \in E^j\}$.

We can choose b_1 $(> b_0 > a_1 > 0)$ so small that for any $y \in [0, b_1]$, $Sp\phi_y$ and $Sp\phi_0$ can be paired to within $\frac{\sigma'}{2}$ and $dist(a_j(y), a_j(0)) < \frac{\sigma'}{2}$. Then for each $y \in [0, b_1]$, $Sp\phi_y$ can be written as a set of

$$\{\lambda_1^1(y), \lambda_1^2(y), \cdots, \lambda_1^k(y), \lambda_2^1(y), \lambda_2^2(y), \cdots, \lambda_2^k(y), \cdots, \lambda_q^1(y), \cdots, \lambda_q^k(y)\}$$

with $\lambda_i^j(0) = \lambda_i$. Then let $E^j(y)$ be the set $\{\lambda_i^{i'}(y);\ \lambda_i \in E'^j\}$. In such a way we have if $\lambda_i^{i'} \in E^j$, then

$$dist(\lambda_i^{i'}(y), a_j(y)) < \eta.$$

And let both $P^j_{U_0}(y)$ and $P^j_{U_1}(y)$ (defined on $U_0 = [0, b_0)$ and $U_1 = (a_1, b_1)$) be the spectral projection corresponding to $E_j(y)$. In particular $P^j_{U_0}(0) \in M_{l_1 l_2 + r}(\mathbb{C}) \otimes \mathbf{1}_k$. We can define $P_j(y)$ as a subprojection of $P^j_U(y)$ (for $U \ni y$) as in 2.9-2.16 of [Li3] for all $y \in [b_0, a_0]$ but with rank $(p_j(y)) = (l_2 - 3)k$ (instead of $l_2 - 3$ in [Li3]) for $1 \le j \le l_1 - 1$ and $rank(p_{l_1}(y)) = (l_2 + r - 3)k$ (instead of $l_2 + r - 3$ in [Li3]). Also we can choose an arbitrary subprojection of form $p_j(0) = p'_j(0) \otimes \mathbf{1}_k \in M_{l_1 l_2 + r}(\mathbb{C}) \otimes \mathbf{1}_k$ of the projector $P^j_{U_0}(0) \in M_{l_1 l_2 + r}(\mathbb{C}) \otimes \mathbf{1}_k$ with $rank(p'_j(0)) = l_2 - 3$ for $1 \le j \le l_1 - 1$, and

$$rank(p'_{l_1}(0)) = l_2 + r - 3.$$

That is,

$$rank(p_j(0)) = (l_2 - 3)k$$

and

$$rank(p_{l_1}(0)) = (l_2 + r - 3)k.$$

Finally, connect $p_j(0)$ and $p_j(b_0)$ by $p_j(y)$ for $y \in [0, b_0]$ inside $P^j_{U_0}(y)$. As one can see from 2.16 of [Li3], if the projections $p_j(y)$ are subprojections of $P^j_U(y)$, then all the estimations in that proof hold. After we do similar modifications for $P^j_{U_\bullet}(y)$ and $p_j(y)$ near point 1, we will

get $p_j(y) \in M_{l_1 l_2+r}(I_k)$ instead of $M_{(l_1 l_2+r)k}(C[0,1])$. (Such method was also used in [EGS], proof of Theorem 3.10).

□

The following result is an analogy of Proposition 4.42 of [G5].

Theorem 5.31. Let X be a connected finite simplicial complex of dimension at most 2, $\varepsilon > 0$ and $F \subset C(X)$, a finite set of generators. Suppose that $\eta \in (0, \varepsilon)$ satisfies that if $dist(x, x') \leq 3\eta$, then $\|f(x) - f(x')\| < \frac{\varepsilon}{8}$ (such η is chosen in the proof of Theorem 5.30 for $F \subset C(X)$).

For any $\delta > 0$, positive integer $J > 0$, there is an integer $L > 0$ and a finite set $H \subseteq AffTC(X)(= C_{\mathbb{R}}(X))$ such that the following holds.

If $\phi, \psi : C(X) \to M_K(I_k)$ are unital homomorphisms with the properties
(a) ϕ has $sdp(\eta/32, \delta)$;
(b) $K \geq L$;
(c) $\|AffT\phi(h) - AffT\psi(h)\| < \frac{\delta}{4}$, for any $h \in H$,
then there are two orthogonal projections $Q_0, Q_1 \in M_K(I_k)$, two maps $\phi_0, \psi_0 \in Map(C(X), Q_0 M_k(I_k) Q_0)_1$, a homomorphism $\phi_1 \in Hom(C(X), Q_1 M_k(I_k) Q_1)_1$ and a unitary $U \in M_K(I_k)$ such that (1) $\mathbf{1}_{M_K(I_k)} = Q_0 + Q_1$;
(2) $\|\phi(f) - \phi_0(f) + \phi_1(f)\| < \varepsilon$
and

$$\|(Adu \circ \psi)(f) - \psi_0(f) \oplus \phi_1(f)\| < \varepsilon, \text{ for all } f \in F;$$

(3) ϕ_1 factors through $C[0,1]$;
(4) $Q_0 = p_0 + p_1 + \cdots + p_n$ with $(rank(p_0) + 2)J < rank(p_i)$ $(i = 1, 2, \cdots, n)$, where rank:$K_0(M_K(I_k)) \to \mathbb{Z}$ is defined as in 1.13 which is $rank\ p_j(0)$, where $rankp_j(0)$ is regarded as projections in $M_K(\mathbb{C})$ not $M_K(M_k(\mathbb{C}))$, and ϕ_0, ψ_0 are defined by

$$\phi_0(f) = p_0 \phi(f) p_0 + \phi_1(f),\ \psi_0(f) = p_0 Adu \circ \psi(f) p_0 + \phi_1(f),$$

with

$$\phi_1(f) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} f(x_i) p_i,\ \forall f \in C(X),$$

where $p_0, p_1, \cdots, p_n$ are mutually orthogonal projection and $\{x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_n\} \subset X$ is an ε-dense subset of X.

Proof. The proof is exactly the same as the proof of Proposition 4.42 of [G5], replacing Theorem 4.1 of [G5] by Theorem 5.30 above. The only thing one should notice is that, in

Lemma 4.33 of [G5], $rank\phi(1) = K$, the K should be corresponding to K in our theorem (not Kk) and $\Theta(y)$ should be defined as

$$\Theta(y) = \left\{\alpha \circ \beta_1(y)^{\sim L_2 k}, \alpha \circ \beta_2(y)^{\sim L_2 k}, \cdots, \alpha \circ \beta_{L-1}(y)^{\sim L_2 k}, \alpha \circ \beta_L(y)^{\sim (L_2+L_1)k}\right\}.$$

(Note in the above, we use $\sim L_2 k$ and $\sim (L_2 + L_1)k$ to replace $\sim L_2$ and $\sim L_2 + L_1$ in [G5]). In the proof of this version of [G5, Lemma 4.33], one can choose the homomorphism $\psi' : C(X) \to M_k(C[0,1])$ (not to $M_{Kk}(C[0,1])$) as the map ψ there, with

$$\|AffT\phi(f) - AffT\psi'(f)\| < \frac{\delta}{4}, \ \forall f \in H(\eta, \delta, x)$$

as in [G5, Lemma 4.33]. Then let $\psi = \psi' \otimes \imath_k$, where $\imath_k : \mathbb{C} \to M_k(\mathbb{C})$ is defined by $\imath_k(\lambda) = \lambda \cdot \mathbf{1}_k$, with such a modification, we have $Sp\psi'_y$ being

$$\Theta'(y) = \{\alpha \circ \beta_1(y)^{\sim L_2}, \alpha \circ \beta_2(y)^{\sim L_2}, \cdots, \alpha \circ \beta_{L-1}(y)^{\sim L_2}, \alpha \circ \beta_L(y)^{\sim L_2+L_1}\}$$

and $Sp\psi_y$ being

$$\Theta(y) = \{\alpha \circ \beta_1(y)^{\sim L_2 k}, \alpha \circ \beta_2(y)^{\sim L_2 k}, \cdots, \alpha \circ \beta_{L-1}(y)^{\sim L_2 k}, \alpha \circ \beta_L(y)^{\sim (L_2+L_1)k}\}$$

as desired. All other parts of the proof are exactly the same.

□

§6. Existence theorem

In this section, we will present the existence theorem which will be important in the proof of our main theorem.

6.1. Recall that a homomorphism $\phi : PM_l(C(X))P \to B$ (or $\phi : M_l(I_k) \to B$) is called to be defined by point evaluations if ϕ can factor through a finite dimensional algebra. For each $x \in X$, we can identify $P(x)M_l(\mathbb{C})P(x) \cong M_{rank(p)}(\mathbb{C})$ and regard $f(x) \in M_{rank(p)}(\mathbb{C})$ (the identification is unique up to inner automorphism). Then $\phi : PM_l(C(X))P \to B$ is defined by point evaluation if there exist $x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_k \in X$ and homomorphisms $\phi_i : M_{rank(p)}(\mathbb{C}) \to B$ $(i = 1, 2, \cdots, k)$ such that

$$\phi(f) = \phi_1(f(x_1)) \oplus \phi_2(f(x_2)) \oplus \cdots \oplus \phi_k(f(x_k)).$$

6.2. Recall from 1.20, if $\phi : M_l(\mathbb{C}) \to B$ is a homomorphism, then $\phi = \phi_1 \otimes id_l$, where $\phi_1 = \phi \mid_{e_{11}M_l(\mathbb{C})e_{11}} : \mathbb{C} \to \phi(e_{11})B\phi(e_{11})$ under an identification

$$\phi(\mathbf{1}_{M_l(\mathbb{C})})B\phi(\mathbf{1}_{M_l(\mathbb{C})}) = M_l(\mathbb{C}) \otimes (\phi(e_{11})B\phi(e_{11})).$$

Let $Q = \phi(\mathbf{1}_{M_l(\mathbb{C})})$ and $q = \phi(e_{11})$. Then $Q = diag(\underbrace{q, q, \cdots, q}_{l})$ (or denoted by $\underbrace{q \oplus q \oplus \cdots \oplus q}_{l}$) under the identifications $QBQ = M_l(\mathbb{C}) \otimes qBq$, and $\phi(a) = a \otimes q$ (in the notation in 1.3.4 and 2.6 of [EG2]), we will use $a \cdot Q$ to denote $a \otimes q$, when $Q = diag(\underbrace{q, q, \cdots, q}_{l})$ and $a \in M_l(\mathbb{C})$.

Note that if $l = 1$, $a \cdot Q$ is the ordinary multiplication.

6.3. For a homomorphism $\phi : PM_{l_1}(C(X))P \to B$ (with rank(p)=l) defined by point evaluation, there are a projection $q \in \phi(p)B\phi(p)$, an identification $QBQ = M_l(\mathbb{C}) \otimes qBq$ ($Q \triangleq \phi(p)$), finitely many points $x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_n \in X$ (may be repeat), n orthogonal projections $q_1, q_2, \cdots, q_n \in qBq$ witn $\Sigma q_i = q$, and a unitary $u \in M_l(\mathbb{C}) \otimes qBq$, such that

$$\phi(f) = u(\sum_{i=1}^{n} f(x_i) \otimes q_i)u^*, \ \forall f \in PM_l(C(M))P.$$

In the notation of 6.2, if we let $P_i = \mathbf{1}_l \otimes q_i \in M_l(\mathbb{C}) \otimes qBq$, then the above map can be written as $\phi(f) = u((\Sigma_{i=1}^n)f(x_i)P_i)u^*$.

Proposition 6.4. Let $A = PM_l(C(X))P \in \mathcal{HD}$ or $M_l(I_k)$. For any finite set $H \subseteq AffTA$ and $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists an $L > 0$ satisfying following conditions.
Suppose that $B = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{s} B^i \in \mathcal{HD}$ and $\alpha \in KK(A, B)$, and suppose that $\xi : AffTA \to AffTB$ is a unital positive map. Suppose that the following conditions (a) and (b) holds:
(a) α is $L-$full, that is, $rank\ \alpha^i(1) \geq L \cdot rank(p)$ or $rank(\alpha^i(1)) \geq L \cdot l$ (for $A = M_l(I_k)$), where the function $rank$: $K_0(B_i) \to \mathbb{Z}$ is defined in 1.13, and α can be realized by unital homomorphisms from A to B.
(b) α and ξ are compatible in the sense that $\xi \circ \rho_A(x) = \rho_B(\alpha_*(x))$, $\forall x \in K_0(A)$.

It follows that there exists a unital homomorphism $\psi : A \to B$ such that
1. $KK(\psi) = \alpha$.
2. $\|AffT\psi(f) - \xi(f)\| < \varepsilon$, $\forall f \in H$.

Proof. One can reduce the proof to the case that B is a single block. For $A = PM_lC(x)P$ and B is homogeneous, this is Theorem 3.15 [EGL].

For other cases—that is, at least one of A and B is a dimension drop interval algebra, one uses [DG, Theorem 5.7] to replace [EGL 2.3]. And note that $AffT(M_l(I_k)) = AffTC[0, 1] = C_{\mathbb{R}}[0, 1]$ (see the existence theorem in [EGJS, §3]).

□

Remark 6.5. As same as remark 3.16 of [EGL], for the case $A = M_l(C(S^1))$ and $B^i = M_m(I_k)$, the homomorphism $\psi^i : A \to B^i$ can be chosen to have the form $\psi^i = \psi_1^i \oplus \sigma^i$, where $\psi_1^i : A \to M_{m-l}(I_k)$ and $\sigma^i : A \to M_l(I_k)$ with σ^i as below.

There is a continuous map $\gamma : [0,1] \to S^1$ such that

$$\sigma^i(f)(t) = f(\gamma(t)) \otimes \mathbf{1}_k \in M_l(\mathbb{C}) \otimes \mathbf{1}_k \subseteq M_{l_k}(\mathbb{C}), \text{ for all } f \in M_l\mathbb{C}(S^l).$$

Furthermore, if γ is replaced by any continuous map from $[0,1] \to S^1$, the conclusion of the proposition still holds.

Proposition 6.6. Suppose that $A = PM_l(\mathbb{C}(X))P$ (or $M_l(I_k)$), $H(\subset AffTA)$ and $E(\subset U(A)/\widetilde{SU}(A))$ are any finite sets (see §2 for the notation). For any $\varepsilon > 0$, there is a positive integer L satisfying the following conditions. Let $B = \bigoplus_{i=1}^s B^i \in \mathcal{HD}$ and $\alpha \in KK(A,B)$, and let $\xi : AffTA \to AffTB$ be a unital positive linear map, $\gamma : U(A)/\widetilde{SU}(A) \to U(B)/\widetilde{SU}(B)$ be a group homomorphism which is a contraction with respect to $\widetilde{D}_A$ and $\widetilde{D}_B$. Suppose the following are true:
(a) $\alpha \in KK(A,B)$ can be realized by a unital homomorphism;
(b) α is L-full;
(c) the map $\alpha_0 : K_0(A) \to K_0(B)$ induced by α is compatible with ξ, i.e. the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} K_0(A) & \xrightarrow{\rho_A} & AffTA \\ {\scriptstyle \alpha_0}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \xi} \\ K_0(B) & \xrightarrow{\rho_B} & AffTB \end{array}$$

commutes. In other words, the map $\xi' : AffTA/\widetilde{\rho K_0(A)} \to AffTB/\widetilde{\rho K_0(B)}$ is well defined. Recall that $\widetilde{\rho K_0(A)}$ is the closure of real vector spaces spanned by $\rho K_0(A)$;
(d) the map $\alpha_1 : K_1(A)/torK_1(A) \to K_1(B)/torK_1(B)$ induced by α is compatible with γ, i.e. the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} U(A)/\widetilde{SU(A)} & \xrightarrow{\pi_A} & K_1(A)/torK_1(A) \\ {\scriptstyle \gamma}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \alpha_1} \\ U(B)/\widetilde{SU(B)} & \xrightarrow{\pi_B} & K_1(B)/torK_1(B) \end{array}$$

commutes;

(e) the map ξ and γ are compatible i.e. the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} AffTA/\widetilde{\rho K_0(A)} & \xrightarrow{\widetilde{\lambda}_A} & U(A)/\widetilde{SU(A)} \\ {\scriptstyle \xi'}\downarrow & & {\scriptstyle \gamma}\downarrow \\ AffTB/\widetilde{\rho K_0(B)} & \xrightarrow{\widetilde{\lambda}_B} & U(B)/\widetilde{SU(B)} \end{array}$$

commutes, where ξ' is as in (c).

It follows that there is a unital homomorphism $\phi : A \to B$ such that
(1) $KK(\phi) = \alpha$,
(2) $\| AffT\phi(f) - \xi(f) \| < \varepsilon$, $\forall f \in H \subseteq AffTA$, and
(3) $dist(\phi^\natural(f), \gamma(f)) \triangleq \widetilde{D}_B(\phi^\natural(f), \gamma(f)) < \varepsilon$ for all $f \in E \subset SU(A)/\widetilde{SU(A)}$, where $\phi^\natural$ denotes the map $U(A)/\widetilde{SU(A)} \to U(B)/\widetilde{SU(B)}$ induced by ϕ.

Proof. For the case $Sp(A) \neq S^1$, $K_1(A)$ is either 0 or a torsion group. By §2, $U(A)/\widetilde{SU(A)} = torU(A)/\widetilde{SU(A)}$ is isomorphic to $AffTA/\widetilde{\rho K_0(A)}$ and therefore requirement (3) follows from (2). (Note that, in this case diagram(d) is always ture since $K_1(A)/torK_1(A)$=0, and the diagram in (e) identifies ξ' and γ.) If $A = M_l(C(S^1))$ and B^i is homogeneous, this is [EGL, Theorem 3.17]. For the case the $A = M_l(C(S^1))$, B^i being a dimension drop algebra, one can still adopt the proof of [EGL, Theorem 3.17] with Remark 3.16 of [EGL] replaced by Remark 6.5 above. That is, one can change the map γ in 6.5 suitably to make the estimation in (3) holds.

□

The following lemma is a generalization of Lemma 2.5 of [Ji-Jing]. We will give a little simpler proof. Recall again for a unital homomorphism $\phi : A \to B$, we denote the induced map $AffTA \to AffTB$ by $AffT\phi$ and the inducced map $U(A)/\widetilde{SU(A)} \to U(B)/\widetilde{SU(B)}$ by $\phi^\natural$.

Lemma 6.7. Let $A_1 = PM_{l_2}(C(X))P \in \mathcal{HD}$ or $A_1 = M_{l_1}(I_k)$. Let $H \subseteq AffTA_1$ and $E \subseteq U(A_l)/\widetilde{SU(A_l)}$ be finite subsets. Let $\phi, \psi : A_1 \to A_2$ $(\in \mathcal{HD})$ be two homomorphisms with ψ being defined by point evaluation such that

$$KK(\phi) = KK(\psi),$$

$$\| AffT\phi(h) - AffT\psi(h) \| < \varepsilon_1, \ \forall h \in H$$

and

$$dist(\phi^\natural(g), \psi^\natural(g)) = dist((\phi^\natural(g), 0) < \varepsilon_2, \ \forall g \in F.$$

(Note that $\psi^\natural(g) = 0$, since $\psi(U(A_1)) \subset \widetilde{SU(A_2)}$ for any homomorphism ψ defined by point evaluation.)

Let $A_3 \in \mathcal{HD}$, $\widetilde{\wedge} : A_2 \to A_3$ be a unital homomorphism, $\xi : AffTA_2 \to AffTA_3$ a unital positive linear map which is compatible with $K_0(\widetilde{\wedge})$ (see (c) of (6.6)), and let $\gamma : U(A_2)/\widetilde{SU(A_2)} \to U(A_3)/\widetilde{SU(A_3)}$ be any contractive (with respect to $\widetilde{D}_{A_2}$ and $\widetilde{D}_{A_3}$) group homomorphism which is compatible with

$$\xi' : AffTA_2/\widetilde{\rho K_0(A_2)} \to AffTA_3/\widetilde{\rho K_0(A_3)}$$

(induced by ξ, see (e) of 6.6) and compatible with

$$K_1(\widetilde{\wedge}) : K_1(A_2)/torK_1(A_2) \to K_1(A_3)/torK_1(A_3)$$

(see (d) of 6.6). Let $\wedge : A_1 \to A_3$ be defined by $\wedge = \widetilde{\wedge} \circ \psi$. Then the following are true
(1) $KK(\wedge) = KK(\widetilde{\wedge} \circ \psi)$;
(2) $\| AffT \wedge (f) - (\xi \circ AffT\phi)(f) \| < \varepsilon_1$, $\forall f \in H$;
(3) $dist(\wedge^\natural(g), \gamma \circ \phi^\natural(g)) < \varepsilon_2$, $\forall g \in E$.

Proof. Suppose that B is a finite dimensional C^* algebra and C is an unital C^* algebra. If $\phi : B \to C$ is a unital homomorphism and $\xi : AffTB \to AffTC$ is an unital positive linear map compatible with $K_0(\phi)$, then $\xi = AffT\phi$. Namely, because $(K_0(B), K_0(B)_+) = (\mathbb{Z}^t, \mathbb{Z}^t{}_+)$ and $AffTB = \mathbb{R}^t$ (for some $t \in N$), there is only one map from $AffTB$ to $AffTC$ which is compatible with $K_0(\phi)$, and consequently it will be $AffT\phi$.

Let $\psi : A_1 \to A_2$ be written as $\psi_2 \circ \psi_1$, where $\psi_1 : A_1 \to B$ and $\psi_2 : B \to A_2$ are unital homomorphisms with B being finite dimensional. Since $\xi : AffTA_2 \to AffTA_3$ is compatible with $K_0(\widetilde{\wedge})$, we know that $\xi \circ AffTA(\psi_2) : AffTB \to AffTA_3$ is compatible with $K_0(\widetilde{\wedge} \circ \psi_2) : K_0(B) \to K_0(A_3)$. Consequently, $\xi \circ AffT(\psi_2) = AffT(\widetilde{\wedge} \circ \psi_2)$. Hence $\xi \circ AffT\psi = AffT(\widetilde{\wedge} \circ \psi) = AffT\wedge$, which implies (2) above. (1) and (3) are obvious
(note that $\wedge^\natural = 0$ since $\psi^\natural = 0$).

□

6.8. Let $A = lim(A_n, \phi_{n,m})$, $B = lim(B_n, \psi_{n,m})$ be two unital $\mathcal{AHD}$ inductive limits. Suppose that there is an isomorphism

$$\alpha : (\underline{K}(A), \underline{K}(A)^+, \mathbf{1}_A) \to (\underline{K}(B), \underline{K}(B)^+, \mathbf{1}_B))$$

which is induced by shape equivalence as in 3.5 (we also regard $\alpha \in KL(A, B)$ see 2.3), suppose that there are positive linear isomorphism

$$\xi : AffTA \to AffTB$$

which is compatible with α_0(induced by α), and suppose that there is a isomorphism $\gamma : UA/\widetilde{SUA} \to UB/\widetilde{SUB}$ which is compatible with both

$$\xi' : AffTA/\widetilde{\rho K_0(A)} \to AffTB/\widetilde{\rho K_0(B)}$$

(induced by ξ) and

$$\alpha_1 : K_1(A)/torK_1(A) \to K_1(B)/torK_1(B)$$

(induced by α) (see (d) and (e) of 6.6).

Proposition 6.9. Let $A = lim(A_n, \phi_{n,m}), B = lim(B_n, \psi_{n,m})$, α, ξ, γ be as in 6.8. Then for any A_n, any finite sets $H \subseteq AffTA_n$, $E \subset U(A_n)/\widetilde{SU(A_n)}$ and any $\varepsilon > 0$, there are an $m > n$, a KK-element

$$\alpha_n \in KK(A_n, B_m)$$

which can be realized by a unital homomorphism, a unital positive linear map

$$\xi_n : AffTA_n \to AffTB_m$$

and a contractive group homomorphism

$$\gamma_n : U(A_n)/\widetilde{SU(A_n)} \to UB_m/\widetilde{SUB_m}$$

with the following property
(1) $\alpha_n \times KL(\psi_{m\infty}) = KL(\phi_{n\infty}\times)\alpha \in KL(A_n, B)$;
(2) $\| (AffT\psi_{m\infty} \circ \xi_n)(f) - (\xi \circ AffT\phi_{n\infty})(f) \| < \varepsilon$, $\forall f \in H$;
(3) $dist((\psi^{\natural}_{m\infty}) \circ \gamma_n)(f), \gamma \circ \phi^{\natural}_{n\infty}(f)) < \varepsilon$, $\forall f \in E$;
(4) α^0_n and ξ_n are compatible (see (c) of 6.6);
(5) γ_n and ξ_n are compatible (see (e) of 6.6);
(6) γ_n and α^1_n are compatible (see (d) of 6.6).

Proof. Note that Lemma 3.21 of [EGL] is true in our seting — that is, with building blocks like $M_l(I_k)$, it will not make any difference in the proof, since both $AffT$ space, K_0 group and pairing between them for $M_l(I_k)$ are as same as the case $M_l([0,1])$ (the simplicity of inductive limit is not used in the proof of [Li1, Lemma 6.6] and also [EGL, Lemma 3.21], as already pointed out by Ji-Jiang in [Ji-Jiang Lemma 2.1]). Then the proof of this proposition is completely the same as [EGL, Lemma 3.23].

□

The following is the main existence theorem of this article:

Theorem 6.10. Let $A = Lim(A_n, \phi_{n,m})$, $B = Lim(B_n, \psi_{n,m})$ be (not necessary unital) $\mathcal{AHD}$ inductuve limit, with ideal property. For any

$H = \oplus H^i \subseteq \oplus_i AffTA_n^i$, $E = \oplus E^i \subseteq \oplus_i U(A_n^i)/\widetilde{SU(A_n^i)} = U(A_n)/\widetilde{SU(A_n)}$, $\varepsilon_1 > 0$, $\varepsilon_2 > 0$,

there is an $m > n$ with the following property.

Suppose that $\alpha \in KK(A_m, B_l)$ can be realized by homomorphism,

$$\xi : AffTA_m \to AffT(\alpha[\mathbf{1}_{A_m}]B_l\alpha[\mathbf{1}_{A_m}])$$

(where we use $\alpha[\mathbf{1}_{A_m}]$ to denote any projection represented $\alpha_*[\mathbf{1}_{A_m}]$), is a unital positive linear map compatible with

$\alpha_0 : K_0(A_m) \to K_0(\alpha[\mathbf{1}_{A_m}])B_l\alpha[\mathbf{1}_{A_m]})$ (see (c) of 6.6),
and

$$\gamma : U(A_m)/\widetilde{SU(A_m)} \to U(\alpha[\mathbf{1}_{A_m}]B_l\alpha[\mathbf{1}_{A_m}])/SU(\alpha[\widetilde{\mathbf{1}_{A_m}]B_l}\alpha[\mathbf{1}_{A_m}])$$

is a contractive group homomorphism compatible with

$\bar{\xi} : AffTA_m/\widetilde{\rho K_0(A_m)} \to AffT(\alpha[\mathbf{1}_{A_m}])B_l\alpha[\mathbf{1}_{A_m}])/\rho_0 K_0(\widetilde{\alpha[\mathbf{1}_{A_m}])B_l}\alpha[\mathbf{1}_{A_m}])$ induced by ξ and comptible with

$$\alpha_1 : K_1(A_m)/torK_1(A_m) \to K_1(B_l)/torK_1(B_l).$$

Then there is a homomorphism $\wedge : A_n \to B_l$ such that
(1) $KK(\wedge) = KK(\phi_{n,m}) \times \alpha \in KK(A_n, B_l)$.
(2) Let $P = \phi_{n,m}(\mathbf{1}_{A_n}) \le \mathbf{1}_{A_m}$ and $\xi' = \xi\mid_{([P],\alpha[P])}$: $AffTPA_mP \to AffT\alpha[P]B_l\alpha[P]$ be the map completely determined by ξ (as in 2.36), and let

$$\widetilde{\xi'} : AffTA_n \to AffT\alpha[P]B_l\alpha[P]$$

be geven by

$$\widetilde{\xi'} = \xi' \circ AffT\phi_{nm},$$

where $AffT\phi_{nm} : AffTA_n \to AffTPA_mP$ is the canonical map. Finally let

$$\xi''^i = \widetilde{\xi'}\mid_{([\mathbf{1}_{A_n^i}],\wedge[\mathbf{1}_{A_n^i}])}: AffTA_n^i \to AffT \wedge (\mathbf{1}_{A_n^i})B \wedge (\mathbf{1}_{A_n^i}).$$

Then we have

$$\| (AffT\wedge)\mid_{(\mathbf{1}_{A_n^i},\wedge[\mathbf{1}_{A_n^i}])} (h) - \xi''^i(h) \| < \varepsilon_1,\ \forall h \in H^i.$$

(3) Similar to (2), let

$$\gamma^i : U(A_n^i)/\widetilde{SU(A_n^i)} \to U(\wedge(\mathbf{1}_{A_n^i}))B(\wedge(\mathbf{1}_{A_n^i}))/\widetilde{SU}(\wedge(\mathbf{1}_{A_n^i})B(\wedge(\mathbf{1}_{A_n^i})))$$

be defined as $(\gamma\mid_{([P],\alpha[P])} \circ \phi^{\natural}_{n,m})_{([\mathbf{1}_{A_n^i}],\wedge[\mathbf{1}_{A_n^i}])}$, then

$$\| \wedge^{\natural}|_{(\mathbf{1}_{A_n^i}, \wedge(\mathbf{1}_{A_n^i}))}(g) - \gamma^i(g) \| < \varepsilon_2, \qquad \forall g \in E^i.$$

In the above, for a (not necessary unital) homomorphism, $\phi : A \to B$, $AffT\phi$ and $\phi^{\natural}$ will denote the unital map:$AffTA \to AffT\phi(\mathbf{1}_A)B\phi(\mathbf{1}_A)$ and the map $U(A)\widetilde{SU(A)} \to U(\phi(\mathbf{1}_A)B\phi(\mathbf{1}_A))/\widetilde{SU}(\phi(\mathbf{1}_A)B\phi(\mathbf{1}_A))$, induced by $\phi : A \to \phi(\mathbf{1}_A)B\phi(\mathbf{1}_A)$.

Proof. The theoerm follows from the dichotomy theorem Proposition 3.2 and Proposition 6.6 (for L-large KK component) and Lemma 6.7 (for KK component which is not L-large). Note that the estimation for $\| \phi_{n,m}^{ij} - \psi_{n,m}^{ij} \|$ in Proposition 3.21 (b), also give estimation for $\| AffT\phi_{n,m}^{ij} - AffT\psi_{n,m}^{ij} \|$.

□

§7. Uniqueness theorem

In this section, we will prove several uniqueness theorems — one of the main ingredients in the classification theory.

7.1. Let X be a 2-dimensional connected simplicial complex. It is well known that the isomorphism class of vector bundle E is completely determined by rank(E) and Chern class $c_1(E) \in H^2(X)$.

That is $K^0(X) \cong \mathbb{Z} \oplus H^2(X)$. The following facts are also well known. If $dim(E) < dim(F)$, then E is isomorphic to a subbundle of F. Furthermore if E is a subbundle of F (denoted by $E \subset F$) with $dim(E) < k < dim(F)$, then there is a trivial bundle G such that $E \subset G \subset F$ and $dim(G) = K$.

7.2. Let $[a, b] \subset [0, 1](a < b)$ be a closed interval. Let X be any connected finite simplicial complex and $\phi : C[a, b] \to PM_{\bullet}C(X)P$ be a unital homomorphism. Then for any $x \in X$, one can order the set $Sp\phi_x$ as

$$a \le t_1(x) \le t_2(x) \le \cdots \le t_n(x) \le b \qquad (n = rank(p)).$$

In particular, all $t_i(x)$ are continuous functions from X to $[a, b]$. If $dim(X) \le 2$, then by [Choi-Elliott], for any finite set $F \subset C[a, b]$ and $\varepsilon > 0$, there is a $\psi : [a, b] \to PM_{\bullet}(C(X))P$ such that $Sp\psi_x$ are distinct for each $x \in X$ and $\| \phi(f) - \psi(f) \| < \varepsilon$, $\forall f \in F$. At this case, $Sp\psi_x$ can be written as

$$a \le s_1(x) < s_2(x) < \cdots < s_n(x) \le b.$$

One can define $p_i(x)$ to be spectrum projection corresponding to spectrum $s_i(x)$ (see [G5

1.2.8 and 1.2.9])

Then $p_i \in PM_\bullet C(X)P$ and $\Sigma p_i = P$. Furthermore $\psi(f)(x) = \sum_{i=1}^n f(s_i(x))p_i(x)$.

Lemma 7.3. Let $[a,b] \subset [0,1]$, let $F \subset C[a,b]$ be $(\frac{\varepsilon}{3}, 2\eta)$ uniformly continuous (see 1.25). Suppose that $\phi : C[a,b] \to PM_\bullet(C(X))P$ ($dimX = 2$) be a homomorphism such that for any $x \in X$ and any interval $(c,d) \subset [a,b]$ with length at least η, $Sp\phi_x \cap (c,d) \neq \varnothing$. Then there are mutually othogonal projections $p_1, p_2, \cdots, p_n$ ($n = rank(P)$) and continuous functions $t_1, t_2, \cdots, t_n : X \to [a,b]$ such that $p_1, p_2, \cdots, p_{n-1}$ are trivial projections and $\sum_{i=1}^n p_i = P$ (p_n trivial if and only if P trivial) and $\| \phi(f) - \xi(f) \| < \varepsilon$, where $\xi(f)(x) = \sum_{i=1}^n f(t_i(x)p_i(x)$, $\forall f \in C[a,b]$.

Proof. As mentioned in 7.2, one can find a homomorphism ψ such that $\| \psi(f) - \phi(f) \| < \frac{\varepsilon}{6}$, such that $Sp\psi_x \cap (c,d) \neq \varnothing$ if $length(c,d) \geq \eta$ and such that $Sp\psi_x$ are distinct.

Write $\psi(f)(x) = \sum f(s_i(x))q_i(x)$ as in 7.2, where $s_i : x \to [a,b]$ are continuous and $q_i \in PM_\bullet C(X)P$ are rank 1 projections. Then $s_1(x) < s_2(x) < \cdots < s_n(x)$ and $| s_{i+1}(x) - s_i(x) | \leq \eta$ for each i.

By 7.1 there are trivial projections $P_1, P_3, \cdots, P_{2k-1}$ (with $2k-1$ being largest odd integer with $2k-1 \leq n-1$), such that

$P_{-1} = 0 < P_1 < q_1 + q_2 < P_3 < q_1 + q_2 + q_3 + q_4 < P_5 < q_1 + q_2 + q_3 + q_4 + q_5 + q_6 < \cdots < q_1 + q_2 + \cdots + q_{2k-2} < P_{2k-1} < q_1 + q_2 + \cdots + q_{2k} \leq P$.

Let $\xi : C[a,b] \to PM_\bullet(C(X))P$ be defined by

$\xi(f)(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} f(s_{2i+1}(x))(P_{2i+1}(x) - P_{2i-1}(x)) + f(s_n(x))(P(x) - P_{2k-1}(x))$.

Let $\widetilde{p}_1 = P_1$,

$\widetilde{p}_2 = q_1 + q_2 - P_1$,

$\widetilde{p}_3 = P_3 - (q_1 + q_2)$,

$\widetilde{p}_4 = (q_1 + q_2 + q_3 + q_4) - P_3, \cdots$,

$\widetilde{p}_{2k-1} = P_{2k-1} - (q_1 + q_2 + \cdots + q_{2k-2})$ and

$\widetilde{p}_{2k} = (q_1 + q_2 + \cdots + q_{2k}) - P_{2k-1}$ and

$\widetilde{p}_n = P - (q_1 + q_2 + \cdots + q_{2k})$ if $n = 2k+1$.

Then according to the decomposition $\widetilde{p}_1, \widetilde{p}_2, \cdots, \widetilde{p}_n$, we have

$$\xi(f) = f(s_1(x))\widetilde{p}_1(x) + f(s_3(x))(\widetilde{p}_2(x) + \widetilde{p}_3(x)) + \cdots + f(s_{2k-1}(x))(\widetilde{p}_{2k-2}(x) + \widetilde{p}_{2k-1}(x)) + f(s_k(x))(\widetilde{p}_2(x) + *),$$

where $* = \widetilde{p}_n(x)$ or 0 depending on $n = 2k+1$, or $n = 2k$.

On the other hand, $\widetilde{p}_1 + \widetilde{p}_2 = q_1 + q_2$, $\widetilde{p}_3 + \widetilde{p}_4 = q_3 + q_4$, $\cdots$, which are commutative with $\psi(f)$ and $(\widetilde{p}_{2i-1}(x) + \widetilde{p}_{2i}(x))\psi(f)(x)(\widetilde{p}_{2i-1}(x) + \widetilde{p}_{2i}(x)) = f(s_{2i-1}(x))q_{2i-1}(x) + f(s_{2i}(x))q_{2i}(x)$ which is closed to $f(s_{2i}(x))(\widetilde{p}_{2i-1}(x) + \widetilde{p}_{2i}(x))$ to within $\frac{\varepsilon}{3}$ (note that $|s_{2i}(x) - s_{2i-1}(x)| \leq \eta$). Also, we have

$$(\widetilde{p}_{2i-1}(x) + \widetilde{p}_{2i}(x))\xi(f)(\widetilde{p}_{2i-1}(x) + \widetilde{p}_{2i}(x)) = f(s_{2i-1}(x))\widetilde{p}_{2i-1}(x) + f(s_{2i}(x))\widetilde{p}_{2i}(x)$$

which is also close to $f(s_{2i}(x))(\widetilde{p}_{2i-1}(x) + \widetilde{p}_{2i}(x))$ to within $\frac{\varepsilon}{3}$. Hence $\| \psi(f)(x) - \xi(f)(x) \| < \frac{2\varepsilon}{3}$.

Finally note that ξ is as desired, since each trivial projection of rank 2 can be written as sum of two trivial projection of rank 1, and if $n = 2k+1$, then the last projection $P - p_{2k-1}$ can be written as sum of two projection of rank 1, with the first of them being trivial.

□

Remark 7.4. One can see the following from the proof of Lemma 7.3. The homomorphism $\psi : C[a,b] \to PM_\bullet(C(X))P$ can be choose such that $Sp\psi_x \cap (c,d) \neq \emptyset$ for any interval $(c,d) \subset [a,b]$ of length η, and $Sp\psi$ can be paired with $Sp\phi_x$ (for any $x \in X$) to within arbitrarily given small number. Also $\xi : C[a,b] \to PM_\bullet(C(X))P$ can be chosen so that $Sp\xi_x \cap (c,d) \neq \varnothing$ for any interval $(c,d) \subset [a,b]$ of length 2η, and $Sp\xi_x$ can be paired with $Sp\psi_x$ to within η. Consequently, we can choose ξ such that $Sp\xi_x$ and $Sp\phi_x$ can be paired to with η.

Lemma 7.5. Let $F \subset C[a,b]$ be $(\frac{\varepsilon}{9}, 2\eta)$ uniformly continuous. Let X be connected simplicial complex of dimension at most 2. Let $\phi_1, \phi_2 : C[a,b] \to PM_\bullet(C(X))P$ be unital homomorphism such that for any $x \in X$, $Sp(\phi_1)_x \cap (c,d) \neq \emptyset$ for any interval $(c,d) \subset [a,b]$ of length $\frac{\eta}{5}$ and $Sp(\phi_1)_x$ can be paired with $Sp(\phi_2)_x$ to within $\frac{\eta}{5}$ for any $x \in X$. Then there is a unitary $u \in PM_\bullet(C(X))P$ such that

$$\| \phi_1(f) - u\phi_1(f)u^* \| < \varepsilon, \forall f \in F.$$

Proof. Note that $Sp(\phi_2)_x \cap (c,d) \neq \varnothing$ for any (c,d) of length $\frac{3\eta}{5}$. By Lemma 7.3, there are $\xi_1, \xi_2 : C[0,1] \to PM_\bullet(C(X))P$ of form $\xi_1(f) = \sum_{i=1}^n f(s_i(x))p_i$, $\xi_2(f) = \sum_{i=1}^n f(t_i(x))q_i$ with $\{p_i\}_{i=1}^{n-1}$ and $\{q_i\}_{i=1}^{n-1}$ being trivial projections, $s_i, f_i : x \to [a,b]$ are continuous function with $a \leq s_1(x) \leq s_2(x) \cdots \leq s_n(x) \leq b$, $a \leq t_1(x) \leq t_2(x) \cdots \leq t_n(x) \leq b$ and $\| \phi_1(f) - \xi_1(f) \| < \frac{\varepsilon}{3}$, $\| \phi_2(f) - \xi_2(f) \| < \frac{\varepsilon}{3}$.

Furthermore as in Remark 7.4, $Sp(\phi_1)_x$ and $Sp(\xi_1)_x$ can be paired with $\frac{\eta}{5}$, and $Sp(\phi_2)_x$ and $Sp(\xi_2)_x$ can be paired with $\frac{3\eta}{5}$. Consequently $Sp(\xi_1)_x$ and $Sp(\xi_2)_x$ can be paired to within $\frac{\eta}{5} + \frac{3\eta}{5} + \frac{\eta}{5} = \eta$ for each $x \in X$. That is $|t_i(x) - s_i(x)| < \eta$. Since $\{p_i\}_{i=1}^{n-1}$ and $\{q_i\}_{i=1}^{n-1}$ are trivial, we have that $p_n = P - \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} p_i$ is unitarily equivalent to $q_n = P - \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} q_i$. There is a unitary $u \in PM_\bullet(C(X))P$ such that $uq_iu^* = p_i$ for$i = 1, 2, \cdots n$. Consequently $\|\xi_1(f) - u\xi_2(f)u^*\| < \frac{\varepsilon}{3}$, $\forall f \in F$. Hence

$$\|\phi_1(f) - u\phi_2(f)u^*\| < \tfrac{\varepsilon}{3} + \tfrac{\varepsilon}{3} + \tfrac{\varepsilon}{3} = \varepsilon, \quad \forall f \in F.$$

Remark 7.6. In Lemma 7.5 (Lemma 7.3 respectively) if length$[a,b] < \frac{\eta}{5}$ (length$[a,b] < \eta$, respectively), then the requirement $Sp\phi_x \cap (c,d) \neq \emptyset$ for any $(c,d) \subset [a,b]$ of length $\frac{\eta}{5}$ (of length η, respectively) is empty requirement. At this case, the theorem is evidently true.

The following is an easy consequence of proof of Proposition 4.9 (also see [EGL1, 4.4] and [EGJS]).

Lemma 7.7. Let $\phi_1, \phi_2 : C[a,b] \to M_l(I_k)$ be two unital homomorphisms such that $Sp(\phi_1)_t$ and $Sp(\phi_2)_t$ can be paired with η. Suppose $F \subset C[a,b]$ is $(\varepsilon, 2\eta)$ uniformly continuous. Then there is a unitary $u \in M_l(I_k)$ such that $\|\phi_1(f) - u\phi_2(f)u^*\| < \varepsilon$, $\forall f \in F$.

Lemma 7.8. Let $F \subset I_k$ be a finite set and $\varepsilon > 0$. There is an $\eta > 0$, satisfying the following condition. Let $a > 0$ and $A = I_k|_{[a,1]}$. If $\phi : A \to PM_\bullet(C(X))P$ is a homomorphism which satisfies that for any $x \in X$, $\sharp(Sp\phi_x \cap [1 - \frac{\eta}{4}, 1]) \geq k$ and $Sp\phi_x \cap (c,d) \neq \emptyset$ if $(c,d) \subset [a,1]$ is of length η, then there is a homomorphism

$$\psi : A \to PM_\bullet(C(X))P$$

such that

$$\|\phi(f|_{[a,1]}) - \psi(f|_{[a,1]})\| < \varepsilon, \forall f \in F,$$

and ψ is of the following form: There are mutually orthogonal projections P_1, P_2 with $P_1 + P_2 = P$ and with rank $(P_1) = kl$, $k+1 \leq rank(P_2) \leq 2k$, and there are mutually orthogonal and mutuality unitarily equivalent projections $p_1 = p, p_2, \cdots, p_k$ with

$P_1 = \sum_{i=1}^k p_i$ and an identification $P_1 M_\bullet(C(X))P_1 \cong pM_\bullet(C(X))p \otimes M_k$, and a homomorphism $\psi_1 : C[a,1] \to pM_\bullet(C(X))p$ of form $\psi_1(f)(x) = \sum_{i=1}^l f(t_i(x))q_i$, where $q_1, q_2, \cdots, q_l$ are trivial rank 1 projection with $\sum_{i=1}^l q_i = p$ and $a \le t_1(x) \le t_2(x) \le \cdots \le t_i(x)$,with each $t_i(x)$ being continuous function such that

$$\psi(f) = (\psi_1 \otimes id_k \circ \imath)(x) + f(\underline{1})P_2,$$

where $\imath : I_k|_{[a,1]} \to C[a,1] \otimes M_k$ is the canonical inclusion.

Proof. This is a direct consequence of Theorem 5.1 and Lemma 7.3. Namely, by Theorem 5.1, ϕ is approximated by $\phi' = \phi'_1 \oplus \phi'_2$, where ϕ'_1 factors through as

$$A|_{[a,1]} \to M_k(C[a,1]) \xrightarrow{\phi''_1} P'_1 M_\bullet C(X) P'_1$$

and ϕ'_2 is defined by $\phi'_2(f) = f(\underline{1})P'_2$ with $1 \le rank(P'_2) \le k$. Hence $rank(P'_1) = k(l+1)$—some multiple of k. Write $\phi''_1 = \xi \otimes id_k$ and then apply Lemma 7.3 to ξ. That is, up to a small perturbation, ξ can be written as the form of ψ_1 above with one more item $f(t_{l+1})(x)q_{l+1}$, and this last projection q_{l+1} may not be trivial. Finally let $P_2 = q_{l+1} \otimes \mathbf{1}_k + P'_2$ and $P_1 = P'_1 - q_{l+1} \otimes \mathbf{1}_k$ to get our conclusion.

□

Remark 7.9. (a) The condition $\sharp(Sp\phi_x \cap [1 - \frac{\eta}{4}, 1]) \ge k$ follows from $Sp\phi_x \cap (c,d) \ne \emptyset$ for any interval of $(c,d) \subset [a,1]$ of length $\eta' = \frac{\eta}{4k}$. We can change η to a smaller η' and to delete the requirement $\sharp(Sp\phi_x \cap [1 - \frac{\eta}{4}, 1]) \ge k$.
(b) By symmetry, the lemma is also true for $A|_{[0,b]}$ (instead of $A|_{[0,a]}$) for some $b < 1$.

Lemma 7.10. Let $F \subset I_k$ be a finite set and $\varepsilon > 0$. There is an $\eta > 0$ satisfies the following conditions.

Let $A = I_k|_{[a,1]}$ for certain $a > 0$ (or $A = I_k|_{[0,b]}$ for certain $b < 1$). If $\phi, \psi : A \to PM_\bullet(C(X))P$ any two homomorphism such that for any $x \in X$ $Sp\phi_x \cap (c,d) \ne \varnothing$ for any interval $(c,d) \subset [a,1]$ of length η, and $Sp\phi_x$ and $Sp\psi_x$ can be paired to within η (for any $x \in X$), then there is a unitary $u \in PM_\bullet(C(X))P$ such that $\|\phi(f) - u\psi(f)u^*\| < \varepsilon$, $\forall f \in \pi(F)$ where $\pi : I_k \to A = I_k|_{[A,1]}$ (or $I_k|_{[0,b]}$) is the canonical quotient map.

Proof. This is a consequence of Lemma 7.8 (also see the proof of Lemma 7.5)

□

Theorem 7.11. Let $A = M_l(C[0,1])$, $M_l(C(S^1))$. $M_l(I_k)$. $F \subset A$ be any finite set, $\varepsilon > 0$, there is an $\eta > 0$, such that for any $\delta > 0$, there is a finite set $H \subset AffTA$ with following property. If $X \subset Sp(A)$ is connected closed set and $X \neq Sp(A)$ if $A = M_l(C(S^1))$ or $M_l(I_k)$ and B is a basic building block in $\mathcal{HD}$, and $\phi, \psi : A|_X \to B$ are two unital homomorphism such that
(1)ϕ has property $sdp(\frac{\eta}{32}, \delta)$.
(2)$\|AffT\phi(h(x)) - AffT\psi(h(x))\| < \frac{\delta}{4}$.
(3)$K_0(\phi) = K_0(\psi)$,
then there is a unitary $u \in B$ such that $\|\phi(f) - u\psi(f)u^*\| < \varepsilon$ for all $f \in \pi(F) \subset A|_X$, where $\pi : A \to A|_X$ is the canonical quotient map.

Proof. Note that using the above condition (3), one can reduce the case to $A = C[0,1]$, $C(S^1)$ or I_k that is, reduce to the case $l = 1$ with $\phi(1) = \psi(1)$. Then by Theorem 2.15 of [Li 2], conditions (2) and (3) imply that $Sp\phi(x)$ and $Sp\psi(x)$ can be paired with $\frac{\eta}{4}$. Then the theorem follows from Lemmas 7.5, 7.7 and 7.8 except the case $I_k|_{[a,1]}$ and B is dimension drop interval algebra. For this case, we apply Proposition 4.14 and Remark 4.15.

□

The following theorem is a special case of [Thm 2, Lemma 3.1] (note that the dimension drop interval algebra is building block of type 4 in the paper [Thm2] which is simplier than the building block of type 2)

Proposition 7.12. For each pair $k, l \in N$ such that $l > 12$, there is a finite set $H \subseteq AffT(C(S^1)) = C_{\mathbb{R}}(S^1)$ with the following property.

Suppose that $\phi, \psi : C(S^1) \to M_K(I_{k_1})$ are two unital homomorphisms such that the following conditions hold:
(1) ϕ has sdp $(\frac{1}{4k}, \frac{1}{l})$ and sdp $(\frac{1}{12l}, 2\delta)$.
(2) $\|AffT\phi(h) - AffT\psi(h)\| < \delta$, $\forall h \in H$.
(3) For the canonical element $u \in C(S^1)$ given by $u(z) = z$, there exist a continuous function $\alpha : [0,1] \to \mathbb{R}$ with $|\alpha| < \frac{Kk_1}{l}$ and a constant $\lambda \in \mathbb{T}$ such that $Det(\psi(u)^*\phi(u))(t) = \lambda e^{2\pi i\alpha(t)}$, $\forall t \in [0,1]$ (this condition is a consequence of the estimation $\widetilde{D}_B(\psi(u), \phi(u)) < \frac{1}{l}$).
(4) $K_1(\phi) = K_1(\psi)$.

Then it follows that for any $\varepsilon > 0$, there is a unitary $w \in M_K(I_{k_1})$ such that

$$\|\phi(u) - w\psi(u)w^*\| \leq (\frac{28}{k} + \frac{6}{l})\pi + \varepsilon.$$

Combining above proposition and [EGL, 2.13], we get

Corollary 7.13. Let $F \subset A = M_\bullet(C(S^1))$ be a finite set and $\varepsilon > 0$. there is a number $\eta > 0$ with property described below.

For any $\delta > 0$, there are a $\delta' > 0$ and $\widetilde{\eta} > 0$ such that for any $\widetilde{\delta} > 0$, there are finite set $H \subseteq AffTA = C_{\mathbb{R}}(S^1)$ and positive integer L satisfying the following condition.

Suppose that $\phi, \psi : A \to B \in \mathcal{HD}$ are two unital homomorphisms such that the following are true:
(1) ϕ has property $sdp(\frac{\eta}{32}, \delta)$ and $sdp(\frac{\widetilde{\eta}}{32}, \widetilde{\delta})$,
(2) $\|AffT\phi(h) - AffT\psi(h)\| < \frac{\widetilde{\delta}}{4}, \quad \forall h \in H$,
(3) ϕ is $L-$large,
(4) $\widetilde{D}_B(\phi(z), \psi(z)) \leq \delta', \quad$ and
(5) $K_*(\phi) = K_*(\psi)$,

where $z \in M_k(C(S^l))$ is defined by

$$z(e^{2\pi it}) = \begin{pmatrix} e^{2\pi it} & & & & \\ & 1 & & & \\ & & 1 & & \\ & & & \cdots & \\ & & & & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then it follows that there exists a unitary $u \in B$ such that $\|\phi(f) - u\psi(f)u^*\| < \varepsilon, \ \forall f \in F$.

Proof. Note that $\widetilde{D}_B(\phi(z), \psi(z)) < \delta'$ implies $D(\phi(z)\psi^*(z)) < \delta' + \frac{2\pi}{L}$, where D is defined in [EGL, 2.4]. (This is true because for any unitary $u \in B$ with constant determinant, we have $D(u) \leq \frac{2\pi}{L}$, for rank$(\mathbf{1}_B) \geq L$). For the block $B^i = M_k(I_{k_1})$, the condition $D(\phi(z))\psi^*(z) < \delta' + \frac{2\pi}{L}$ implies the condition (3) in proposition 7.12, provided $\delta' + \frac{2\pi}{L} < \frac{1}{l}$.

□

7.14. Fixed $A = PM_\bullet(C(X))P$ for $X = T_{II,k}$ or $A = M_l(I_k)$. As in 5.16 of [G5], an element $\alpha \in KK(A, B)$ is completely determined by

$\alpha_0^0 : K_0(A) \to K_0(B)$

$\alpha_k^0 : K_0(A, \mathbb{Z}/k) \to K_0(B, \mathbb{Z}/k)$ and

$\alpha_k^1 : K_1(A, \mathbb{Z}/k) \to K_1(B, \mathbb{Z}/k)$

Note that for any C^*-algebra A

$$K_0(A \otimes C(W_k \times S^1)) = K_0(A) \oplus K_1(A) \oplus K_0(A, \mathbb{Z}/k) \oplus K_1(A, \mathbb{Z}/k),$$

where $W_k = T_{II,k}$.

Each projection $p \in M_\infty(A \otimes C(W_k \times S^1))$ defines an element $[p] \in K_0(A) \oplus K_1(A) \oplus K_0(A, \mathbb{Z}/k) \oplus K_1(A, \mathbb{Z}/k)$. For any finite set $\mathcal{P} \subset \cup_{k=1}^{\infty} M_\infty(A \otimes C(W_k \times S^1))$ of projections, denote $\mathcal{P}\underline{K}(A)$, the finite subset of $\underline{K}(A)$ consisting of elements coming from projection $p \in \mathcal{P}$, that is $\mathcal{P}\underline{K}(A) = \{[p] \in \underline{K}(A), p \in \mathcal{P}\}$.

In particular if $A = PM_\bullet(C(T_{II,k}))P$ or $M_l(I_k)$, then we can choose a finite set of projections

$$\mathcal{P} \subset M_\infty(A \otimes C(W_k \times S^1)).$$

such that

$$\{[p] \in K_0(A) \oplus K_1(A) \oplus K_0(A, \mathbb{Z}/k) \oplus K_1(A, \mathbb{Z}/k),\ p \in \mathcal{P}\} \subset \mathcal{P}\underline{K}(A)$$

generates

$$K_0(A) \oplus K_1(A) \oplus K_0(A, \mathbb{Z}/k) \oplus K_1(A, \mathbb{Z}/k) \subseteq \underline{K}(A).$$

As in 5.17 of [G5], there are a finite set $G(\mathcal{P}) \subset \mathcal{A}$ and positive number $\delta(\mathcal{P}) > 0$ such that if $\phi : A \to B$ is $G(\mathcal{P})$–$\delta(\mathcal{P})$ multiplicative (see Definition 1.2), then the map $\phi_* : \mathcal{P}\underline{K}(A) \to \underline{K}(B)$ is well defined.

Proposition 7.15. Let $A = PM_\bullet C(T_{II,k})P$ or $M_l(I_k)$ and $\mathcal{P}$ be as in 7.14. For any finite set $F \subset A$, $\varepsilon > 0$, there are finite set $G \subset A$ ($G \supset G(\mathcal{P})$ large enough), a positive number $\delta > 0$ ($\delta < \delta(\mathcal{P})$ small enough) such that the following statement is true. If $B \in \mathcal{HD}$, $\phi, \psi \in Map(A, B)$ are G–δ multiplicative and $\phi_* = \psi_* : \mathcal{P}\underline{K}(A) \to \underline{K}(B)$, then there is a homomorphism $\nu \in Hom(A, M_L(B))$ defined by point evaluation and there is a unitary $u \in M_{L+1}(B)$ such that $\|(\phi \oplus \nu)(a) - u(\psi \oplus \nu)(a)u^*\| < \varepsilon$, $\forall a \in F$.

Proof. For the case $A = PM_\bullet(C(T_{II,k}))P$ and B homogeneous, this is [G5, Theorem 5.18]. For the other case, the proof is completely same (see 7.14 above). Note that, the calculation of $K_0(\prod_{n=1}^{\infty} B_n / \bigoplus_{n=1}^{\infty} B_n)$, $K_0(\prod_{n=1}^{\infty} B_n / \bigoplus_{n=1}^{\infty} B_n, \mathbb{Z}/k)$ and $K_1(\prod_{n=1}^{\infty} B_n / \bigoplus_{n=1}^{\infty} B_n, \mathbb{Z}/k)$ in 5.12 of [G5] works well for the case some of B_n being of form $M_l(I_k)$.

□

Lemma 7.16. Let $A = PM_\bullet(C(X))P$, or $M_l(I_k)$. Let $F \subset A$ be approximately constant to within ε (i.e. $\omega(F) < \varepsilon$). Then for any two homomorphisms $\phi, \psi : A \to B$ defined by point

evaluation with $K_0\phi = K_0\psi$, then there exists a unitary $u \in B$ such that

$$\|\phi(f) - u\psi(f)u^*\| < 2\varepsilon$$

Proof. For $A = PM_\bullet(C(X))P$ and $x_0 \in X$ being base point of X. There are finitely many point $\{x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_n\} \subset X$ such that ϕ factors through as

$$A \xrightarrow{\pi} A|_{\{x_1,x_2,\cdots,x_n\}} = \bigoplus_1^n M_{rank(P)}(\mathbb{C}) \xrightarrow{\phi''} B.$$

But for each i, there is a unitary $u_i \in M_{rank(P)}(\mathbb{C})$ such that

$$\|f(x_0) - u_i f(x_i) u_i^*\| < \varepsilon, \quad \forall f \in F,$$

since $\omega(F) < \varepsilon$. Let $\pi' : A \to \bigoplus_{i=1}^n M_{rank(P)}(\mathbb{C})$ defined by

$$\pi'(f) = (u_1^* f(x_0) u_1, u_2^* f(x_0) u_2, \cdots, u_n^* f(x_0) u_n).$$

Then $\|\pi(f) - \pi'(f)\| < \varepsilon$ for all $f \in F$. Consequently $\|\phi'' \circ \pi(f) - \phi'' \circ \pi'(f)\| < \varepsilon$ for all $f \in F$. Evidently, there is a homomorphism $\phi' : M_{rank(P)}(\mathbb{C}) \to B$ such that

$$\|\phi(f) - \phi'(f(x_0))\| < \varepsilon \quad , \forall f \in F.$$

Similarly, there is a $\psi' : M_{rank(P)}(\mathbb{C}) \to B$ with

$$\|\psi(f) - \psi'(f(x_0))\| < \varepsilon, \quad \forall f \in F.$$

On the other hand $K_0\phi = K_0\psi$ implies $K_0\phi' = K_0\psi'$ since

$$e_* : K_0(PM_\bullet(C(X))P) \to K_0(M_{rank(p)}(\mathbb{C}))$$

is surjective for the evaluation $e : PM_\bullet(C(X))P \to P(x_0)M_\bullet(\mathbb{C})P(x_0) \cong M_{rank(P)(\mathbb{C})}$. Consequently, there is a unitary $u \in B$ such that $\phi' = u\psi' u^*$.

For the case of $A = M_l(I_k)$, one can also find a homomorphism $\phi' : M_l(\mathbb{C}) \to B$ such that

$$\|\phi(f) - \phi'(f(\underline{0}))\| < \varepsilon, \quad \forall f \in F,$$

where $f(\underline{0})$ is defined in 1.18 or 1.32. Then one follows the same argument as above to get the result.

□

Lemma 7.17. Let $A = PM_\bullet(C(X))P$ or $M_l(I_k)$, $\varepsilon > 0$, finite set $F \subset A$ with $\omega(A) < \varepsilon$. There are finite set $G \subset A$ ($G \supset G(\mathcal{P})$) and $\delta > 0$ ($\delta < \delta(\mathcal{P})$) and a positive integer L such that the following statement is true. If $B \in \mathcal{HD}$, $\phi, \psi \in Map(A, B)_1$ are $G - \delta$ multiplicative with $\phi_* = \psi_* : \mathcal{P}\underline{K}(A) \to \underline{K}(B)$ and $\nu : A \to M_\infty(B)$ is a homomorphism defined by point evaluation with $v([\mathbf{1}_A]) \geq L \cdot [\mathbf{1}_B] \in K_0(B)$, then there is a unitary $u \in (\mathbf{1}_B \oplus \nu(\mathbf{1}_A))M_\infty(B)(\mathbf{1}_B \oplus \nu(\mathbf{1}_A))$ such that

$$\|(\phi \oplus \nu)(f) - u(\psi \oplus \nu)(f)u^*\| < 5\varepsilon, \quad \forall f \in F.$$

Proof. Let L_1 be as in Proposition 7.15 and $L = 2L_1$, since $[\nu(\mathbf{1}_A)] \geq L \cdot [\mathbf{1}_B] = 2L_1[\mathbf{1}_B] \in K_0(B)$, there is a projection $P < \nu(\mathbf{1}_A)$ such that P is equivalent to $\mathbf{1}_{M_{L_1}(B)}$. By Proposition 7.15, there is a homomorphism $\nu_1 : A \to PM_\infty(B)P$, defined by point evaluation and a unitary $w \in (\mathbf{1}_B \oplus \nu_1(\mathbf{1}_A))M_\infty(B)(\mathbf{1}_B \oplus \nu_1(\mathbf{1}_A))$ such that

$$\|(\phi \oplus \nu_1)(f) - w(\psi \oplus \nu_1)(f)w^*\| < \varepsilon, \quad \forall f \in F.$$

Since ν_1 (and ν respectively) is homotopic to a homomorphism factor through $M_{rank(P)}(\mathbb{C})$ (for $A = PM_\bullet(C(X))P$) or factor through $M_l(\mathbb{C})$ (for $A = M_l(I_k)$), there is a unital homomorphism $\nu_2 : A \to (\nu(\mathbf{1}_A) - \nu_1(\mathbf{1}_A))M_\infty(B)(\nu(\mathbf{1}_A) - \nu_1(A))$, such that

$$K_0(\nu_1 \oplus \nu_2) = K_0(\nu).$$

By Lemma 7.16, ν is approximately equivalent to $\nu_1 \oplus \nu_2$ to with 2ε on F. Hence $\phi \oplus \nu$ is approximately unitarily equivalent to $\psi \oplus \nu$ to within $2\varepsilon + \varepsilon + 2\varepsilon = 5\varepsilon$.

□

Theorem 7.18. Let $A = PM_\bullet(C(T_{II,k}))P$ or $M_l(I_k)$, $\varepsilon > 0$, finite set $F \subset A$ with $\omega(F) < \varepsilon$. There is an $\eta > 0$ with the following property.

For any $\delta > 0$, there is an integer $L > 0$ and a finite set $H \subseteq AffTA(= C_\mathbb{R}(T_{II,k}))$ or $C_\mathbb{R}[0, 1])$ such that the following holds.

Suppose that $X_1, X_2, \cdots, X_s \subset Sp(A)$ are connected simplicial complex, $\phi_i, \psi_i : A|_{X_i} \to B(\in \mathcal{HD})$ are homomorphism satisfying
(a) ϕ_i has $sdp(\frac{\eta}{32}, \delta)$.
(b) For each i, either both ϕ_i and ψ_i are at least L-large or both ϕ_i and ψ_i are defined by point evaluations.
(c) $KK(\phi_i) = KK(\psi_i)$ and in particular $\phi_i(\mathbf{1}_{A|_{X_i}})$ are unitarily equivalent to $\psi_i(\mathbf{1}_{A|_{X_i}})$.

(d) Let $P_i = \phi_i(\mathbf{1}_{A|_{X_i}})$, $Q_i = \psi_i(\mathbf{1}_{A|_{X_i}})$. Then $AffTP_iBP_i$ is canonically identified with $AffTQ_iBQ_i$ by unitary conjugacy. Regarding

$$AffT\phi_i, AffT\psi_i : AffT(A|_{X_i}) \to AffTP_iBP_i \cong AffTQ_iBQ_i,$$

we have

$$\|AffT\phi_i(h|_X) - AffT\psi_i(h|_X)\| < \frac{\delta}{4}.$$

(e) $\{\phi_i(\mathbf{1}_{A|_{X_i}})\}_{i=1}^s$,$\{\psi_i(\mathbf{1}_{A|_{X_i}})\}_{i=1}^s\}$ are two sets of mutually orthogonal projections in B and $\phi, \psi : A \to B$ are defined by

$$\phi(f) = \sum_{i=1}^n \phi_i(f|_{X_i}), \psi(f) = \sum_{i=1}^n \psi_i(f|_{X_i})$$

for all $f \in A$.
Then there is unitary $u \in B$ such that

$$\|\phi(f) - u\psi(f)u^*\| < 5\varepsilon, \quad \forall f \in F.$$

Furthermore if the condition (b) above is replaced by a weak condition:
(b′) For each i, either both ϕ_i and ψ_i are $L - large$ or $\exists \phi_i'$ and ψ_i' both defined by point evaluation such that $\|\phi_i(f|_{X_i}) - \phi_i'(f|_{X_i})\| < \varepsilon$ and $\|\psi_i(f|_{X_i}) - \psi_i'(f|_{X_i})\| < \varepsilon$ for all $f \in F$, then we have a weak estimation $\|\phi(f) - u\psi(f)u^*\| < 7\varepsilon$, $\forall f \in F$.

Proof. Use the dilation lemma (Proposition 1.10), one can reduce the case of $PM_\bullet(C(T_{II,k}))P$ to the case of $M_\bullet(C(T_{II,k})$ (see the proof of [EGL 2.14] also). By (c),up to conjugacy unitary, we can assume $\phi(\mathbf{1}_{A|_{X_i}}) = \psi(\mathbf{1}_{A|_{X_i}})$. This reduce the case to only one space X_i (or $s = 1$).

If both ϕ_i and ψ_i are defined by point evaluation, then the conclusion follows form 7.16. So we can assume that ϕ_i and ψ_i are $L - large$. It following form (a), $L - large$, (c) and (d), applying Theorem 5.31 (for the part from $M_\bullet(C(X_i))$ to $M_l(I_k)$) or [G5, Theorem 4.42] (for the part from $M_\bullet(C(X_i))$ to homongeneous algebra) or Theorem 5.1 (which reduce the map from $M_l(I_k)$ to $PM_\bullet(C(X_i))P$ to a map with major part factors through $M_{l_k}([0,1])$), we know that ϕ and $Adu \circ \psi$ (for certain u) can be decompose as three parts as below.

Part(1): $p_0\phi(f)p_0, p_0Adu\circ\psi(f)p_0$, where $p_0 < p$ is a subprojection, serve as Q_0 in Theorem 5.31 above or p_0 in [G5 Theorem 4.42]

Part(2): same ϕ_1 (for both ϕ and $Adu \circ \psi$) defined by point evaluation with $\phi_1(1) \geq Jp_0$ for any given J.

Part(3): ϕ_2—same homomorphism for both ϕ and $Adu \circ \psi$ (which factors through matrix over interval or point).

Then by 7.17 apply to $p_0\phi(f)p_0 \oplus \phi(f)$ and $p_0(Adu \circ \phi(f))p_0 \oplus \phi(f)$, we get desired estimation.

Finally for the partial map from dimension drop to dimension drop algebras, one can apply Theorem 7.11 and proposition 4.14.

□

The following is the main theorem of this section.

Theorem 7.19. Let $A = lim(A_n, \phi_{nm})$ be (not necessary unital) $\mathcal{HD}$ inductive limit with ideal property. Suppose $B = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{s} B^i \in \mathcal{HD}$ and $\xi : B \to A_n$ is a homomorphism. Let $\varepsilon > 0$, $F = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{s} F^i \subset \bigoplus_{i=1}^{s} B^i$ be a finite set such that if $B^i = PM_\bullet(C(T_{II,k}))P$ or $M_l(I_k)$, then F^i is weakly approximately constants to within ε (i.e. $\omega(F^i) < \varepsilon$).

Then there is an $m > n$ and

$$H = \oplus H^i \subseteq AffTA_m = \oplus AffTA_m^i,$$

$$E = \oplus E^i \subset U(A_m)/\widetilde{SU(A_m)} = \bigoplus_i U(A_m^i)/\widetilde{SU(A_m^i)}$$

and $\sigma > 0$, such that if

$$\psi_1, \psi_2 : A_m \to D = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{s} D^i (\in \mathcal{HD})$$

are two homomorphism with the following conditions.
(1) $KK(\psi_1) = KK(\psi_2)$, consequently, there is a unitary $W \in D$ such that $\psi_1(\mathbf{1}_{A_m^i}) = W\psi_2(\mathbf{1}_{A_m^i})W^*$.
(2) For each pair A_m^i, D^i, denote $Q = W\psi_2^{i,j}(\mathbf{1}_{A_m^i})W^*$ and consider $\psi_1^{i,j}$, $AdW \circ \psi_2^{i,j} : A_m^i \to QD^jQ$, we have

$$\|AffT\psi_1^{i,j}(h) - AffT(Adw \circ \psi_2^{i,j})(h)\| < \sigma, \quad \forall h \in H,$$

where $AffT\psi_1^{i,j}$ and $AffT(Adw \circ \psi_2^{i,j})$ are regarded as unital map from $AffTA_m^i$ to $AffTQD^jQ$.
(3) using above notation

$$dist((\psi_1^{i,j})^\natural(g), (Adw \circ \psi_2^{i,j})^\natural(g)) < \sigma, \quad \forall g \in E^i,$$

where both $((\psi_1^{i,j})^\natural)$ and $(Adw\circ\psi_2^{i,j})^\natural$ are regarded as map from $U(A_m^j)/\widetilde{SU(A_m^j)}$ to $U(QD^jQ)/\widetilde{SU}(QD^jQ)$,
then there is a unitary $u \in D$ such that

$$\|\psi_1 \circ \phi_{nm} \circ \xi(f) - u(\psi_2 \circ \phi_{nm} \circ \xi)(f)u^*\| < 7\varepsilon, \forall f \in F.$$

(If we identify $AffT(W^*QW)D^jW^*QW$ with $AffTQD^jQ$ and identify $U(QD^jQ)/\widetilde{SU(QD^jQ)}$ with $U(W^*QW)D^j(W^*QW)/\widetilde{SU(W^*QW)D^j}(W^*QW)$ in the obvious way, we can write the above (2) and (3) as

$$\|AffT\psi_1^{i,j}(h) - AffT\psi_2^{i,j}(h)\| < \sigma, \quad \forall h \in H,$$

and

$$dist((\psi_1^{i,j})^\natural(g), (\psi_2^{i,j})^\natural(g)) < \delta, \quad \forall g \in E.)$$

Proof. Let $\eta > 0$ be the number as desired in 7.13, 7.11, 4.14 (that is, F^i is $(\frac{\varepsilon}{3}, \eta)$ continuous) and 7.18 for each block B^i with finite set F^i. Then consider

$$B \xrightarrow{\xi} A_n \to A_{n+1} \to \cdots$$

as inductive limit with B as first term, applying Theorem 4.4, there is $m_1 > n$, and a factorization of $\phi_{n,m_1} \circ \xi$ as

$$B \xrightarrow{\pi_1} B_1 \xrightarrow{\widetilde{\phi}} A_{m_1}$$

such that each B^i corresponds to possible several blocks $B_1^{i,j} = B^i|_{X_{i,j}}$, where $X_{i,j} \subset Sp(B^i)$ are connected simplicial complexes, $\widetilde{\phi}^{(i,j),k} : B_1^{i,j} \to A_{m_1}^k$ is either zero map or has $sdp(\frac{\eta}{32}, \delta)$ property for certain δ, where $\pi_1 : B = \oplus B^i \to B_1 = \oplus B_1^{i,j}$ is give by restriction $B^i \to \oplus_j B_1^{i,j}$.

For each block B^i, we can assume that for each $j > 1$, $Sp(B_1^{i,j}) = X_{i.j} \subsetneq Sp(B^i)$ that is, only the first block $B_1^{i,1}$ may have the same spectrum as B^i. For each $B_1^{i,1}$ with $Sp(B^{i,1}) = Sp(B^i) = S^1$, and $F^i \subset B^i$, $\eta > 0$, $\delta > 0$. let $\widetilde{\eta}$ be as in Corollary 7.13 correspond to η, δ and F^i (works for all such possible F^i). By Theorem 4.4 again, there is $m_2 > m_1$ such that the homomorphism $\phi_{m_1,m_2} \circ \widetilde{\phi}|_{B_1^{i,1}}$ factors through

$$B_1^{i,1} \xrightarrow{\pi_2} \oplus_j B_2^{i,1,j} \xrightarrow{\widetilde{\widetilde{\phi}}} A_{m_2}$$

Such that $\widetilde{\widetilde{\phi}}^{(i,1,j),k} : B_2^{i,1,j} \to A_{m_2}^k$ is either zero map or has $sdp(\frac{\widetilde{\eta}}{32}, \widetilde{\delta})$ for certain $\widetilde{\delta}$, where π_2 is defined as same as π_1.

Let L be larger than the numbers L as described in Corollary 7.13 and Theorem 7.18, namely the number L for $F^i \subset M_{l_i}(C(S^1))$, ε, (η, δ),$(\widetilde{\eta}, \widetilde{\delta})$ in Corollary 7.13 and the number L for $F^i \subset M_{l'_i}(I_{n_i})$ or $F^i \subset PM_\bullet(C(T_{II,k}))P$, ε, (η, δ) as in Theorem 7.18.

Denote those block of B^i with $Sp(B^i) \neq S^1$ by C_4^i; block $B_1^{i,j}$ with $Sp(B^i) = S^1$ but $Sp(B_1^{i,j}) \neq S^1$ by C_3^i; block $B_2^{i,1,j}$ with $Sp(B_1^{i,1}) = S^1$ but $Sp(B_2^{i,1,j}) \neq S^1$ by C_2^i, and block $B_2^{i,1,1}$ with $Sp(B_2^{i,1,1}) = S^1$ by C_1^i.

We can order the blocks such as $1 \leq i \leq t_1$ for C_1^i, and $t_1 < i \leq t_2$ for C_2^i, and $t_2 < i \leq t_3$ for C_3^i, and finally $t_3 < i \leq t_4$ for C_4^i and let

$$C' = \oplus C^i = \oplus_{i=1}^{t_1} C_1^i \oplus \oplus_{i=t_1+1}^{t_2} C_2^i \oplus \oplus_{i=t_2+1}^{t_3} C_3^i \oplus \oplus_{i=t_3+1}^{t_4} C_4^i.$$

Let $\phi' : C' \to A_{m_2}$ be defined as follows:

For C_1^i, $\phi'|_{C_1^i} = \widetilde{\widetilde{\phi}}|_{C_1^i}$ which has both property $sdp(\frac{\eta}{32}, \delta)$ and $sdp(\frac{\widetilde{\eta}}{32}, \widetilde{\delta})$.

For C_2^i, $\phi'|_{C_2^i} = \widetilde{\widetilde{\phi}}|_{C_2^i}$, which has property $sdp(\frac{\widetilde{\eta}}{32}, \widetilde{\delta})$ and $Sp(C_2^i) \subsetneqq S^1$ — that is, $SP(C_2^i)$ is either interval or $\{pt\}$.

For C_3^i, $\phi'|_{C_3^i} = \phi_{m_1 n_2} \circ \widetilde{\phi}|_{C_3^i}$, which has property $sdp(\frac{\eta}{32}, \delta)$ and $Sp(C_3^i) \subsetneqq S^1$ (i.e. $Sp(C_3^i)$ =interval or $\{pt\}$)

For C_4^i, $\phi'|_{C_4^i} = \phi_{nm_2} \circ \xi|_{C_4}$ which factors through $\oplus_j C_4^i|_{X_{i,j}}$ with $X_{i,j} \subset Sp(C_4^i)(= [0,1])$, $T_{II,k}$, or $\{pt\}$ but not S^1). (That is we will consider this part of homomorphism as a whole — not dividing them into pieces, but we will use the property that $\phi|_{C_4^i}$ can be factors through as $\oplus C_4^i|_{X_{i,j}}$ with each partial map having $sdp(\frac{\eta}{32}, \delta)$ property as in Theorem 7.18).

For integer L, we can find $m > m_2$ such that $\phi_{m_2 m} \circ \phi' : C \to A_m$ satisfies the dichotomy of Proposition 3.2.

For each C_1^i and A_m^j, if $(\phi_{m_2,m} \circ \phi')^{i,j}$ satisfies (b) of Proposition 3.2, then

$$(\phi_{m_2 m} \circ \phi')^{i,j} = C_1^i \to C_1^i|_{X_{i,j}} \xrightarrow{\widetilde{\widetilde{\phi}}} A_m^j,$$

where $X_{i,j}$ are union of several disjoint small interval centered at $x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_\bullet$ with length smaller than any pregiven number, and such that there exist a homomorphism

$$\psi : C_1^i \to C_1^i|_{\{x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_\bullet\}} \to A_m^j$$

with

$$\|\widetilde{\widetilde{\phi}}(f) - \psi(f)\| < \varepsilon, \forall f \in F^{i'} \subset B^{i'} = C_1^i$$

(note that C_1^i is one of the original $B^{i'}$ with $Sp(B^{i'}) = S^1$). Name those blocks of $C_1^i|_{X_{i,j}}$, C_5^k for $t_4 + 1 \leq k \leq t_5$. Then $Sp(C_5^i)$ = disjoint union of small interval. Finally let $C = C' \oplus \oplus_{i=t_4+1}^{t_5} C_5^i$, and $\phi : C \to A_m$ be defined as below. For C_2^i, C_3^i, C_4^i, we define $\phi \triangleq \phi_{m_2 m} \circ \phi'$. For C_1^i, and A_m^j with the homomorphism $(\phi_{m_2 m} \circ \phi')^{i,j}$ at least L-large, define $\phi^{i,j} = (\phi_{m_2 m} \circ \phi')^{i,j}$, if $(\phi_{m_2 m} \circ \phi')^{i,j}$ not $L - large$, then define it to be zero, (this part of the map will be defined going through C_5^i). For C_5^i, define $\phi|_{C_5^i} = \widetilde{\widetilde{\phi}}|_{C_5^i}$. Now we obtain the following factortation of

$$\phi_{nm} \circ \xi : B \xrightarrow{\pi} C \xrightarrow{\phi} A_m$$

such that the following holds. For each block C^i of C, there is only one block $B^{i'}$, such that $\pi^{i',i} \neq 0$. Furthermore for such block $B^{i'}$, $C^i = B^{i'}|_X$ for certain $X \subset Sp(B^{i'})$ and the map $\pi^{i',i}$ is the restriction. And there are four kind of blocks of C as described as follows:
(a) The first kind block C^i with $Sp(C^i) = S^1$. For these block C^i, there is a block $B^{i'}$ with $B^{i'} = C^i$ and the map $\pi^{i',i} : B^{i'} \to C^i$ is the identity map, and furthermore for each A_m^j, $\phi^{i,j}$ is either zero map, or $L - large$ map with $sdp(\frac{\eta}{32}, \delta)$ and $sdp(\frac{\widetilde{\eta}}{32}, \widetilde{\delta})$ property.
(b) The second kind of blocks with $Sp(C^i)$ = finite union of intervals or points, such that for each $\phi^{i,j}$, $\exists$ $\psi^{i,j}$ defined by point evaluation such that

$$\|\phi^{i,j}(f) - \psi^{i,j}(f)\| < \varepsilon, \forall f \in \pi(F)^{(i)},$$

where $\pi(F)^{(i)}$ denote $\pi^{i',i}(F^{i'})$ for $F^{i'} \subset B^{i'}$ with $\pi^{i',i} \neq 0$.
(c) The third kind of block have $Sp(C^i)$ =interval, which is image of $\pi^{i',i} : B^{i'} \to C^i$ for some $B^{i'} = M_\bullet(C[0,1])$ or $M_\bullet(C(S^1))$, and satisfy the condition that if $\phi^{i,j} \neq 0$, then $\phi^{i,j}$ has either property $sdp(\frac{\eta}{32}, \delta)$ (for those blocks coming from $B^{i'} = M_\bullet(C[0,1])$ and some coming from $B^{i'} = M_\bullet(C(S^1))$ which corresponding to factorization involving $\widetilde{\phi}$) or has $sdp(\frac{\widetilde{\eta}}{32}, \widetilde{\delta})$ (for some blocks coming from $M_\bullet(C(S^1))$ corresponding to factorization involve $\widetilde{\widetilde{\phi}}$).
(d) Fourth kind of blocks $C^i = B^{i'} = PM_\bullet C(T_{II,k})P$ or $M_l(I_k)$. For those blocks, for any A_m^j if $\phi^{i,j} \neq 0$, then $\phi^{i,j}$ is a homomorphism satisfying the condition (a) and (b') (for ϕ) of Theorem 7.18. That is $\phi^{i,j} = \oplus_k (\phi^{i,j})_k$, where

$$(\phi^{i,j})_k : \quad C^i|_{X_k} \to A_m^j$$

has $sdp(\frac{\eta}{32}, \delta)$ property (this is (a) of Theorem 7.18) and $(\phi^{i,j})_k$ is either $L - large$ or close to a homomorphism defined by point evaluation to within ε on F.

Let $H'^i \subset AffTB^i$ be defined to include all the subsets of the following form.

For $B^i = M_\bullet(C(S^1))$, including H for (η, δ) and $(\widetilde{\eta}, \widetilde{\delta})$ as in Corollary 7.13, and including H for (η, δ) as in 7.11, and furthermore including H for $(\widetilde{\eta}, \widetilde{\delta})$ (play the role of (η, δ) as in 7.11).

For $B^i = M_\bullet(C[0,1])$ include H for (η, δ) as in 7.11.

For $B^i = PM_\bullet(C(T_{IIk}))P$, including H for (η, δ) as in 7.18.

For $B^i = M_l(I_k)$ including H for (η, δ) as in 7.18 and H for (η, δ) as in 4.14 (see Remark 4.15 also)

Let $H' = \bigoplus_i H'^i \subset AffTB = \bigoplus AffTB^i$. For each block $B^i = M_\bullet(C(S^1))$, let $E'^i = \{z\} \subset U(B^i)/\widetilde{SU(B^i)}$, where z is defined in 7.13. For blocks B^i with $Sp(B^i) \neq S^1$, let $E'^i = \{1\} \subset U(B^i)/\widetilde{SU}(B^i))$. Let δ' be as described in 7.13 (for all blocks of $B^i = M_\bullet(C(S^1))$ for (η, δ)).

Let $E' = \bigoplus E'^i \subset U(B)/\widetilde{SU}(B) = \bigoplus U(B^i)/\widetilde{SU}(B^i)$.

For each A^j_m, let $H^j = \pi_j(AffT(\phi_{n,m} \circ \xi)(H')) = \pi_j(AffT(\phi \circ \pi)(H'))$ and $E^j = \pi_j((\phi_{n,m} \circ \xi)^\natural(E'))$. And let $H = \bigoplus H^j$ and $E = \bigoplus E^j$. Let $N = \max_j(rank(\mathbf{1}_{A^j_m}))$ and $\sigma = \frac{1}{N} min(\frac{\delta}{4}, \frac{\widetilde{\delta}}{4}, \delta')$.

Let $\psi_1, \psi_2 : A_m \to D = \bigoplus_{i=1}^s D^i (\in \mathcal{HD})$ be two homomorphisms satisfying the condition of the theorem for the set H, E and positive number σ.

For any $C^i \subset C$, $A^j_m \subset A_m$, with $\phi^{i,j} \neq 0$, let $R = \phi^{i,j}(\mathbf{1}_{C^i}) \in A^j_m$ then $\frac{rank(R)}{rank(1_{A^j_m})} \geq \frac{1}{N}$.

Up to unitary equivalent, we can assume $\psi_1(R) = \psi_2(R)$ and $\psi_1(\mathbf{1}_{A^j_m}) = \psi_2(\mathbf{1}_{A^j_m})$.

For a block D^k, let $Q_1 = \psi_1^{j,k}(R) = \psi_2^{j,k}(R)$ and $Q = \psi_1^{j,k}(\mathbf{1}_{A^j_m}) = \psi_2^{j,k}(\mathbf{1}_{A^j_m})$.

Note that the inclusion $RA^j_m R \to A^j_m$ induces the map from

$$AffTRA^j_m R = C_{\mathbb{R}}(Sp(A^j_m))$$

to

$$AffTA^j_m = C_{\mathbb{R}}(Sp(A^j_m))$$

by sending f to $\frac{rank(R)}{rank(\mathbf{1}_{A^j_m})} f$.

One needs to note that for any unital homomorphisms

$$\psi_1, \psi_2 : A_m^j \to QD^kQ$$

and

$$\widetilde{\psi}_1 = \psi_1|_{RA_m^jR},\ \widetilde{\psi}_2 = \psi_2|_{RA_m^jR} : RA_m^jR \to Q_1D^kQ_1$$

satisfy that for any $f \in AffTRA_m^jR \subseteq AffTA_m^j$, we have

$$\|AffT\widetilde{\psi}_1(f) - AffT\widetilde{\psi}_2(f)\| = \frac{rank(\mathbf{1}_{A_m^j})}{rank(R)}\|AffT\psi_1(f) - AffT\psi_2(f)\|$$

and for any $g \in U(RA_m^jR)/\widetilde{SU(RA_m^jR)}$, we have

$$dist(\widetilde{\psi}_1^\natural(g), \widetilde{\psi}_2^\natural(g)) \leq \frac{rank(\mathbf{1}_{A_m^j})}{rank(R)}dist(\psi_1^\natural(g), \psi_2^\natural(g)),$$

as left hand side are considered as element in $AffTQ_1D^kQ_1$ or $U(Q_1D^kQ_1)/\widetilde{SU}(Q_1D^kQ_1)$ and right hand side are considered as element in $AffTQD^kQ$ or $U(QD^kQ)/\widetilde{SU}(QD^kQ)$.

Using above fact, it is routine to verify the conclusion of the theorem.

□

§8. The proof of the main theorem

In this section, we will prove the following main theorem of this article.

Theorem 8.1. Suppose that $A = \lim(A_n, \phi_{nm})$ and $B = \lim(B_n, \psi_{nm})$ are two (not necessary unital) $\mathcal{AHD}$ inductive limits with ideal property. Suppose that there is an isomorphism

$$\alpha : (\underline{K}(A), \underline{K}(A)^+, \sum A) \to (\underline{K}(B), \underline{K}(B)^+, \sum B)$$

which is compatible with Bockstein operations. Suppose that for each projection $p \in A$ and $\overline{p} \in B$ with $\alpha([p]) = [\overline{p}]$, there are unital positive linear isomorphism

$$\xi^{p,\overline{p}} : AffTpAp \to AffT\overline{p}B\overline{p}$$

and isometric group isomorphism

$$\gamma^{p,\overline{p}} : U(pAp)/\widetilde{SU(pAp)} \to U(pBp)/\widetilde{SU(pBp)}$$

which satisfy the following compatibility conditions
(1) For each pair of projections $p < q \in A$ and $\overline{p} < \overline{q} \in B$ with $\alpha([p]) = [\overline{p}], \alpha([q]) = [\overline{q}]$, the following diagrams

$$\begin{array}{ccc} AffT(pAp) & \xrightarrow{\xi^{p,\overline{p}}} & AffT(\overline{p}B\overline{p}) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ AffT(qAq) & \xrightarrow{\xi^{q,\overline{q}}} & AffT(\overline{q}B\overline{q}) \end{array}$$

and

$$\begin{array}{ccc} U(pAp)/\widetilde{SU(pAp)} & \xrightarrow{r^{p,\overline{p}}} & U(\overline{p}B\overline{p})/\widetilde{SU(\overline{p}B\overline{p})} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ U(qAq)/\widetilde{SU(qAq)} & \xrightarrow{r^{q,\overline{q}}} & U(\overline{q}B\overline{q})/\widetilde{SU(\overline{q}B\overline{q})} \end{array}$$

commutes, where vertical maps are induced by inclusion homomorphism.
(2) The map α_0 and $\xi^{p,\overline{p}}$ are compatible, that is

$$\begin{array}{ccc} K_0(pAp) & \xrightarrow{\rho} & AffT(pAp) \\ {\scriptstyle \alpha_0}\downarrow & & {\scriptstyle \xi^{p,\overline{p}}}\downarrow \\ K_0(\overline{p}B\overline{p}) & \xrightarrow{\rho} & AffT(\overline{p}B\overline{p}) \end{array}$$

commutes (this is not an extra requirement, since it follows from the commutativity of the first diagram in (1) above by [Ji-Jiang]), and then we have the map (still denoted by $\xi^{p,\overline{p}}$) from $AffT(pAp)/\widetilde{\rho K_0(pAp)}$ to $AffT(\overline{p}B\overline{p})/\widetilde{\rho K_0(\overline{p}B\overline{p})}$
(3) The map $\xi^{p,\overline{p}}$ and $\gamma^{p,\overline{p}}$ are compatible, that is the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} AffT(pAp)/\widetilde{\rho K_0(pAp)} & \xrightarrow{\widetilde{\lambda}_A} & U(pAp)/\widetilde{SU(pAp)} \\ {\scriptstyle \xi^{p,\overline{p}}}\downarrow & & {\scriptstyle \gamma^{p,\overline{p}}}\downarrow \\ AffT(\overline{p}B\overline{p})/\widetilde{\rho K_0(\overline{p}B\overline{p})} & \xrightarrow{\widetilde{\lambda}_B} & U(\overline{q}B\overline{q})/\widetilde{SU(\overline{q}B\overline{q})} \end{array}$$

commutes
(4) The map $\alpha_1 : K_1(pAp)/torK_1(pAp) \to K_1(\overline{p}B\overline{p})/tor(\overline{p}B\overline{p})$ (note that α keeps the positive cone of $\underline{K}(A)^+$ therefore take $K_1(pAp) \subset K_1(A)$ to $K_1(\overline{p}B\overline{p}) \subset K_1(B)$), compatible with $r^{p,\overline{p}}$ that is the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} U(pAp)/\widetilde{SU(pAp)} & \xrightarrow{\widetilde{\pi}_{pAp}} & K_1(pAp)/torK_1(pAp) \\ {\scriptstyle \gamma^{p,\overline{p}}}\downarrow & & {\scriptstyle \alpha_1}\downarrow \\ U(\overline{p}B\overline{p})/\widetilde{SU(\overline{p}B\overline{p})} & \xrightarrow{\widetilde{\pi}_{pBp}} & K_1(\overline{p}B\overline{p})/torK_1(\overline{p}B\overline{p}) \end{array}$$

commutes
Then there is an isomorphism $\Gamma : A \to B$ such that
(a) $\underline{K}(\Gamma) = \alpha$

(b) If $\Gamma_p : pAp \to \Gamma(p)B\Gamma(p)$ is the restriction of Γ then $AffT(\Gamma_p) = \xi^{p,\overline{p}}$, $\Gamma_p^\natural = \gamma^{p,\overline{p}}$, where $[\overline{p}] = [\Gamma(p)]$.

Lemma 8.2. Let $C \in \mathcal{HD}$ be a single building block with $p \in C$ a projection. Let $a = \frac{rank(\mathbf{1}_C)}{rank(p)}$, where $rank : K_0(C)_+ \to \mathbb{Z}_+$ is defined in 1.12. Let

$$\iota_* : U(pCp)/\widetilde{SU(pCp)} \to U(C)/\widetilde{SU(C)}$$

be given by $\iota_*(u) = u + (\mathbf{1}_C - p)$. Then for any two elements $u, v \in U(pCp)/\widetilde{SU}(pCp)$, we have that if $\widetilde{D}_C(\iota_*(u), \iota_*(v)) < \varepsilon$ then $\widetilde{D}_{pCp}(u, v) < a\varepsilon$.

Proof. If $a\varepsilon > 2$, then it is true. Let us assume $a\varepsilon \leq 2$, and therefore $\varepsilon < 2$. Then

$$[u^*v] \in U(pCp)/\widetilde{SU}(pCp)$$

satisfies

$$\widetilde{\pi}_{pCp}([u^*v]) = 0,$$

where $\widetilde{\pi}_A : U(A)/\widetilde{SU(A)} \to K_1(A)/torK_1(A)$.

Hence there is $h \in AffT(pCp)/\widetilde{\rho K_0(pCp)}$ such that $\widetilde{\lambda}_{pCp}(h) = [u^*v]$. Hence

$$\widetilde{\lambda}_C(\iota_*(h)) = \iota_*([u^*v]).$$

On the other hand,

$$\|\iota_*(h)\| = \frac{1}{a}\|h\|.$$

we have

$$\widetilde{d'}(\iota_*(h), 0) = \frac{1}{a}\widetilde{d'}(h, 0),$$

where $\widetilde{d'}$ is defined in 2.22. Notice the following easy fact, if $|e^{i\theta} - 1| < \varepsilon$, then $|e^{ia\theta} - 1| < a\varepsilon$ for $a > 1$. Using the definition of $\widetilde{d}_A$ in 2.22 and the isometry of $\widetilde{d}_A : AffTA/\widetilde{\rho K_0(A)} \to U(A)/\widetilde{SU(A)}$, it is easy to get the lemma.

□

8.3. We will construct intertwining between the inductive limit of (A_n, ϕ_{nm}) and (B_n, ψ_{nm}) (of course, we need to pass to subsequences). By Theorem 3.5, there are $\nu_n : A_n \to B_n$ and $\mu_n : B_n \to A_{n+1}$ such that
(a) $\mu_n \circ \nu_n \sim_h \phi_{n,n+1}$ and $\nu_{n+1} \circ \mu_n \sim_h \phi_{n,n+1}$,

and
(b)$\alpha \circ \underline{K}(\phi_{n,\infty}) = \underline{K}(\psi_{n,\infty}) \circ \underline{K}(\nu_n) \in KL(A_n, B)$,

$\alpha^{-1} \circ \underline{K}(\psi_{n,\infty}) = \underline{K}(\phi_{n+1,\infty}) \circ \underline{K}(\mu_n) \in KL(B_n, A)$.

Note that for any of our building blocks A_n^i, if two projection $p, q \in A_n^i$ with $[p] = [q] \in K_0(A_n^i)$ then $p \sim_u q$. Consequently, we can modify (using unitary equivalence) ν_n and μ_n such that for each block A_n^i and B_n^j,

$$\mu_n \circ \nu_n(\mathbf{1}_{A_n^i}) = \phi_{n,n+1}(\mathbf{1}_{A_n^i})$$

and

$$\nu_{n+1} \circ \mu_n(\mathbf{1}_{B_n^i}) = \psi_{n,n+1}(\mathbf{1}_{B_n^i}).$$

(See Remark 3.6.)

8.4. Let $F_1 \subset A_1, F_2 \subset A_2, \cdots, F_n \subset A_n$ be finite set with $F_n \supset \phi_{k,n}(F_k)$ for all $k \leq n-1$, and $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \phi_{n,\infty}(F_n)$ is dense in unit ball of A. Let $G_1 \subset B_1, G_2 \subset B_2, \cdots, G_n \subset B_n$ have similar property as $F_i \subset A_i$. Let $\varepsilon_1 < \varepsilon_2 < \cdots$ with $\sum \varepsilon_n < +\infty$. Now ,we will apply Theorem 6.10 (the main existence theorem), Proposition 6.9 (to pull back the map of invariants), Theorem 4.13 (to get desired decomposition with some sets being weakly approximately constant) and Theorem 7.19 (the main uniqueness theorem) to prove our main theorem.

Let $k_1 = 1$, apply Theorem 4.13 to A_1, there is an $m' > k_1 = 1$, $Q_0, Q_1 \in A_{m'}$ with

$$Q_0 + Q_1 = \phi_{1,m'}(\mathbf{1}_{A_i})$$

and unital maps

$$\psi_0 \in Map(A_1, Q_0 A_{m'} Q_0)_1,$$
$$\psi_1 \in Hom(A_1, Q_1 A_{m'} Q_1)_1$$

such that the following statement are true
(1) $\|\phi_{1,m'}(f) - \psi_0(f) \oplus \psi_1(f)\| < \varepsilon, \forall f \in F$,
(2) $\omega(\psi_0(F_1)) < \varepsilon$,
(3) The homomorphism ψ_1 factor through C — a direct sum of matrix algebra over $C[a,b]$ (where $[a,b] \subset [0,1]$) or $\mathbb{C}$ as

$$\psi_1 : A_1 \xrightarrow{\xi_1} C \xrightarrow{\xi_2} Q_1 A_{m'} Q_1,$$

where ξ_1 and ξ_2 are unital homomorphism.

Set

$$I = \{i, \xi_2^{i,j} \neq 0 \text{ for } j \text{ with } A_{m'}^j \text{ of type } PM_\bullet((T_{II,k})P \text{ or } M_l(I_k))\}$$

and set

$$J = \{j, A_{m'}^j \text{ is of type } PM_\bullet C(T_{II,k})P \text{ or } M_l((I_k)\}.$$

Let

$$\widetilde{A}_1 = \bigoplus_{i\in I} C^i \oplus \bigoplus_{j\in J} Q_0^j A_{m'}^j Q_0^j \oplus \bigoplus_{j\notin J} A_{m'}^j$$

and let

$$\widetilde{F}_1 = \bigoplus_{j\in J} \psi_0^{-,j}(F_1) \oplus \bigoplus_{i\in I} \xi_1^i(F_1) \oplus \bigoplus_{j\notin J} \phi_{\mathbf{1},m'}^{-j}(F_1) \subset \widetilde{A}_1.$$

(See 1.3 for the notation.)

Let $\xi : \widetilde{A}_1 \longrightarrow A_{m'}$ be defined as canonical inclusion from $Q_0^j A_{m'}^j Q_0^j$ to $A_{m'}^j$ (for $j \in J$) and identity map from $A_{m'}^j$ to $A_{m'}^j$ (for $j \notin J$) and $\xi_2^{i,j} : C^i \longrightarrow Q_1^j A_{m'}^j Q_1^j$ (for $i \in I$ and $j \in J$).

Since we will reserve the notation ξ for the positive linear map for $AffT$ spaces, denote the above map $\xi : \widetilde{A}_1 \longrightarrow A_{m'}$ by φ. Let $\widetilde{\varphi} : A_1 \longrightarrow \widetilde{A}_1$ be given by:

if $\widetilde{A}_1^k = Q_0^j A_{m'}^j Q_0^j$ for $j \in J$, then $\widetilde{\varphi}^{i,k} : A_1^i \longrightarrow \widetilde{A}_1^k$ is defined by $\widetilde{\varphi}^{i,k} = \psi_0^{i,k}$;

if $\widetilde{A}_1^k = A_{m'}^j$ for $j \notin J$, then $\widetilde{\varphi}^{i,k} : A_1^i \longrightarrow \widetilde{A}_1^k$ is defined by $\widetilde{\varphi}^{i,k} = \phi_{1,m'}^{i,j}$;

if $\widetilde{A}_1^k = C^j$ for $j \in I$, then $\widetilde{\varphi}^{i,k} : A_1^i \longrightarrow \widetilde{A}_1^k$ is defined by $\widetilde{\varphi}^{i,k} = \xi_1^{i,j} : A_1^i \longrightarrow C^j$.

Then $\|\phi_{1,m'}(f) - \varphi \circ \widetilde{\varphi}(f)\| < \varepsilon_1, \forall f \in F$.

Now the algebra $\widetilde{A}_1$ with $\widetilde{F}_1 \subset \widetilde{A}_1$ and $\varphi : \widetilde{A}_1 \longrightarrow A_{m'}$ satisfies the condition of Theorem 7.19 (for B with $\xi : B \longrightarrow A_n$). By Theorem 7.19, there is $m > m'$, $H = \oplus H^i \subseteq \oplus AffTA_m^i$, $E = \oplus E^i \subseteq \bigoplus_i U(A_m^i)/\widetilde{SU(A_m^i)}$, and $\sigma_1 > 0$ as desired in the theorem.

Apply Theorem 6.10 to $H = \oplus H^i$ and $E = \oplus E^i$ (of course with m in place of n), and $\frac{\sigma_1}{8}$ (in place of both ε_1 and ε_2), there is $m'' > m$ as in the theorem (in place of m).

8.5. For each $A_{m''}^{i_0}$, for each $s \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, let $P_s = \phi_{m'',m''+s}(\mathbf{1}_{A_{m''}^{i_0}}) \in A_{m''+s}$, with $P_0 = \mathbf{1}_{A_{m''}^{i_0}}$. Let $\nu_{m''} : A_{m''} \longrightarrow B_{m''}$ be as in 8.3, and let $Q_0 = \nu_{m''}(\mathbf{1}_{A_{m''}^{i_0}})$, and $Q_s = \psi_{m'',m''+s}(Q_0) \in B_{m''+s}$. Let $P = \phi_{m'',\infty}(\mathbf{1}_{A_{m''}^{i_0}}) \in A, Q = \psi_{m'',\infty}(Q_0) \in B$.

(Note that the projections Q_0, Q_s here are different from the projections Q_0, Q_1 in 8.4, which appeared in the decomposition of a homomorphism. We believe that it will not cause any confusion.)

Then $\alpha \in KK(A,B)$ induce $\alpha_{[PAP,QBQ]} \in KK(PAP, QBQ)$ consider two inductive limits

$$C \triangleq PAP = lim(C_s \triangleq P_s A_{m''+s} P_s, \widetilde{\phi}_{s,t} = \phi_{m''+s,m''+t}|_{C_s}),$$

and

$$D \triangleq QBQ = lim(D_s \triangleq Q_s B_{m''+s} Q_s, \widetilde{\psi}_{s,t} = \psi_{m''+s,m''+t}|_{D_s}),$$

as in Proposition 6.9, with $\alpha_{(C,D)}, \xi^{P,Q}, \gamma^{P,Q}$ as in 6.8, and with

$$H' \subseteq AffTC_0 = AffTA^{i_0}_{m''} = C_{\mathbb{R}}(SpA^{i_0}_{m''})$$

to be

$$\bigcup_i AffT\phi^{i,i_0}_{m,m''}(H^i) \subset AffTA^{i_0}_{m''}, \quad E' = \bigcup_i (\phi^{i,i_0}_{m,m''})^{\natural}(E^i) \subset U(A^{i_0}_{m''})/\widetilde{SU(A^{i_0}_{m''})}$$

and $\varepsilon = \frac{\sigma_1}{8rank(\mathbf{1}_{A^{i_0}_{m''}})}$. By Proposition 6.9, there is $s > 0$, there is a KK-element $\alpha_1 \in KK(C_0, D_s)$ which can be realized by homomorphism (in fact, α_1 can be choose to be $KK(\psi_{m'',m''+s} \circ \nu_{m''}|_{A^i_{m''}})$), there is a positive contraction

$$\xi_1 : AffTC_0 \longrightarrow AffTD_s$$

compatible with the K_0 map induced by α_1 (see (c) of 6.6), and there is contractive group homomorphism

$$\gamma_1 : U(C_0)/\widetilde{SU(C_0)} \longrightarrow U(D_s)/\widetilde{SU(D_s)}$$

compatible with ξ_1 (see (e) of 6.6) and compatible with the K_1 map induced by α_1 (see (d) of 6.6), such that
(1) $\alpha_1 \times KK(\widetilde{\psi}_{s,\infty}) = KK(\widetilde{\phi}_{0,\infty}) \times \alpha_{(C,D)}$,
(2) $\|AffT\widetilde{\psi}_{s,\infty} \circ \xi_1(h) - \xi^{P,Q} \circ AffT\widetilde{\phi}_{0,\infty}(h)\| < \frac{\sigma_1}{8rank(\mathbf{1}_{A^{i_0}_{m''}})}, \quad \forall h \in H'$
(3) $dist(\psi_{s,\infty} \circ \gamma_1(g), r^{P,Q} \circ \phi_{s,\infty}(g)) < \frac{\sigma_1}{8rank(\mathbf{1}_{A^{i_0}_{m''}})}, \quad \forall g \in E'.$

Lemma 8.6. Let A, B, C be basic $\mathcal{HD}$ building blocks, let $\phi : A \longrightarrow B$ be a (not necessary unital) homomorphism, and $F \subseteq AffTA$ be a finite set. Let $F_1 = AffT\phi(F) \subseteq AffT\phi(1_A)B\phi(1_A)$ which can be regarded as subset $\imath_*(F_1)$ of $AffTB$, (where $\imath$ is the inclusion $\phi(1_A)B\phi(1_A) \xrightarrow{\imath} B$). Let $\alpha \in KK(B,C)$ can be realized by homomorphism. Let $\xi_1, \xi_2 : AffTB \longrightarrow AffT\alpha(\mathbf{1}_B)C\alpha(\mathbf{1}_B))$ be unital positive linear maps. then

$$\max_{f\in F}\|(\xi_1\circ AffT\phi)_{([\mathbf{1}_A],\alpha[\phi(\mathbf{1}_A)])}(f)-(\xi_2\circ AffT\phi)_{([\mathbf{1}_A],\alpha[\phi(\mathbf{1}_A)])}(f)\|=$$
$$(\max_{f\in F_1}\|\xi_1(f)-\xi_2(f)\|)\cdot\tfrac{rank(\mathbf{1}_B)}{rank(\phi(\mathbf{1}_A))}.$$

In particular, if $\|\xi_1(f)-\xi_2(f)\|<\frac{\sigma_1}{8rank(\mathbf{1}_B)}, \forall f\in F_1$ then

$$\|(\xi_1\circ AffT\phi)_{([\mathbf{1}_A],\alpha[\phi(\mathbf{1}_A)])}(f)-(\xi_2\circ AffT\phi)_{([\mathbf{1}_A],\alpha[\phi(\mathbf{1}_A)])}(f)\|<\frac{\sigma_1}{8}.$$

Proof. Note that the map

$$AffT(\phi(\mathbf{1}_A)B\phi(\mathbf{1}_A))=C_{\mathbb{R}}(SpB)\longrightarrow AffTB=C_{\mathbb{R}}(SpB)$$

induced by inclusion, is given by $g\mapsto\frac{rank\phi(\mathbf{1}_A)}{rank(\mathbf{1}_B)}\cdot g$. Then lemma is obviously true.

□

Lemma 8.7. Let A,B,C be basic building blocks. Let $\phi:A\longrightarrow B$ be (not necessary unital) homomorphism and $\alpha\in KK(B,C)$ be induced by a homomorphism (and let $R\in C$ satisfy $\alpha[\mathbf{1}_B]=[R]\in K_0(C)$). Let $E\subset U(A)/\widetilde{SU(A)}$ be a finite set and

$$E_1=\phi^\natural(E)\subseteq U(\phi(\mathbf{1}_A)B\phi(\mathbf{1}_A))/\widetilde{SU}(\phi(\mathbf{1}_A)B\phi(\mathbf{1}_A))\subseteq U(B)/\widetilde{SU(B)}$$

(by regarding $u\in U(\phi(\mathbf{1}_A)B\phi(\mathbf{1}_A))$ as $u\oplus(\mathbf{1}_B-\phi(\mathbf{1}_A))\in U(B)$). Let

$$\gamma_1,\gamma_2:U(B)/\widetilde{SU(B)}\longrightarrow U(RCR)/\widetilde{SU}(RCR)$$

be two contractive group homomorphism compatible with $\alpha_*:K_1(B)\longrightarrow K_1(C)$. Then

$$\max_{g\in E}dist((\gamma_1\circ\phi^\natural)|_{([\mathbf{1}_A],\alpha[\phi(\mathbf{1}_A)])}(g),(\gamma_2\circ\phi^\natural)|_{([\mathbf{1}_A],\alpha[\phi(\mathbf{1}_A)])}(g))\leq\frac{rank\phi(\mathbf{1}_A)}{rank(\mathbf{1}_B)}\max_{g\in E_1}dist(\gamma_1(g),\gamma_2(g)).$$

Proof. With Lemma 8.2, this lemma is also obvious.

□

8.8. Apply 8.5 to each block $A^i_{m''}$ of $A_{m''}$, we can find a positive integer s working for all i. Let us denote $\psi_{m'',m''+s}\circ\nu_{m''}:A_{m''}\longrightarrow B_{m''+s}$ by χ, and denote $m''+s$ by l_1. Then from 8.5, there are

$$\xi^i_1:AffTA^i_{m''}\longrightarrow AffT(\chi(\mathbf{1}_{A^i_{m''}})B_{l_1}\chi(\mathbf{1}_{A^i_{m''}}))$$

compatible with K_0 map induced by χ, and

$$\gamma^i_1:U(A^i_{m''})/\widetilde{SU}(A^i_{m''})\longrightarrow U(\chi(\mathbf{1}_{A^i_{m''}})B_{l_1}\chi(\mathbf{1}_{A^i_{m''}})/\widetilde{SU}(\chi(\mathbf{1}_{A^i_{m''}})B_{l_1}\chi(\mathbf{1}_{A^i_{m''}}).$$

which is compatible with ξ_1^i and K_1 map induced by χ, with condition (1), (2), (3) in 8.5.

Lemma 8.9. Let $A = \oplus A^i \in \mathcal{HD}$, $B = \oplus B^j \in \mathcal{HD}$. Let $\chi : A \longrightarrow B$ be a homomorphism. Suppose for each i, there are unital positive map

$$\xi^i : AffTA^i \longrightarrow AffT\chi(\mathbf{1}_{A^i})B\chi(\mathbf{1}_{A^i}),$$

contractive group homomorphism

$$\gamma^i : U(A^i)/\widetilde{SU(A^i)} \longrightarrow U(\chi(\mathbf{1}_{A^i})B\chi(\mathbf{1}_{A^i}))/\widetilde{SU}(\chi(\mathbf{1}_{A^i})B\chi(\mathbf{1}_{A^i}))$$

which are compatible with each other and compatible with the K-theory map induced by χ. Then there are a unique unital positive linear map

$$\xi : AffTA \longrightarrow AffT\chi(\mathbf{1}_A)B\chi(\mathbf{1}_A)$$

which is compatible with K_0 map induced by χ, a unique contractive group homomorphism

$$\gamma : U(A)/\widetilde{SU(A)} \longrightarrow U(\chi(\mathbf{1}_A)B\chi(\mathbf{1}_A))/\widetilde{SU}(\chi(\mathbf{1}_A)B\chi(\mathbf{1}_A))$$

which is compatible with ξ and K_1 map induced by χ, such that for each i

$$\xi|_{(\mathbf{1}_{A^i},\chi(\mathbf{1}_{A^i}))} = \xi^i,\ \gamma|_{(\mathbf{1}_{A^i},\chi(\mathbf{1}_{A^i}))} = \gamma^i.$$

Proof. Obvious.

□

8.10. From 8.8, apply 8.9, we get KK element $\alpha_1 \in KK(A_{m''}, \chi(\mathbf{1}_{A_{m''}})B_{l_1}\chi(\mathbf{1}_{A_{m''}}))$, unital positive linear map $\xi_1 : AffTA_{m''} \longrightarrow AffT(\chi(\mathbf{1}_{A_{m''}})B_{l_1}\chi(\mathbf{1}_{A_{m''}})$, contractive group homomorphism

$$\gamma_1 : U(A_{m''})/\widetilde{SU}(A_{m''}) \longrightarrow U(\chi(\mathbf{1}_{A_{m''}})B_{l_1}\chi(\mathbf{1}_{A_{m''}})/\widetilde{SU}(\chi(\mathbf{1}_{A_{m''}})B_{l_1}\chi(\mathbf{1}_{A_{m''}})),$$

which are compatible with each other and with the following conditions:
(1) $\alpha_1 \times KK(\psi_{l_1,\infty}) = KK(\psi_{m'',\infty}) \times \alpha \in KK(A_{m''}, B)$.
(2) $\|AffT\psi_{l_1,\infty} \circ \xi_1|_{(\mathbf{1}_{A^{i_0}_{m''}}, \psi_{l_1,\infty}[\chi(\mathbf{1}_{A^{i_0}_{m''}}])}(h)$
$- \xi^{[\phi_{m'',\infty}(\mathbf{1}_{A^{i_0}_{m''}}), \alpha(\phi_{m'',\infty}(\mathbf{1}_{A^{i_0}_{m''}}))]} \circ AffT\phi_{m'',\infty}|_{(\mathbf{1}_{A^{i_0}_{m''}}, \phi_{m''\infty}(\mathbf{1}_{A^{i_0}_{m''}}))}(h)\| < \frac{\sigma_1}{8rank(\mathbf{1}_{A^{i_0}_{m''}})}$,
$\forall h \in H' \subset AffT(A^{i_0}_{m''})$ (as described 8.5)

(3) $dist(((\psi_{l_1,\infty})^\natural \circ \gamma_1)_{(\mathbf{1}_{A^{i_0}_{m''}}, \psi_{l_1,\infty}\chi[\mathbf{1}_{A^{i_0}_{m''}}])}(g),$
$\gamma^{[\phi_{m'',\infty}(\mathbf{1}_{A^{i_0}_{m''}}), \alpha(\phi_{m'',\infty}(\mathbf{1}_{A^{i_0}_{m''}}))]} \circ (\phi_{m'',\infty})^\natural|_{(\mathbf{1}_{A^{i_0}_{m''}}, \psi_{l_1,\infty}\chi[\mathbf{1}_{A^{i_0}_{m''}}])}(g)) < \frac{\sigma_1}{8rank(\mathbf{1}_{A^{i_0}_{m''}})},$
$\forall g \in E' \subset U(A^{i_0}_{m''})/\widetilde{SU(A^{i_0}_{m''})}$(as described in 8.5).

Apply Theorem 6.10 and notice the choice of m'', and $\varepsilon_1 = \varepsilon_2 = \frac{\sigma_1}{8}$, there is a homomorphism

$$\wedge' : A_m \longrightarrow B_{l_1},$$

such that
(4) $KK(\wedge') = KK(\phi_{m,m''}) \times \alpha_1 \in KK(A_m, B_{l_1})$.
(5) $\|(AffT\wedge')|_{\mathbf{1}^i_{A_m}, \wedge(\mathbf{1}^i_{A_m})}(h) - (\xi_1 \circ AffT\phi_{m,m''})|_{\mathbf{1}^i_{A_m}, \wedge(\mathbf{1}^i_{A_m})}(h)\| < \frac{\sigma_1}{8}$.
(6) $dist(\wedge'^\natural|_{(\mathbf{1}^i_{A_m}, \wedge(\mathbf{1}^i_{A_m}))}(g), (\gamma_1 \circ \phi^\natural_{m,m''})_{(\mathbf{1}^i_{A_m}, \wedge(\mathbf{1}^i_{A_m}))}(g)) < \frac{\sigma_1}{8}, \qquad \forall g \in E^i$.
Define $\wedge_1 : \ A_{k_1} = A_1 \longrightarrow B_{l_1}$ by $\wedge_1 = \wedge' \circ \phi_{1,m} = \wedge' \circ \phi_{m',m} \circ \phi_{1,m'}$. Note that
(7) $\| \wedge_1 (f) - \wedge \circ \phi_{m',m} \circ \varphi \circ \widetilde{\varphi}(f)\| < \varepsilon, \qquad \forall f \in F_1 \subset A_1,$
where $\widetilde{\varphi} : A_1 \longrightarrow \widetilde{A}_1$ and $\varphi : \widetilde{A}_1 \longrightarrow A_{m'}$ is as in 8.4. So we get the first map $\wedge_1 : A_{k_1} \longrightarrow B_{l_1}$, which satisfies
(8) $KK(\wedge_1) = KK(\phi_{k_1,l_1}) \times KK(\nu_{l_1}) = KK(\nu_{k_1}) \times KK(\psi_{k_1,l_1})$.

8.11. From 8.10, combining (1) and (4), we obtain
(a) $KK(\psi_{l_1,\infty} \circ \wedge') = KK(\psi_{m,\infty}) \times \alpha$;
Combining (2) and (5), we obtain
(b) $\|AffT(\psi_{l_1,\infty} \circ \wedge')|_{(\mathbf{1}^i_{A_m}, \psi_{l_1,\infty}\circ\wedge'(\mathbf{1}^i_{A_m}))}(h)$
$- \xi^{\phi_{m,\infty}(\mathbf{1}^i_{A_m}), \alpha(\phi_{m,\infty}(\mathbf{1}^i_{A_m}))} \circ AffT(\phi_{m,\infty}|_{A^i_m})(h)\| < \frac{\sigma_1}{4}, \qquad \forall h \in H^i$.

Combining (3) and (6), we obtained
(c) $dist((\psi_{l_1,\infty} \circ \wedge')^\natural|_{(\mathbf{1}^i_{A_m}, \psi_{l_1,\infty}\circ\wedge'(\mathbf{1}^i_{A_m}))}(g), \gamma^{\phi_{m,\infty}(\mathbf{1}^i_{A_m}), \alpha\circ\phi_{m,\infty}(\mathbf{1}^i_{A_m})} \circ (\phi_{m,\infty}|_{A^i_m})^\natural(g)) < \frac{\sigma_1}{4}$,
$\forall g \in E^i$.

8.12. Go above procedure for B_{l_1} (in place of A_1)

$$G'_1 = G_{l_1} \cup \wedge_1(F_1) \cup \wedge' \circ \phi_{m',m} \circ \widetilde{\varphi}(\widetilde{F}_1) \cup \wedge'(F_m) \subset B_{l_1}$$

(in place of F_1) and ε. Applying Theorem 4.13, we obtain $B_{l_1} \xrightarrow{\widetilde{\varphi'}} \widetilde{B}_1 \xrightarrow{\varphi'} B_{n'}$ with $\widetilde{G}_1 = \oplus\widetilde{G}^i_1 \subset \widetilde{B}_1 = \oplus\widetilde{B}^i_1$ such that $\widetilde{G}_1 \supset \widetilde{\varphi'}(G'_1)$ and such that $\omega(\widetilde{G}^i_1) < \varepsilon_1$ if $\widetilde{B}^i_1$ is of form $PM(C(T_{II,k}))P$ or $M_l(I_k)$, and such that

$$(**) \qquad \|\varphi' \circ \widetilde{\varphi'}(g) - \psi_{l_1,n'}(g)\| < \varepsilon_1, \qquad \forall g \in G'_1.$$

We will repeat the procedure of 8.4 to 8.11, briefly. Applying Theorem 7.19 to $\varphi' : \widetilde{B}_1 \longrightarrow B_{n'}$

and ε_1, obtain $n > n'$, $\sigma_2 > 0$,

$$\widetilde{H} = \oplus \widetilde{H}^i \subseteq AffTB_n = \bigoplus_i AffTB_n^i,$$

$$\widetilde{E} = \oplus \widetilde{E}^i \subseteq U(B_n)/\widetilde{SU(B_n)} = \bigoplus_i U(B_n^i)/\widetilde{SU(B_n^i)}$$

as desired in Theorem 7.19. Furthemore, we can assume

$$\widetilde{H} \supseteq AffT(\psi_{l_1,n} \circ \wedge')(H), \qquad \widetilde{E} \supset (\psi_{l_1,n} \circ \wedge')^\natural(E),$$

where $H \subseteq AffTA_m$ and $E \subseteq U(A_m)/\widetilde{SU(A_m)}$ are as in 8.4.

Let $M = \max_i rank(\mathbf{1}_{B_n^i})$. Going procedure of 8.4 to 8.11, and applying Theorem 6.10, Proposition 6.9, we can obtain $k_2' > n$, $\mu' : B_n \longrightarrow A_{k_2'}$ such that
(a′) $KK(\phi_{k_2',\infty} \circ \mu') = KK(\psi_{n,\infty}) \times \alpha^{-1}$;
(b′)$\|AffT(\phi_{k_2',\infty} \circ \mu')|_{(\mathbf{1}^i_{B_n}, \phi_{k_2',\infty} \circ \mu'(\mathbf{1}_{B_n^i}))}(h)$
$$- (\xi^{\alpha^{-1}(\psi_{n,\infty}(\mathbf{1}^i_{B_n})), \psi_{n,\infty}(\mathbf{1}^i_{B_n})})^{-1} \circ AffT(\psi_{n,\infty})|_{B_n^i}(h)\| < \tfrac{min(\sigma_2, \frac{\sigma_1}{M})}{4}, \qquad \forall h \in \widetilde{H};$$
(c′) $dist(((\phi_{n_2',\infty} \circ u')^\natural)|_{(\mathbf{1}_{B_n^i}, \phi_{k_2',\infty} \circ \mu'(\mathbf{1}_{B_n^i}))}(g),$
$$(\gamma^{\alpha^{-1}(\psi_{n,\infty}(\mathbf{1}^i_{B_n}), \psi_{n,\infty}(\mathbf{1}^i_{B_n}))})^{-1} \circ (\psi_{n,\infty}|_{B_n^i})^\natural(g)) < \tfrac{min(\sigma_2, \frac{\sigma_1}{M})}{4}, \qquad \forall g \in \widetilde{E}.$$

8.13. Apply 8.2 (see 8.6, 8.7 and 8.9 also) and note that $M = \max_i rank(\mathbf{1}_{B_n^i})$, we get the following statements.
(Let $P_i = \phi_{m,k_2'}(\mathbf{1}_{A_m^i})$ which is equivalent to $\mu' \circ \psi_{l_1,n} \circ \wedge'(\mathbf{1}_{A_m^i})$)
Combining (a) and (a′), we get
(a'') $KK(\wedge') \times KK(\psi_{l_1,n}) \times KK(\mu') \times KK(\phi_{k_2',\infty}) = KK(\phi_{m,k_2'}) \times KK(\phi_{k_2',\infty})$.
Combining (b) and (b'), we get
(b'') $\|AffT\phi_{k_2',\infty}|_{P_iA_{k_i}P_i}\Big\{AffT\mu' \circ \psi_{l_1,n} \circ \wedge'|_{\mathbf{1}_{A_m^i,P_i}}(h)$
$$- AffT\phi_{m,k_2'}|_{(\mathbf{1}_{A_m^i}, P_i)}(h)\Big\}\| < \tfrac{\sigma_1}{2}, \qquad \forall h \in H^i.$$
Combining (c) and (c'), we get
(c'') $dist((\phi_{k_2',\infty}|_{P_iA_{k_i}P_i})^\natural(\mu' \circ \psi_{l_1,n} \circ \wedge')^\natural|_{\mathbf{1}_{A_m^i,P_i}}(g),$
$$(\phi_{k_2',\infty}|_{P_iA_{k_2'}P_i})^\natural(\phi_{m,k_2'})^\natural|_{(\mathbf{1}_{A_m^i},P_i)}(g)) < \tfrac{\sigma_1}{2}, \qquad \forall g \in E^i.$$

Hence passing to $k_2 > k_2'$, using a routine argument about inductive limit procedure, and replacing μ' by $\mathcal{M}' = \phi_{k_2',k_2} \circ \mu'$, we get (still denote $P_i = \phi_{m,k_2}(\mathbf{1}_{A_m^i})$ which is equivalent to $\mathcal{M}' \circ \psi_{l_1,n} \circ \wedge'(\mathbf{1}_{A_m^i})$)
(A) $KK(\wedge') \times KK(\psi_{l_1,n}) \times KK(\mathcal{M}') = KK(\phi_{m,k_2})$.
(B) $\|AffT(\mathcal{M}' \circ \psi_{l_1,n} \circ \wedge')|_{(\mathbf{1}_{A_m^i},P_i)}(h) - AffT\phi_{m,k_2}|_{(\mathbf{1}_{A_m^i},P_i)}(h)\| < \sigma_1, \quad \forall h \in H^i$.
(C) $dist(\mathcal{M}' \circ \psi_{l_1,n} \circ \wedge')^\natural|_{(\mathbf{1}_{A_m^i},P_i)}(g), (\phi_{m,k_2})^\natural|_{(\mathbf{1}_{A_m^i},P_i)}(g) < \sigma_1, \quad \forall g \in E$.

8.14. Since m, σ_1, H, E are choosen for $\widetilde{F}_1 \subset \widetilde{A}_1$ and $\varphi : \widetilde{A}_1 \longrightarrow A_{m'}$ as in Theorem 7.19, So there is a unitary $u \in A_{k_2}$ such that

$$\|Adu \circ \mathcal{M}' \circ \psi_{l_1,n} \circ \wedge' \circ \phi_{m',m} \circ \varphi(f) - \phi_{m',k_2}\varphi(f)\| < 7\varepsilon, \quad \forall\ f \in \widetilde{F}_1.$$

Combining the above with (7) of 8.10, we get

$$\| \ Adu \circ \mathcal{M}' \circ \psi_{l_1,n} \circ \wedge_1(f) - \phi_{k_1k_2}(f)\| < 8\varepsilon_1, \quad \forall f \in F_1 \subset A_1 = A_k.$$

Let $\mathcal{M}_1 = Adu \circ \mathcal{M}' \circ \psi_{l_1,n} : B_{l_1} \longrightarrow A_{k_2}$, we get the following almost commuting diagram



That is

$$\|\mathcal{M}_1 \circ \wedge_1(f) - \phi_{k_1,k_2}(f)\| < 8\varepsilon, \forall f \in F_1.$$

8.15. By the same procedure, we can construct next almost commuting diagram, of course we need to use $\widetilde{B}_1$, the factorization $B_{l_1} \xrightarrow{\widetilde{\varphi'}} \widetilde{B}_1 \xrightarrow{\varphi'} B_{n'}$, $(**)$ from 8.12 and finally the choice of $n > n'$, to get almost commutation diagram



When we work on $\wedge_2$ we should use ε_2 (in place of ε_1, then next diagram will commutes up to $8\varepsilon_2$. Note that $G_1' \supset G_{l_1} (\subset B_{l_1})$ and $G_1' \supset \wedge_1(F_1)$. Finally we will get the following almost commuting diagram.



Since $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} 8\varepsilon_i < +\infty$, we get approximately interving between $A = lim(A_n, \phi_{nm})$ and $B = lim(B_n, \psi_{nm})$.

Hence our main result Theorem 8.1 is proved.

□

§9. Further discussion about invariant

9.1. In this section, we will present an example to prove the indispensability of the part of the invariant $\{U(pAp)/\widetilde{SU(pAp)}\}_{p\in proj(A)}$ and their compatibilities. This is one of the essential differences between the case of simple C^*-algebras and C^*-algebras with ideal property. In fact, for the C^*-algebras considered in this paper, we have

$$U(pAp)/\widetilde{SU(pAp)} \cong AffTpAp/\rho\widetilde{K_0(pAp)} \oplus K_1(pAp)/tor\ K_1(pAp)$$

—that is, the metric group $U(pAp)/\widetilde{SU(pAp)}$ itself is completely determined by $AffTpAp$ and $K_1(pAp)$, which are included in the other parts of the invariants, but it is the compatibility which makes the difference. The point is that the above isomorphism is not natural and therefor the isomorphisms corresponding to difference cutting down algebras pAp and qAq $(p < q)$ may not be chosen to be compatible.

9.2. Let $p_1 = 2, p_2 = 3, p_3 = 5, p_4 = 7, p_5 = 11, \cdots, p_n$ be the n-th prime number, let $j = k_1 < k_2 < k_3 < \cdots$ be a sequence of positive integers.
Let

$$A_1 = B_1 = C(S^1),$$

$$A_2 = B_2 = M_{p_1^{k_1}}(C[0,1]) \oplus M_{p_1^{k_1}}(C(S^1)) = A_1^1 \oplus A_1^2 = B_1^1 \oplus B_1^2,$$

$$A_3 = B_3 = M_{p_1^{k_1}p_1^{k_2}}(C[0,1]) \oplus M_{p_1^{k_1}p_2^{k_2}}(C[0,1]) \oplus M_{p_1^{k_1}p_2^{k_2}}(C(S^1)),$$

$$A_4 = B_4 = M_{p_1^{k_1}p_1^{k_2}p_1^{k_3}}(C[0,1]) \oplus M_{p_1^{k_1}p_2^{k_2}p_2^{k_3}}(C[0,1]) \oplus M_{p_1^{k_1}p_2^{k_2}p_3^{k_3}}(C[0,1]) \oplus M_{p_1^{k_1}p_2^{k_2}p_3^{k_3}}(C(S^1)).$$

In general, let

$$A_n = B_n = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{n-1} M_{p_1^{k_1}p_2^{k_2}\cdots p_i^{k_i}p_i^{k_{i+1}}\cdots p_i^{k_{n-1}}}(C[0,1]) \oplus M_{p_1^{k_1}p_2^{k_2}\cdots p_{n-1}^{k_{n-1}}}(C(S^1))$$

$$= \bigoplus_{i=1}^{n-1} M_{\prod_{j=1}^{i} p_j^{k_j} \cdot \prod_{j=i+1}^{n-1} p_i^{k_j}}(C[0,1]) \oplus M_{n-1}{}_{\prod_{i=1}^{n-1} p_i^{k_i}}(C(S^1)).$$

For $1 \le i \le n-1$, let $[n,i] = \prod_{j=1}^{i} p_j^{k_j} \cdot \prod_{j=i+1}^{n-1} p_i^{k_j}$ and $[n,n] = [n, n-1]$. Then

$$A_n = B_n = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{n-1} M_{[n,i]}(C[0,1]) \oplus M_{[n,n]}(C(S^1)).$$

(Note that last two blocks have same size $[n,n]=[n,n-1]$)

Note that $[n+1,i]=[n,i]\cdot p_i^{k_n}$ for $i\in\{1,2,\cdots,n-1\}$ and $[n+1,n+1]=[n+1,n]=[n,n]\cdot p_n^{k_n}$.

9.3. Let $\{t_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ be a dense subset of $[0,1]$ and $\{z_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ be a dense subset of S^1.

In this subsection, we will define the connecting homomorphism

$$\phi_{n,n+1}:A_n\longrightarrow A_{n+1}$$

and

$$\psi_{n,n+1}:B_n\longrightarrow B_{n+1}.$$

For $i\in\{1,2,\cdots,n-1\}$, define

$$\phi_{n,n+1}^{i,i}=\psi_{n,n+1}^{i,i}:M_{[n,i]}(C[0,1])\longrightarrow M_{[n+1,i]}(C[0,1])(=M_{[n,i]\cdot p_i^{k_n}}(C[0,1]))$$

by

$$\phi_{n,n+1}^{i,i}(f)(t)=\psi_{n,n+1}^{i,i}(f)(t)=diag(\underbrace{f(t),f(t),\cdots,f(t)}_{p_i^{k_n}-1},f(t_n)),$$

for any $f\in M_{[n,i]}(C[0,1])$.

Define

$$\phi_{n,n+1}^{n,n+1}=\psi_{n,n+1}^{n,n+1}:M_{[n,n]}(C(S^1))\longrightarrow M_{[n+1,n+1]}(C(S^1))=M_{[n,n]\cdot p_n^{k_n}}(C(S^1))$$

by

$$\phi_{n,n+1}^{n,n+1}(f)(z)=\psi_{n,n+1}^{n,n+1}(f)(z)=diag(f(z),\underbrace{f(z_n),f(z_n),\cdots,f(z_n)}_{p_n^{k_n}-1}),\forall f\in M_{[n,n]}(C(S^1)).$$

But $\phi_{n,n+1}^{n,n}$ and $\psi_{n,n+1}^{n,n}$ are defined differently—this is the only part of homomorphism $\phi_{n,n+1},\psi_{n,n+1}$, which are not equal.

Let $l=p_n^{k_n}-1$, then

$$\phi_{n,n+1}^{n,n}(f)(t)=diag(f(e^{2\pi it}),f(e^{-2\pi it}),f(e^{2\pi i\frac{1}{l}}),\cdots,f(e^{2\pi i\frac{l-1}{l}}))$$

$$\psi_{n,n+1}^{n,n}(f)(t)=diag(f(e^{2\pi il_nt}),f(e^{-2\pi i\frac{0}{l}}),f(e^{2\pi i\frac{1}{l}}),\cdots,f(e^{2\pi i\frac{l-1}{l}}))$$

for any $f\in M_{[n,n]}(C(S^1))$, where $l_n=4^n\cdot[n+1,n]\in\mathbb{N}$.

Let all other parts $\phi_{n,n+1}^{i,j},\psi_{n,n+1}^{i,j}$ of homomorphism $\phi_{n,n+1},\psi_{n,n+1}$ (except $i=j\leq n$ or $i=n,j=n+1$, defined above) be zero.

Note that all $\phi^{i,j}_{n,n+1}, \psi^{i,j}_{n,n+1}$ are either injective or zero.

Let $A = lim(A_n, \phi_{n,m}), B = lim(B_n, \psi_{n,m})$. Then it follows from the density of the set $\{t_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ and $\{z_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ that both A and B have ideal property (see the characterization theorem for AH algebras with ideal property $[Pa1]$).

Proposition 9.4. There is an isomorphism between $Inv^0(A)$ and $Inv^0(B)$ (see 2.6)—that is, there is isomorphism

$$\alpha : (\underline{K}(A), \underline{K}(A)^+, \Sigma A) \longrightarrow (\underline{K}(B), \underline{K}(B)^+, \Sigma B),$$

which compatible with Bockstein operations, and for each $p \in \Sigma A, q \in \Sigma B$ with $\alpha([p]) = [q]$, there is an associated unital positive linear map

$$\xi^{p,q} : AffT(pAp) \longrightarrow AffT(qBq)$$

which are compatible in the sense of 2.5.

Proof. Since $KK(\phi_{n,m}) = KK(\psi_{n,m})$ and $\phi_{n,m} \sim_h \psi_{n,m}$, the identity map $\eta_n : A_n \longrightarrow B_n$ induce shape equivalence between $A = lim(A_n, \phi_{n,m})$ and $B = lim(B_n, \psi_{n,m})$, and therefore induce as isomorphism

$$\alpha : (\underline{K}(A), \underline{K}(A)_+, \Sigma A) \longrightarrow (\underline{K}(B), \underline{K}(B)_+, \Sigma B).$$

Note that $\phi^{i,i}_{n,n+1} = \psi^{i,i}_{n,n+1}$ for $i \leq n-1$, $\phi^{n,n+1}_{n,n+1} = \psi^{n,n+1}_{n,n+1}$, and

$$\|AffT\phi^{n,n}_{n,n+1}(f) - AffT\psi^{n,n}_{n,n+1}(f)\| \leq \frac{2}{p_n^{k_n}}\|f\|$$

(see the definition of $\phi_{n,n+1}$ and $\psi_{n,n+1}$). Therefore

$$AffT\eta_n : AffTA_n \longrightarrow AffTB_n$$

and

$$AffT\eta_n^{-1} : AffTB_n \longrightarrow AffTA_n$$

induce the approximately intertwining diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} AffTA_1 & \longrightarrow & AffTA_2 & \longrightarrow & \cdots & \longrightarrow & AffTA \\ \downarrow\uparrow & & \downarrow\uparrow & & & & \\ AffTB_1 & \longrightarrow & AffTB_2 & \longrightarrow & \cdots & \longrightarrow & AffTB \end{array}$$

in the sense of Elliott [Ell1]. This prove there is an unital positive isomorphism

$$\xi : AffTA \longrightarrow AffTB.$$

Also for any projection $[P] \in K_0(A)$, there is a projection $P_n \in A_n = B_n$ (for n large enough) with $P_n^i = diag(1, \cdots, 1, 0, \cdots, 0) \in M_{[n,i]}(C(X_{n,i}))$, where $X_{n,i} = [0,1]$ for $i \leq n-1$, and $X_{n,n} = S^1$, such that $\phi_{n,\infty}([P_n]) = [P] \in K_0(A)$. Furthermore note that for each constant function $f \in A_n^i = B_n^i$ (as P_n^i above), $\phi_{n,n+1}(f) = \psi_{n,n+1}(f)$, and furthermore all components of $\phi_{n,n+1}(f) = \psi_{n,n+1}(f)$ are still constant functions. That is, we have

$$\phi_{n,n+1}(P_n) = \psi_{n,n+1}(P_n) \text{ (denoted by } P_{n+1})$$

and

$$\phi_{n,m}(P_n) = \psi_{n,m}(P_n) \text{ (denoted by } P_m).$$

Let $P_\infty = \phi_{n,\infty}(P_n)$ and $Q_\infty = \psi_{n,\infty}(P_n)$, then $\{\eta_m\}_{m>n}$ also induce the approximated intertwining between

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} AffT(P_nA_nP_n) & \longrightarrow & AffT(P_{n+1}A_{n+1}P_{n+1}) & \longrightarrow & \cdots & \longrightarrow & AffTP_\infty AP_\infty \\ \downarrow\uparrow & & \downarrow\uparrow & & & & \\ AffT(P_nB_nP_n) & \longrightarrow & AffT(P_{n+1}B_{n+1}P_{n+1}) & \longrightarrow & \cdots & \longrightarrow & AffTQ_\infty BQ_\infty \end{array}$$

hence induce a positive linear isomorphism

$$\xi^{[P],\alpha[P]} : AffTP_\infty AP_\infty \to AffTQ_\infty BQ_\infty,$$

(note $[P_\infty] = [P]$, $[Q_\infty] = \alpha[P]$ *in* $K_0(A)$ *and* $K_0(B)$, respectively). Evidently those maps are compatible since, they are induced by same sequence of homomorphism $\{\eta_n\}$ and $\{\eta_n^{-1}\}$. □

The following definition and proposition is inspiral by [Ell3].

Definition 9.5. Let $C = \lim(C_n, \phi_{n,m})$ be an $\mathcal{AHD}$ inductive limit. We say the system $(C_n, \phi_{n,m})$ has uniformly varied determinant if for each $C_n^i = M_{[n,i]}(C(S^1))$ (that is C_n^i has spectrum S^1) and C_{n+1}^j and

$$f(z) = \begin{pmatrix} z & & & \\ & 1 & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & & 1 \end{pmatrix}_{[n,i]\times[n,i]},$$

we have that determinant $\phi_{n,n+1}^{i,j}(f)(x) =$ constant for $x \in Sp(C_{n+1}^j) \neq S^1$ or determinant $\phi_{n,n+1}^{i,j}(f)(z) = \lambda z^k$ ($\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$) for $z \in Sp(C_{n+1}^j) = S^1$, where determinant is taken inside $\phi_{n,n+1}^{i,j}(1_{C_n^i})C_{n+1}^j\phi_{n,n+1}^{i,j}(1_{C_n^i})$.

Proposition 9.6. If the inductive limit system $C = (C_n, \phi_{n,m})$ has uniformly varied determinant, then for each projection $p \in C$, there is a splitting

$$K_1(pCp)/tor\ K_1(pCp) \xrightarrow{S_{pCp}} U(pCp)/\widetilde{SU(pCp)}$$

of the exact sequence

$$0 \to Aff T_{pCp}/\rho\widetilde{K_0(pCp)} \to U(pCp)/\widetilde{SU(pCp)} \xleftarrow{\pi_{pCp}} K_1(pCp)/tor\ K_1(pCp) \to 0$$

(that is $\pi_{pCp} \circ S_{pCp} = id\ on\ K_1(pCp)/tor\ K_1(pCp)$) such that if $p < q$, then the following diagram commutes

$$\begin{array}{ccc} K_1(pCp)/tor\ K_1(pCp) & \xrightarrow{S_{pCp}} & U(pCp)/\widetilde{SU(pCp)} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ K_1(qCq)/tor\ K_1(qCq) & \xrightarrow{S_{qCq}} & U(qCq)/\widetilde{SU(qCq)} \end{array}$$

where the vertical map is induced by the inclusion $pCp \longrightarrow qCq$.

Proof. Let $x \in K_1(pCp)/tor\ K_1(pCp)$. There is $C_n, P_n \in C_n$, $[\phi_{n,\infty}(P_n)] = [P] \in K_0(C)$. Without lose of generality, we can assume $[\phi_{n,\infty}(P_n)] = P$. By increasing n if necessary, we can assume there is $x_n \in K_1(p_nCp_n)/tor\ K_1(p_nCp_n)$, with $\phi_{n,\infty}[x_n] = [x] \in K_1(pCp)/tor\ K_1(pCp)$.
Let $I = \{i, Sp(C_n^i) = S^1\}$. For each block $C_n^i (i \in I)$, $M_{[n,i]}(C(X_{n,i})) = M_{[n,i]}(C(S^i))$, let

$$u_i(z) = \begin{pmatrix} z & & & \\ & 1 & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & & 1 \end{pmatrix}_{[n,i]\times[n,i]}$$

be the standard generator of K_1. Then x_n can be represented by

$$u = \bigoplus_{i\in I} u_i^{k_i} \oplus \bigoplus_{j\notin I} \mathbf{1}_{C_n^j} \in \bigoplus_{i\in I} C_n^i \oplus \bigoplus_{j\notin I} C_n^j \cong C_n.$$

Define $S(x) = \phi_{n,\infty}(u) \in U(pCp)/\widetilde{SU(pCp)}$. Note that any unitary with constant determinant is in $\widetilde{SU}$, and the inductive limit has uniform varied determinant, it is routine to check that $S(x)$ is well defined. It is also routine to check the compatibility.

□

9.7. From the definition of $\phi_{n,m}$ in 9.3, it is easy to see that $A = \lim(A_n, \phi_{n,m})$ has uniformly varied determinant. And therefore has compatible splitting maps $S_p : K_1(pAp) \to$

$U(pAp)/\widetilde{SU(pAp)}$ (note that $K_1(A)$ is free). We will prove that $B = lim(B_n, \psi_{n,m})$ do not have compatible spliting maps $K_1(pBp) \longrightarrow U(pBp)/\widetilde{SU(pBp)}$ for all projection $p \in B$.

Before we prove the above fact, let us describe the K-theory of A and B.

Let

$$G_1 = \{\frac{m}{p_1^l}, m \in \mathbb{Z}, l \in \mathbb{Z}_+\},$$

where $p_1 = 2$ as in 9.2.

$$G_2 = \{\frac{m}{p_1^{k_1} p_2^l}, m \in \mathbb{Z}, l \in \mathbb{Z}_+\},$$

where $p_1 = 2, p_2 = 3$ and $k_1 > 1$ as in 9.2.

$$G_3 = \{\frac{m}{p_1^{k_1} p_2^{k_2} p_3^l}, m \in \mathbb{Z}, l \in \mathbb{Z}_+\},$$

where $p_3 = 5$ and $k_2 > k_1$ as in 9.2.

$$\vdots$$

$$G_n = \{\frac{m}{p_1^{k_1} p_2^{k_2} \cdots p_{n-1}^{k_{n-1}} p_n^l}, m \in \mathbb{Z}, l \in \mathbb{Z}_+\},$$

$$G_\infty = \{\frac{m}{p_1^{k_1}, p_2^{k_2}, \cdots, p_t^{k_t}}, t \in \mathbb{Z}_+, m \in \mathbb{Z}\}.$$

Then

$$K_0(A) = K_0(B) = \{(a_1, a_2, \cdots, a_n, \cdots) \in \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} G_i, \exists N, \textit{such that } a_N = a_{N+1} = \cdots \in \mathbb{Q}\}.$$

And their positive cones are consisting by all the elements whose all coordinates are ≥ 0. One note that $Sp(A) = Sp(B)$ are one point compactification of $\{1, 2, 3 \cdots\}$—or in other words $\{1, 2, 3 \cdots, \infty\}$. If we let I_n (or J_n) be the primitive ideal A (or B) corresponding to n (including $n = \infty$), then

$$K_0(A/I_n) = K_0(B/J_n) = G_n.$$

If

$$\alpha : (\underline{K}(A), \underline{K}(A)^+, \Sigma A) \longrightarrow (\underline{K}(B), \underline{K}(B)^+, \Sigma B)$$

is an isomorphic, then α must preserve the ideal structure (see [DG] for detail), and therefore must take primitive ideal to primitive ideal. Note that each G_n are mutually no isomorphic, therefore there is only one such isomorphism α, as described in the proof of Proposition 9.4. In particular if, there is an isomorphism

$$\wedge : A \longrightarrow B, \text{ then } \wedge(\phi_{n,\infty}(\mathbf{1}_{A_n^i})) = \psi_{n,\infty}(\mathbf{1}_{B_n^i}))$$

for each $i \leq n-1$, and therefore also for $i = n$.

9.8. Let $P_1 = 1_B = \psi_{1,\infty}(\mathbf{1}_{B_1}), P_2 = \psi_{2,\infty}(\mathbf{1}_{B_2^2}), P_3 = \psi_{3,\infty}(\mathbf{1}_{B_3^3}), \cdots, P_n = \psi_{n,\infty}(\mathbf{1}_{B_n^n}), \cdots$ Then

$$P_1 > P_2 > \cdots > P_n \cdots .$$

We will prove that, there are no splitings

$$K_1(P_nBP_n) \longrightarrow U(P_nBP_n)/\widetilde{SU}(P_nBP_n),$$

which are compatible with each other for all n and m, in the next subsection. Before doing so, we will do some preparations.

Let

$$Q_1 = P_1 - P_2, Q_2 = P_2 - P_3, \cdots, Q_n = P_n - P_{n+1}.$$

Then for each n, we have inductive limit

$$Q_nBQ_n = \lim_{m\to\infty}(B_m^n, \psi_{m,m'}^{n,n}),$$

(note that for $m > n$, $\psi_{m,m+1}^{n,j} = 0$ if $j \neq n$), which is quotient algebra corresponding to the primitive ideal of $n \in Sp(B) = \{1, 2, 3\cdots, \infty\}$. Note that Q_nBQ_n is simple AI algebra. The inductive limit diagram

$$B_{n+1}^n \longrightarrow B_{n+2}^n \longrightarrow B_{n+3}^n \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow Q_nBQ_n$$

induces the inductive limit

$$AffTB_{n+1}^n \xrightarrow{\xi_{n+1,n+2}} AffTB_{n+2}^n \xrightarrow{\xi_{n+2,n+3}} \cdots \to AffTQ_nBQ_n,$$

whose connecting map $\xi_{n,n+1} : C_{\mathbb{R}}([0,1]) \longrightarrow C_{\mathbb{R}}([0,1])(for\ m > n)$ satisfies that

$$\|\xi_{m,m+1}(f) - f\| \leq \frac{1}{p_n^{k_m}}\|f\|, \qquad \forall f \in C_{\mathbb{R}}[0,1], m > n.$$

Hence we have the following approximate intertwining

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc}
C_{\mathbb{R}}[0,1] & \xrightarrow{\xi_{n,n+1}} & C_{\mathbb{R}}[0,1] & \xrightarrow{\xi_{n+1,n+2}} & C_{\mathbb{R}}[0,1] & \longrightarrow & \cdots & \longrightarrow & AffTQ_nBQ_n \\
\downarrow\uparrow & & \downarrow\uparrow & & & & & & \\
C_{\mathbb{R}}[0,1] & \xrightarrow{id} & C_{\mathbb{R}}[0,1] & \xrightarrow{id} & C_{\mathbb{R}}[0,1] & \longrightarrow & \cdots & \longrightarrow & C_{\mathbb{R}}[0,1]
\end{array}$$

Consequently $AffTQ_nBQ_n \cong C_{\mathbb{R}}[0,1]$, and the map

$$\xi_{m,\infty} : AffTB_m^n = C_{\mathbb{R}}[0,1] \longrightarrow AffTQ_nBQ_n \cong C_{\mathbb{R}}[0,1]$$

(under the identification) satisfies

$$\|\xi_{m,\infty}(f)-f\|\le(\frac{1}{p_n^{k_m}}+\frac{1}{p_n^{k_{m+1}}}+\cdots)\|f\|\le\frac{1}{4}\|f\|,\qquad\forall f\in C_{\mathbb{R}}[0,1].$$

Consequently

$$\|\xi_{m,\infty}(f)\|\ge\frac{3}{4}\|f\|.$$

Note that $\widetilde{\rho K_0}(Q_nBQ_n)=\mathbb{R}=\widetilde{\rho K_0(B_m^n)}$ consisting of constant function on $[0,1]$. Let $h\in C_{\mathbb{R}}[0,1]=AffT(B_m^n)$. Considering the element $\xi_{m,\infty}(h)$ as in $AffT(Q_nBQ_n)/\widetilde{\rho K_0}(Q_nBQ_n)$, we have

$$\|\xi_{m,\infty}(h)\|\ge\frac{1}{2}\cdot\frac{3}{4}(\max_{t\in[0,1]}h(t)-\min_{t\in[0,1]}h(t)).$$

9.9. We will prove no compatible splitting

$$S_n:K_1(P_nBP_n)\longrightarrow U(P_nBP_n)/\widetilde{SU}(P_nBP_n).$$

Suppose such splitting exist. Consider the generator

$$x\in K_1(B)=\mathbb{Z}.$$

Note that for any $P_n, x\in K_1(P_nBP_n)\cong K_1(B)$. Note that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}K_1(P_{n+1}BP_{n+1}) & \xrightarrow{S_{n+1}} & U(P_{n+1}BP_{n+1})/\widetilde{SU}(P_{n+1}BP_{n+1})\\ {\scriptstyle id}\downarrow & & {\scriptstyle \imath^\natural}\downarrow\\ K_1(P_1BP_1) & \xrightarrow{S_1} & U(P_1BP_1)/\widetilde{SU}(P_1BP_1)\end{array}$$

commutes ($P_1BP_1=B$). The composition

$$U(P_{n+1}BP_{n+1})/\widetilde{SU}(P_{n+1}BP_{n+1})\xrightarrow{\imath^\natural}U(P_1BP_1)/\widetilde{SU}(P_1BP_1)\longrightarrow\bigoplus_{i=1}^{n}U(Q_iBQ_i)/\widetilde{SU}(Q_iBQ_i),$$

is zero map (note that Q_iBQ_i is an ideal of B and same time is a quotient B/J_i). Let $\pi_n:B\to Q_nBQ_n$ be the quotient map. Consequently, we have

$$(*)\qquad\qquad\pi_n^\natural(S_1(x))=\pi_n^\natural(\imath^\natural S_{n+1}(x))=0$$

Let $S_1(x)$ represented by a unitary $u\in U(B)$, then there is n (large enough) and $[u_n]\in U(B_n)/\widetilde{SU(B_n)}$, represented by unitary $u_n\in B_n$ such that

$$\|\psi_{n,\infty}^\natural([u_n])-S_1(x)\|<\frac{1}{16}.$$

Note that

$$(\psi_{n,m})_* : K_1(B_n) \longrightarrow K_1(B_m)$$

is the identify map from $\mathbb{Z}$ to $\mathbb{Z}$. Let $g \in M_{[n,n]}(C(S^1)) = B_n^n$ be defined by

$$g(z) = \begin{pmatrix} z & & & & \\ & 1 & & & \\ & & 1 & & \\ & & & \ddots & \\ & & & & 1 \end{pmatrix}_{[n,n]\times[n,n]}$$

Then $[g^{-1}u_n] = 0$ in $K_1(B_n)$. By the exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow AffTB_n/\widetilde{\rho K_0(B_n)} \longrightarrow U(B_n)/\widetilde{SU(B_n)} \longrightarrow K_1(B_1) \longrightarrow 0,$$

there is an $h \in \bigoplus_{i=1}^{n} C_{\mathbb{R}}[0,1] \oplus C_{\mathbb{R}}(S^1) = AffTB_n$ such that

$$[u_n] = [g] \cdot (e^{2\pi i h} \cdot 1_{B_n}) \in U(B_n)/\widetilde{SU(B_n)}.$$

Let $\|h\| = M$. Choose $m > n$ such that $4^{m-1} > 8M + 8$.

Consider

$$\psi_{n,m}^{n,m-1} : B_n^n = M_{[n,n]}(C(S^1)) \longrightarrow B_m^{m-1} = M_{[m,m-1]}(C([0,1]))$$

which is the composition of

$$\psi_{m-1,m}^{m-1,m-1} \circ \psi_{n,m-1}^{n,m-1} : M_{[n,n]}(C(S^1)) \longrightarrow M_{[m-1,m-1]}(C(S^1)) \longrightarrow M_{[m,m-1]}(C([0,1])).$$

We know that

$$g'(t) \triangleq \psi_{n,m}^{n,m-1}(g)(t) = \begin{pmatrix} e^{2\pi i l_{m-1} t} & & & & \\ & * & & & \\ & & * & & \\ & & & \ddots & \\ & & & & * \end{pmatrix}_{[m,m-1]\times[m,m-1]},$$

where all $*$ are constant functions on $[0,1]$, and therefore

$$g' = e^{2\pi i h'} \qquad (mod\ \widetilde{\rho K_0}(B_m^{m-1}),$$

with $h'(t) = \frac{l_{m-1}}{[m,m-1]} \cdot t \cdot 1_{[m,m-1]}$. When we identify $U(B_m^{m-1})/\widetilde{SU}(B_m^{m-1})$ with

$$AffTB_m^{m-1}/\widetilde{\rho K_0}(B_m^{m-1}) = C_{\mathbb{R}}[0,1]/\{constants\},$$

g' is identified with $\widetilde{h}(t) \in C_{\mathbb{R}}[0,1]$ with

$$\widetilde{h}(t) = \frac{l_{m-1}}{[m, m-1]}t.$$

since $\frac{l_{m-1}}{[m,m-1]} \geq 8M + 8$, we have

$$\max_{t\in[0,1]} \widetilde{h}(t) - \min_{t\in[0,1]} \widetilde{h}(t) \geq 8M + 8.$$

On the other hand,

$$[u_n] = [g] + \widetilde{\lambda}_{B_n}([h]) \in U(B_n)/\widetilde{SU(B_n)},$$

where $[h] \in AffTB_n/\widetilde{\rho(K_0B_n)}$ is the element defined by h, and

$$\widetilde{\lambda}_{B_n} : AffTB_n/\widetilde{\rho K_0}(B_n) \longrightarrow U(B_n)/\widetilde{SU}(B_n)$$

is the map defined in §2. Consequently

$$(\psi_{n,m}^{n,m-1})^{\natural}(u) = AffT\psi_{n,m}^{n,m-1}(h) + \widetilde{h} \triangleq \widetilde{\widetilde{h}} \in AffTB_m^{m-1}/\widetilde{\rho K_0}(B_m^{m-1}) \cong U(B_m^{m-1})/\widetilde{SU}(B_m^{m-1}),$$

with

$$\max_{t\in[0,1]} \widetilde{\widetilde{h}}(t) - \min_{t\in[0,1]} \widetilde{\widetilde{h}}(t) \geq 4,$$

since $\|h\| \leq M$. Therefore

$$(\pi_{m-1}\circ\psi_{n,\infty})^{\natural}(u) \in U(Q_{m-1}BQ_{m-1})/\widetilde{SU}(Q_{m-1}BQ_{m-1}) \cong AffT(Q_{m-1}BQ_{m-1})/\widetilde{\rho K_0}(Q_{m-1}BQ_{m-1}),$$

satisfies

$$\max_{t\in[0,1]}(\pi_{m-1} \circ \psi_{n,\infty})^{\natural}(u)(t) - \min_{t\in[0,1]}(\pi_{m-1} \circ \psi_{n,\infty})^{\natural}(u)(t) \geq \frac{3}{4} \cdot 8 = 6,$$

where $\pi_{m-1} : B \longrightarrow Q_{m-1}BQ_{m-1}$ is the quotient map. On the other hand

$$\pi_{m-1}^{\natural}(S_1(x)) = 0$$

as calculated in $(*)$. Recall that

$$\|(\psi_{n,\infty})^{\natural}(u) - S_1(x)\| < \frac{1}{16}.$$

We get

$$\|(\pi_{m-1} \circ \psi_{n,\infty})^{\natural}(u)\| < \frac{1}{16},$$

which is the contradiction. This contradiction proves such system of splitting does not exist. Hence $Inv(A) \ncong Inv(B)$ and $A \ncong B$.

§10. ATAI algebras

10.1. In [Lin2], Huaxin Lin gave a abstract description of simple AH algebras (with no dimension growth) classified in [EGL1]. He described the decomposition property of simple AH algebras in [G5] as TAI property and proved that all simple separable nuclear TAI algebras with UCT are classifiable and therefore in the class of [EGL1]. For simple AH algebras of real rank zero, corresponding decomposition property is called TAF introduced by [Lin1], partially inspired by S. Popa's paper [Popa].

In [Fa] and [Jiang1], they studied inductive limits of direct sums of simple TAF algebras or TAI algebras with UCT. Namely, the following definition can be found in [Fa] and [Jiang1].

Definition 10.2. Let A be inductive limit of $\lim_{n\to\infty}(A_n = \bigoplus_{i=1} A_n^i, \phi_{nm})$. If each A_n^i is a simple separable nuclear TAI (or TAF, respectively) C^*-algebras with UCT property, then A is called an ATAI (or ATAF respectively) algebra.

Remark 10.3. As proved by Huaxin Lin([Lin1-2],), a simple separable nuclear C^*-algebra with UCT property is a TAI (or TAF, respectively) algebra if and only if A is a simple AH algebra (or simple AH algebra of real rank zero) with no dimension growth classified in [EGL1].

In this section, we will prove all ATAI algebras are in our class. Namely, we will prove the following Theorem.

Theorem 10.4. If A is an ATAI C^*-algebra, then A is an $\mathcal{AHD}$ algebra with ideal property classified in Theorem 8.1. Consequently, if A is an ATAF C^*-algebras, then A is an $\mathcal{AHD}$ algebras of real rank zero classified in [DG].

10.5. Let $\underline{K}(A)_+$ be defined in 4.6 of [DG]. For any unital C^*-algebra B, let

$$KK(A,B)_+ = \{\alpha \in KK(A,B), \alpha(\underline{K}(A)_+) \subseteq \underline{K}(B)_+\},$$

$$KK(A,B)_{D,+} = \{\alpha \in KK(A,B)_+, \alpha[\mathbf{1}_A] \leq [\mathbf{1}_B]\}.$$

Recall any element $\alpha \in KK(A,B)$ defines a map $\alpha_* \in Hom_\Lambda(\underline{K}(A), \underline{K}(B))$. That is, it gives a sequence of homomorphisms

$$\alpha^i : K_i(A) \longrightarrow K_i(B) \text{ for } i = 1, 2,$$

and

$$\alpha_k^i : K_i(A, \mathbb{Z}/k) \longrightarrow K_i(A, \mathbb{Z}/k) \text{ for } k \geq 2 \text{ and } i = 1, 2,$$

which compatible with Bockstein operations Λ.

10.6. Let $A = PM_\bullet C(T_{II,k})P$. From 5.14 of [G5], we know that an element $\alpha \in KK(A, B)$ is completely determined by $\alpha^0 : K_0(C(T_{II,n})) \to K_0(B)$ and $\alpha_k^1 : K_1(C(T_{II,k}), \mathbb{Z}/k)(= \mathbb{Z}/k) \to K_1(B, \mathbb{Z}/k)$.

For any positive integer $k \geq 2$, denote

$$K_k(A) = K_0(A) \oplus K_1(A) \oplus K_0(A, \mathbb{Z}/k) \oplus K_1(A, \mathbb{Z}/k)$$

and

$$K_k(A)_+ = \underline{K}(A)_+ \cap K_{(k)}(A).$$

Then for $A = PM_\bullet C(T_{II,k})P$, an element $\alpha \in KK(A, B)$ is in $K(A, B)_+$ if and only if $\alpha(K_k(A)_+) \subset K_k(B)_+$. Note that $K_{(k)}(A)_+$ is finitely generated.

10.7. One can choose finite set $\mathcal{P} \subset M_\bullet(A \otimes C(W_k \times S^1))$ of projections such that

$$\{[P] \in K_0(A) \oplus K_1(A) \oplus K_0(A, \mathbb{Z}/k) \oplus K_1(A, \mathbb{Z}/k), P \in \mathcal{P}(A)\} \subset \underline{K}(A)$$

generate $K_k(A) = K_0(A) \oplus K_1(A) \oplus K_0(A, \mathbb{Z}/k) \oplus K_1(A, \mathbb{Z}/k)$, where $W_k = T_{II,k}$.

If we choose finite set $G(\mathcal{P}) \subset \mathcal{A}$ large enough and $\delta(\mathcal{P}) > 0$ small enough, then any $G(\mathcal{P}) - \delta(\mathcal{P})$ multiplicative contraction $\phi : A \to B$ determines a map $\phi_* : \mathcal{P}\underline{K}(A) \to \underline{K}(B)$ which is compatible with Bockstein operation Λ (see [GL]). And hence it defines a KK element $[\phi] \in KK(A, B)$.

Recall for $G \supseteq G(\mathcal{P}), \delta \leq \delta(\mathcal{P})$, a $G - \delta$ multiplicative map $\phi : A \to B$ is called quasi $\mathcal{P}\underline{K}$ homomorphism, if there is a homomorphism $\psi : A \to B$ such that $\phi_* = \psi_* : \mathcal{P}\underline{K}(A) \to \underline{K}(B)$. (Or equivalently, $[\phi] = [\psi] \in KK(A, B)$.) If ϕ is quasi $\mathcal{P}\underline{K}$ homomorphism, then $[\phi] \in KK(A, B)_+$.

Suppose that $A = PM_\bullet C(T_{II,k})P$ and suppose that $B = \bigoplus_{i=1}^n B^i$ is a direct sum of basic $\mathcal{HD}$ bulding blocks. Let $\alpha \in KK(A, B)$. Then $\alpha \in KK(A, B)_+$ if and only if for each $i \in \{1, 2, \cdots\}$, $\alpha^i \in KK(A, B^i)$ is either zero or $\alpha^i(\mathbf{1}_{C(T_{II,k})}) > 0$ in $K_0(B^i)$.

Recall from [DG], an KK-element $\alpha \in KK(A, B = \bigoplus_{i=1}^n B^i)$ is called m-large if $rank(\alpha^i(\mathbf{1}_A))$ $\geq m \cdot rank(\mathbf{1}_B)$, for each $i \in \{1, 2, \cdots n\}$. From 5.5 and 5.6 of [DG] if $\alpha \in K(A, B)_+$ is 6 large and $\alpha([\mathbf{1}_A]) \leq [\mathbf{1}_B]$, then α can be realized by a homomorphism from A to B.

Lemma 10.8. Let $A = PM_\bullet C(T_{II,k})P$, and $\mathcal{P} \subset M_\bullet(A \otimes C(W_k \times S^1))$ as in 10.7. And let $B = \lim_{n\to\infty}(B_n, \psi_{n,m})$ be a unital simple inductive limit of direct sums of $\mathcal{HD}$ building blocks. Let $\phi : A \to B$ be a unital homomorphism. It follows that for any $G \supset G(\mathcal{P})$, $\delta < \delta(\mathcal{P})$, there is a B_n and a unital $G-\delta$ multiplicative contraction $\phi_1 : A \to B_n$ which is a quasi $\mathcal{P}\underline{K}$-homomorphism such that $\|\psi_{n,\infty} \circ \phi_1(g) - \phi(g)\| < \delta$, $\forall g \in G$.

Proof. There is a finite set G_1 and $\delta_1 > 0$ such that if a complete positive linear map $\psi : A \to C(C$ is any C^*-algebra) and a homomorphism $\phi : A \to C$ such that

$$\|\phi(g) - \psi(g)\| < \delta_1 \text{ for all } g \in G_1,$$

then ψ is $G - \frac{\delta}{2}$ multiplicative(see Lemma 4.40 in [G5]). Now if $\phi^{'} : A \to B_l$ satisfies

$$\|\psi_{l,\infty} \circ \phi'(g) - \phi(g)\| < \delta, \forall g \in G_1,$$

then $\psi_{l,\infty} \circ \phi'$ is $G - \frac{\delta}{2}$ multiplicative. Hence for some $n > l$(large enough), $\phi_1 = \psi_{i,n} \circ \phi' : A \to B_n$ is $G-\delta$ multiplicative. By replacing G by $G \cup G_1$ and δ by $min(\delta, \delta_1)$, we only need to construct a unital quasi $\mathcal{P}\underline{K}-$homomorsim $\phi_1 : A \to B_n$ such that

$$(*) \qquad \|\psi_{n,\infty} \circ \phi_1(g) - \phi(g)\| < \delta, \quad \forall g \in G$$

Furthermore if ϕ_1 is $G-\delta$ multiplicative and satisfies the above condition, then

$$KK(\phi_1) \times KK(\psi_{n,\infty}) = KK(\phi) \in KK(A, B)_+.$$

Note that $K_k(A)_+$ is finitely generated, there is $m_1 > n$, such that

$$KK(\psi_{n,m_1}) \in KK(A, B_{m_1})_+.$$

Since B is simple, for certain $m > m_1$, $KK(\psi_{n,m} \circ \phi_1)$ is 6 large and therefore can be realized by a homomorphism. That is , replacing ϕ_1 by $\psi_{n,m} \circ \phi_1$, we get a quasi $\mathcal{P}\underline{K}-$homomorphism. Therefore the proof of the lemma is reduced to the construction of ϕ_1 to satisfy $(*)$.

Since $B = \lim_{n\to\infty}(B_{n_1}, \psi_{n,m})$, there is a B_n and finite set $F \subset B_n$ such that $G \subset_{\frac{\delta}{3}} \psi_{n,\infty}(F)$. Since $B_n(\subset B)$ is a nuclear C^*-algebra, there are two complete positive contractions $\lambda_1 : B_n \to M_N(\mathbb{C})$ and $\lambda_2 : M_N(\mathbb{C}) \to B_n$ such that

$$\|\lambda_2 \circ \lambda_1(f) - f\| < \tfrac{\delta}{3} \text{ for all } f \in F.$$

As B_n is a subalgebra of B, by Arverson's extension theorem, one can extend the map $\lambda_1 : B_n \to M_N(\mathbb{C})$ to $\beta_1 : B \to M_N(\mathbb{C})$ such that $\beta_1 \circ \psi_{n,\infty} = \lambda_1$.

One can verify that $\phi_1 = \lambda_2 \circ \beta_1 \circ \phi : A \to B_n$ satisfies the condition$(*)$ as below. For $g \in G$, there is $f \in F$ such that $\|\phi(g) - \psi_{n,\infty}\| < \frac{\delta}{3}$, and therefore

$$\begin{aligned}\|\psi_{n,\infty} \circ \phi_1(g) - \phi(g)\| &= \|(\psi_{n,\infty} \circ \lambda_2 \circ \beta_1 \circ \phi)(g) - \phi(g)\| \\ &\leq \|\psi_{n,\infty}(\lambda_2 \circ \beta_1 \circ \phi(g)) - \psi_{n,\infty}(\lambda_2 \circ \beta_1 \circ \psi_{n,\infty}(f))\| \\ &+ \|\psi_{n,\infty} \circ \lambda_2 \circ \lambda_1(f) - \psi_{n,\infty}(f)\| + \|\psi_{n,\infty}(f) - \phi(g)\| \\ &\leq \frac{\delta}{3} + \frac{\delta}{3} + \frac{\delta}{3} = \delta\end{aligned}$$

This ends the proof of the lemma.

□

Lemma10.9. For any finite set $F \subset PM_\bullet C(T_{II,k})P \stackrel{\triangle}{=} B$ with $\omega(F) < \varepsilon$, there are a finite set $G \supset G(\mathcal{P})$ and a positive number $\delta < \delta(\mathcal{P})$ and a positive integer L, this L will be denoted by $L(F, \varepsilon)$ such that if C is a $\mathcal{HD}$ basic building block, $p, q \in C$ are two projections with $p + q = \mathbf{1}_C$, $\phi_0 : B \to pCp$ and $\phi_1 : B \to qCq$ are two maps such that

(1) ϕ_0 is quasi $\mathcal{P}\underline{K}$ homomorphism and $G - \delta$ multiplicative, and ϕ_1 is defined by point evaluations (or equivalently, factoring through a finite dimensional C^*- algebra), and

(2) $rank(q) \geq L\ rank(p)$,

then there is a homomorphism $\phi : B \to C$ such that $\|\phi_0 \oplus \phi_1(f) - \phi(f)\| < 5\varepsilon$ for all $f \in F$.

Proof. Since ϕ_0 is a quasi $\mathcal{P}\underline{K}-$homomorphism and $G - \delta$ multiplicative, there is a homomorphism $\phi_0' : B \to pCp$ such that $\phi_{0*}' = \phi_{0*} : \mathcal{P}\underline{K}(B) \to \underline{K}(C)$. By Lemma 7.17, there is unitary $u \in C$ such that

$$\|(\phi_0 \oplus \phi_1)(f) - u(\phi_0' \oplus \phi_1)(f)u^*\| < 5\varepsilon, \text{ for all } f \in F.$$

The homomorphism $\phi = Adu \circ (\phi_0' \oplus \phi_1)$ is as desired.

□

Lemma 10.10. Let $\varepsilon_i > \varepsilon_2 > \cdots > \varepsilon_n > \cdots$ be a sequence with $\sum \varepsilon_i < +\infty$. Let A be a simple AH algebra with no dimension growth(as in [EGL] and [Li4]). Then A can be

written as $\mathcal{AHD}$ inductive limit

$$A_1 = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{t_1} A_1^i \longrightarrow A_2 = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{t_2} A_2^i \longrightarrow \cdots$$

with subalgebras $B_n(= \bigoplus B_n^i) \subset A_n(= \bigoplus A_n^i)$ (that is $B_n^i \subset A_n^i$) and $F_n \subset B_n$ and $G_n \subset A_n$ with $F_n = \bigoplus F_n^i \subset G_n = \bigoplus G_n^i$ such that the following conditions hold:

(1) If A_n^i is not of type T_{II}, then $B_n^i = A_n^i$ and $F_n^i = G_n^i$. If A_n^i is of type T_{II}, then $B_n^i = PA_n^iP \oplus D_n^i \subset A_n^i$ with $F_n^i = \pi_0(F_n^i) \oplus \pi_1(F_n^i) \subset G_n^i$ and $\omega(\pi_0(F_n^i)) < \varepsilon_n$, where D_n^i is a direct sum of $\mathcal{HD}$ building blocks other than type T_{II}, $\pi_0 : B_n^i \to PA_n^iP \stackrel{\triangle}{=} B_n^{0,i}$ and $\pi_1 : B_n^i \to D_n^i$ are canonical projections;

(2) G_n^i generates A_n^i, $\phi_{n,n+1}(A_n) \subset B_{n+1}$, $\phi_{n,n+1}(G_n) \subset F_{n+1}$, and $\overline{\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \phi_{n,\infty}(G_n)} = \overline{\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \phi_{n,\infty}(F_n)} =$ unital of A;

(3) Suppose both A_n^i and A_{n+1}^j are of type T_{II} and let $\phi \stackrel{\triangle}{=} \pi_0 \circ \phi_{n,m}^{i,j}|_{B_n^{0,i}} : B_n^{0,i} \to B_{n+1}^{0,j}$. Then $\phi(\mathbf{1}_{B_n^{0,i}}) = p_0 \oplus p_1 \in B_{n+1}^{0,j}$ and $\phi = \phi_0 \oplus \phi_1$ with $\phi_0 \in Hom(B_n^{0,i}, p_0B_{n+1}^{0,j}p_0)_1$, $\phi_1 \in Hom(B_n^{0,i}, p_1B_{n+1}^{0,j}p_1)_1$ such that ϕ_1 is defined by point evaluation (or equivalently $\phi_1(B_n^{0,i})$) is a finite dimensioned subalgebra of $p_1B_{n+1}^{0,j}p_1$ and

$$[p_1] \geq L(\pi_0(F_n^i), \varepsilon_n) \cdot [p_0],$$

where $L(\pi_0(F_n^i), \varepsilon_n)$ is as in Lemma 10.9 (note that $\omega(\pi_0(F_n^i)) < \varepsilon_n$).

Proof. (shetch) From[Li4], we know that A can be written as an inductive limit of direct sums of $\mathcal{HD}$ building blocks $A = \lim_{n\to\infty}(\widetilde{A_n}, \psi_{nm})$. In our construction, we will choose $A_n = \widetilde{A_{k_n}}$ and homomorphism $\phi_{n,n+1} : A_n = \widetilde{A_{k_n}} \to A_{n+1} = \widetilde{A_{k_{n+1}}}$ satisfying $KK(\phi_{n,n+1}) = KK(\psi_{k_n,k_{n+1}})$ and $AffT\phi_{n,n+1}$ is close to $AffT\psi_{k_n,k_{n+1}}$ within any pregiven small number on a pregiven finite set, in such a way that $\phi_{n,n+1}$ also satisfies the desired condition as in the Lemma with certain choice of subalgebras $B_n \subset A_n$ and finite subset $F_n \subset B_n$ and $G_n \subset A_n$.

Suppose that we already have $A_n = \widetilde{A_{k_n}}$. By using decomposition theorem–Theorem 4.37 of [G5], as in the proof of the main theorem in [Li4], for $l > k_n$ large enough, for each block $A_n^i = \widetilde{A_{k_n}^i}$ of type T_{II}, and $\widetilde{A_l^j}$ of type T_{II}, the homomorphism $\psi_{k_n,l}$ can be decomposed into three parts $\phi_0^1 \oplus \phi_1 \oplus \phi_2$, where ϕ_2 factor through interval algebra D_1^i as $\phi_2 = \xi_2^i \circ \xi_1^i : A_n^i \xrightarrow{\xi_1^i} D_1^i \xrightarrow{\xi_2^i} \widetilde{A_l^j}$, ϕ_1 is defined by point evaluation at dense enough finite set

of $Sp(\widetilde{A^i_{k_n}})(= T_{II,k}$ for some $k)$. Then we can choose $k_{n+1} = l$ and $A_{n+1} = \widetilde{A_{k_{n+1}}}$. Note that ϕ^1_0 is a quasi $\mathcal{P}\underline{K}$ homomorphism, one can choose $\phi_0 : \widetilde{A^i_{k_n}} \to \phi^1_0(\mathbf{1}_{\widetilde{A^i_{k_n}}})\widetilde{A^j_{k_{n+1}}}\phi^1_0(\mathbf{1}_{\widetilde{A^i_{k_n}}})$ such that $KK(\phi^1_0 \oplus \phi_1 \oplus \phi_2) = KK(\phi_0 \oplus \phi_1 \oplus \phi_2)$. One can modify $\psi_{k_n,k_{n+1}}$ by replacing $\psi^{i,j}_{k_n,k_{n+1}}$ by $\phi_0 \oplus \phi_1 \oplus \phi_2$ to define $\phi_{n,n+1} : A_n \to A_{n+1}$.

Fixed j with $A^j_{n+1} = A^j_{k_{n+1}}$ of type T_{II}. Let $I_0 = \{i : A^i_n = \widetilde{A^i_{k_n}}$ is of type $T_{II}\}$, $I_1 = \{i : A^i_n = \widetilde{A^i_{k_n}}$ is not of type $T_{II}\}$. Let $P_i = (\phi_0 \oplus \phi_1)(\mathbf{1}_{A^i_n}) \in A^j_{n+1}$, let $P = \bigoplus_{i\in I_0} P_i \in A^j_{n+1}$ and $B^{0,j}_{n+1} \stackrel{\triangle}{=} PA^j_{n+1}P$, and let $D^j_{n+1} = \bigoplus_{i\in I_0} \xi^i_2(D^i_1) \oplus \bigoplus_{i\in I_1} \widetilde{\phi^{i,j}_{k_n,k_{n+1}}}(\widetilde{A^i_{k_n}}) \subset \widetilde{A^j_{k_{n+1}}}$, which is a direct sum of $\mathcal{HD}$ building blocks other than type T_{II}. Note that $KK(\psi_{k_n,k_{n+1}}) = KK(\phi_{n,n+1})$. Since the size of $\phi^1_0(\mathbf{1}_{\widetilde{A^i_{k_n}}}) = \phi_0(\mathbf{1}_{\widetilde{A^i_{k_n}}})$ is much smaller than $\phi_1(\mathbf{1}_{\widetilde{A^{i,j}_{k_n}}})$ and $\phi_2(\mathbf{1}_{\widetilde{A^{i,j}_{k_n}}})$, $AffT\phi_{n,n+1}$ is very close to $AffT\psi_{k_n,k_{n+1}}$. Hence $A' = \lim(A_{n,n+1}, \phi_{n,m})$ has same Elliott invariant as $A = \lim(\widetilde{A_{k_n}}, \psi_{k_n,k_m})$. Hence $A' \cong A$.

□

Corollary 10.11. Let A be a simple AH algebra with no dimension growth. And let $P_1, P_2, \cdots P_k \in A$ be a set of mutually orthogonal projections. Then one can write A as inductive limit $A = \lim(A_n, \phi_{n,m})$ with mutually orthogonal projections $P^0_1, P^0_2, \cdots P^0_k \in A_1$ such that $\phi_{1,\infty}(P^0_i) = P_i$ and for each i,

$$P_iAP_i = \lim_{n\to\infty}(\phi_{1,n}(P^0_i)A_n\phi_{1,n}(P^0_i), \phi_{n,m}|_{\phi_{1,n}(P^0_i)A_n\phi_{1,n}(P^0_i)})$$

is as desired in Lemma 10.10.

Proof. In the proof of Lemma 10.10, one can assume that there are $P'_0, P'_1, \cdots P'_k \in \widetilde{A_1}$ with $\psi_{1,\infty}(P'_i) = P_i$ for all $i = 1, 2, \cdots, k$. Then the construction can be carried out to get our conclusion. Note that, applying Lemma 1.6.8 of [G5], one can strength Theorem 4.37 of [G5] such that the following is true: For a set of pregiven orthogonal projections $p_1, p_2, \cdots, p_k \in A_n$, one can further require that $\psi_0 \in Map(A_n, Q_0A_mQ_0)$ satisfies that $\psi_0(p_i)$ are projections (for $i = 1, 2, \cdots, k$) and $\sum_{i=1}^{k}(\psi_0 \oplus \psi_1 \oplus \psi_2)(p_i) = \phi_{n,m}(p_i)$.

□

The following is the main technique lemma of this section.

Lemma 10.12 Let A, A' be simple $\mathcal{AHD}$ inductive algebras with $A_1 \xrightarrow{\phi_{1,2}} A_2 \xrightarrow{\phi_{2,3}} \cdots \to A_n \cdots \longrightarrow A$ and $A'_1 \xrightarrow{\psi_{1,2}} A'_2 \to \cdots \to A'_n \cdots \longrightarrow A'$ be described in Lemma 10.10. Let

$\Lambda : A \to A'$ be a homomorphism. Let $F \subset A_m$ be a finite set and $\varepsilon > 0$. Then there is a A'_l and a homomorphism $\Lambda_1 : A_m \to A'_l$ such that

$$\|\Lambda \circ \phi_{m,\infty}(f) - \psi_{l,\infty}\Lambda_1(f)\| < \varepsilon, \text{ for all } f \in F.$$

Proof. One can choose $n > m$ large enough such that $\phi_{m,n}(F) \subset_{\frac{\varepsilon}{4}} F_n$ and $5\varepsilon_n < \frac{\varepsilon}{8}$. Note that $\phi_{n,m}(A_m) \subset B_n$, where B_n is as in Lemma 10,10. We will construct a homomorphism $\phi : B_n \to A'_l$ such that

$$(*) \qquad \|\Lambda \circ \phi_{n,\infty}(f) - \psi_{l,\infty}(\phi(f))\| < \tfrac{\varepsilon}{2}, \qquad \forall f \in F_n \subset B_n \subset A_n.$$

Then the homomorphism $\phi \circ \phi_{m,n}$ is as desired.

Let $I_0 = \{i, B_n^i \text{ is of type } T_{II}\}$ and $I_1 = \{i, B_n^i \text{ is not of type } T_{II}\}$. Then $\{\mathbf{1}_{B_n^{0,i}}\}_{i\in I_0} \bigcup \{\mathbf{1}_{D_n^i}\}_{i\in I_0} \bigcup \{\mathbf{1}_{B_n^i}\}_{i\in I_1}$ are mutually orthogonal projections. Hence $\{\Lambda\phi_{n,\infty}(\mathbf{1}_{B_n^{0,i}})\}_{i\in I_0} \bigcup \{\Lambda\phi_{n,\infty}(\mathbf{1}_{D_n^i})\}_{i\in I_0} \bigcup \{\Lambda\phi_{n,\infty}(\mathbf{1}_{B_n^i})\}_{i\in I_1}$ are mutually orthogonal projections in A'. One can choose n_1(large enough) and mutually orthogonal projections $\{P_i\}_{i\in I_0} \bigcup \{Q_i\}_{i\in I_0} \bigcup \{R_i\}_{i\in I_1} \subset A'_{n_1}$ and a unitary $u \in A'$ such that $\|u - \mathbf{1}\| < \frac{\varepsilon}{16}$ and $\psi_{n_1,\infty}(P_i) = u(\Lambda \circ \phi_{n,\infty}(\mathbf{1}_{B_n^{0,i}}))u^*$, $\psi_{n_1,\infty}(Q_i) = u(\Lambda \circ \phi_{n,\infty}(\mathbf{1}_{D_n^i}))u^*$ for $i \in I_0$ and $\psi_{n,\infty}(R_i) = u(\Lambda \circ \phi_{n,\infty}(\mathbf{1}_{D_n^i}))u^*$ for $i \in I_1$.

Note that $\|Adu - id\| < \frac{\varepsilon}{8}$. Replacing $\Lambda : A \to A'$ by $\Lambda' = Adu \circ \Lambda : A \xrightarrow{\Lambda} A' \xrightarrow{Adu} A'$, to make $(*)$ to be true, it suffies to construct $\phi : B_n \to A'_l$ for certain $l > n_1$ such that

$$(**) \qquad \|\Lambda' \circ \phi_{n,\infty}(f) - \psi_{n,\infty} \circ \phi(f)\| < \frac{3}{8}\varepsilon, \qquad \forall f \in F_n \subset B_n \subset A_n.$$

Such construction can be carried for each block $B_n^{0,i}$ and D_n^i for $i \in I_0$ and for each block B_n^i for $i \in I_1$. Namely, we need to construct

$$\phi|_{B_n^{0,i}} : B_n^{0,i} \to \psi_{n,l}(P_i)A'_l\psi_{n,l}(P_i), \qquad \forall i \in I_0,$$

$$\phi|_{D_n^i} : D_n^i \to \psi_{n,l}(Q_i)A'_l\psi_{n,l}(Q_i), \qquad \forall i \in I_0; \quad \text{and}$$

$$\phi|_{B_n^i} : B_n^i \to \psi_{n,l}(R_i)A'_l\psi_{n,l}(R_i), \qquad \forall i \in I_1,$$

separately, to satisfy the condition

$$\|\Lambda' \circ \phi_{n,\infty}(f) - \psi_{l,\infty} \circ \phi(f)\| < \tfrac{3\varepsilon}{8}$$

for all$f \in \{\pi_0(F_n^i)\}_{i\in I_0} \cup \{\pi_1(F_n^i)\}_{i\in I_0} \cup \{F_n^i\}_{i\in I_1}$.

For the blocks of $\{D_n^i\}_{i\in I_0}$ and $\{B_n^i\}_{i\in I_1}$, the existence of such homomorphism follows from the fact that the domain algebras are stably generated. So we only need to construct $\phi : B_n^{0,i} \to \psi_{n,l}(P_i)A_l'\psi_{n,l}(P_i)$ for l large enough.

Let $J_0 = \{j|\ B_{n+1}^j$ is of type $T_{II}\}$ and $J_1 = \{j|\ B_{n+1}^j$ is not of type $T_{II}\}$. Let $\widetilde{P}^{i,j} = \pi_0(\phi_{n,n+1}^{i,j}(\mathbf{1}_{B_n^{0,j}})) \in B_{n+1}^{0,j}$ for $j \in J_0$, $\widetilde{Q}^{i,j} = \pi_1(\phi_{n,n+1}^{i,j}(\mathbf{1}_{B_n^{0,j}})) \in D_{n+1}^j$ for $j \in J_0$, and $\widetilde{R}^{i,j} = \phi_{n,n+1}^{i,j}(\mathbf{1}_{B_n^{0,j}})$ for $j \in J_1$. (Here we only consider the case $i \in I_0$).

As in Lemma 10.10, we have decomposition $\pi_0 \circ \phi_{n,n+1}^{i,j}(\mathbf{1}_{B_n^{0,i}}) = p_0 + p_1 \in B_{n+1}^{0,j}$ and $\pi_0 \circ \phi_{n,n+1}^{i,j} = \phi_0 \oplus \phi_1$ with $\phi_0 \in Hom(B_n^{0,i}, p_0B_{n+1}^{0,j}p_0)_1$ and $\phi_1 \in Hom(B_n^{0,i}, p_1B_{n+1}^{0,j}p_1)_1$. Let us denote p_0, p_1 by $p_0^{i,j}$ and $p_1^{i,j}$, then $\widetilde{P}^{i,j} = p_0^{i,j} \oplus p_1^{i,j}$. Then

$$\{\Lambda'(\phi_{n+1,\infty}(p_0^{i,j}))\}_{j\in J_0} \bigcup \{\Lambda'(\phi_{n+1,\infty}(p_1^{i,j}))\}_{j\in J_0} \bigcup \{\Lambda'(\phi_{n+1,\infty}(\widetilde{Q}^{i,j}))\}_{j\in J_0} \bigcup \{\Lambda'(\phi_{n+1,\infty}(\widetilde{R}^{i,j}))\}_{j\in J_1}$$

is a set of mutually orthogonal projections with sum to be $\Lambda'(\phi_{n,\infty}(\mathbf{1}_{B_n^{0,i}})) = \psi_{n,\infty}(P_i) \in A'$. For $n_2 > n_1$(large enough), there are mutually orthogonal projections

$$\{P_0^{i,j}\}_{j\in J_0} \cup \{P_1^{i,j}\}_{j\in J_0} \cup \{Q^{i,j}\}_{j\in J_0} \cup \{R^{i,j}\}_{j\in J_0} \subset \psi_{n_1,n_2}(P_i)A_{n_2}'(P_i)$$

and a unitary $v \in \psi_{n,\infty}(P_i)A'\psi_{n,\infty}(P_i)$ such that $\|v - 1\| < \frac{\varepsilon}{16}$,

$$\psi_{n_2,\infty}(P_0^{i,j}) = v(\Lambda'\phi_{n+1,\infty}(p_0^{i,j})v^*,$$

$$\psi_{n_2,\infty}(P_1^{i,j}) = v(\Lambda'\phi_{n+1,\infty}(p_1^{i,j})v^*,$$

$$\psi_{n_2,\infty}(Q^{i,j}) = v(\Lambda'\phi_{n+1,\infty}(\widetilde{Q}^{i,j})v^*$$

for all $j \in J_0$; and

$$\psi_{n_2,\infty}(R^{i,j}) = v(\Lambda'\phi_{n+1,\infty}(\widetilde{R}^{i,j})v^*$$

for all $j \in J_1$.

Let $\widetilde{\Lambda} = Adv \circ \Lambda'$. Then the construction of $\phi|_{B_n^{0,i}}$ satisfying $(**)$ for all $f \in \pi_0(F_0^i)$ is reduced to the construction of homomorphism

$$\xi_0^j : B_n^{0,i} \to \psi_{n_2,l}(P_0^{i,j} \oplus P_1^{i,j})A_l'\psi_{n_2,l}(P_0^{i,j} \oplus P_1^{i,j}),$$
$$\xi_1^j : D_{n+1}^j \to \psi_{n_2,l}(Q^{i,j})A_l'\psi_{n_2,l}(Q^{i,j})$$

(for all $j \in J_0$) and $\xi^j : B_{n+1}^j \to \psi_{n_2,l}(R^{i,j})A_l'\psi_{n_2,l}(R^{i,j})$ (for all $j \in J_1$), such that

$$(***) \qquad \|\widetilde{\Lambda} \circ \phi_{n,\infty}(f) - \psi_{l,\infty} \circ \xi_0^j\| < \frac{1}{4}\varepsilon \quad \forall f \in \pi_0(F_n^i) \subset B_n^{0,i} \text{ and } j \in J_0,$$

$$\|\widetilde{\Lambda}\circ\phi_{n+1,\infty}(f)-\psi_{l,\infty}\circ\xi_1^j(f)\|<\tfrac{\varepsilon}{4}\qquad \forall f\in\pi_1\circ\phi_{n,n+1}^{i,j}(\pi_0(F_n^i))\subset D_{n+1}^j \text{ and } j\in J_0,$$

and

$$\|\widetilde{\Lambda}\circ\phi_{n+1,\infty}(f)-\psi_{l,\infty}\circ\xi^j(f)\|<\tfrac{\varepsilon}{4}\quad \forall f\in\phi_{n,n+1}^{i,j}(\pi_0(F_n^i))\subset B_{n+1}^j \text{ and } j\in J_1.$$

(Note that the domain of ξ_0^j is $B_n^{0,i}\subset B_n$ not subalgebra of B_{n+1}, as $\xi_1^j(j\in J_0)$ and $\xi^j(j\in J_1)$ are homomorphism from $D_{n+1}^j(j\in J_0)$ and $B_{n+1}^j(j\in J_1)$ which are subalgebras of B_{n+1}.)

The existence of the homomorphism $\xi_1^j(j\in J_0)$ and $\xi^j(j\in J_1)$ follows from that the corresponding domain algebras $D_{n+1}^j(j\in J_0)$ and $B_{n+1}^j(j\in J_1)$ are stably generated—of course, we need to choose $l>n_2$ large enough.

So we only need to construct ξ_0^j to satisfy $(***)$ above. Let $G\supset G(\mathcal{P})$ and $\delta<\delta(\mathcal{P})$ be as in Lemma 10.9 for $\pi_0(F_n^i)$ and ε_n (note that $\omega(\pi_0(F_n^i))<\varepsilon_n$). Recall that $L(\pi_0(F_n^i),\varepsilon_n)$ is also from Lemma 10.9. Recall that $\phi_0\in Hom(B_n^{0,i},p_0^{i,j}p_0^{i,j})$ and $\phi_1\in Hom(B_n^{0,i},p_1^{i,j}p_1^{i,j})$, and that $\phi_1(B_n^{0,i})$ is finite dimension algebra. There is a homomorphism $\lambda_1:\phi_1(B_n^{0,i})\to\psi_{n_1,l}(P_1^{i,j})A_l'\psi_{n_2,l}(P_1^{i,j})$ (for l large enough) such that

$$\|\psi_{l,\infty}\circ\lambda_1(f)-\widetilde{\Lambda}(\phi_{n+1,\infty}(f))\|<\tfrac{\varepsilon}{16},\ \forall f\in\phi_1(\pi_0(F_n^i))$$

Applying Lemma 10.8 to inductive limit, $\lim(\psi_{n_2,m}(P_0^{i,j})A_m'\psi_{n_2,m}(P_0^{i,j}),\psi_{m,l})$, finite set $\phi_0(\pi_0(F_n^i))\subset p_0^{i,j}B_{n+1}^{0,j}p_0^{i,j}$ and homomorphism $\widetilde{\Lambda}\circ\phi_{n+1,\infty}:p_0^{i,j}B_{n+1}^{0,j}p_0^{i,j}\to\psi_{n_2,\infty}(P_0^{i,j})A_m'\psi_{n_2,\infty}(P_0^{i,j})$, for $l(>n_2)$ large enough, one can obtain a $\phi_0(G)-\delta$ multiplicative quasi-$\mathcal{P}\underline{K}$ homomorphism $\lambda_0:p_0^{i,j}B_{n+1}^{0,j}p_0^{i,j}\to\psi_{n_2,l}(P_0^{i,j})A_l'\psi_{n_2,l}(P_0^{i,j})$ such that

$$\|\psi_{l,\infty}\circ\lambda_0(f)-(\widetilde{\Lambda}\circ\phi_{n+1,\infty})(f)\|<\tfrac{\varepsilon}{16},\text{ for all } f\in\phi_0(\pi_0(F_n^i))$$

Let $\xi'=\lambda_0\circ\phi_0\oplus\lambda_1\circ\phi_1:B_n^{0,i}\to\psi_{n_2,l}(P_0^{i,j}\oplus P_1^{i,j})A_l'\psi_{n_2,l}(P_0^{i,j}\oplus P_1^{i,j})$. Then

$$(****)\qquad\qquad \|\widetilde{\Lambda}\circ\phi_{n,\infty}(f)-\psi_{l,\infty}\circ\xi'(f)\|<\frac{1}{8}\varepsilon\quad \forall f\in\pi_0(F_n^i).$$

On the other hand, since $[P_1^{i,j}]\geq L(\pi_0(F_n^i),\varepsilon_n)\cdot[P_0^{i,j}]$ in K-theory, and $\lambda_1\circ\phi_1$ is a homomorphism with finite dimensional image, we know that $\xi'=\lambda_0\circ\phi_0\oplus\lambda_1\circ\phi_1$ satisfies the condition of Lemma 10.9—note that λ_0 is $\phi_0(G)-\delta$ multiplicative implies that $\lambda_0\circ\phi_0$ is $G-\delta$ multiplicative. By Lemma 10.9, there is a

$$\xi_0^j : B_n^{0,j} \to \psi_{n_2,l}(P_0^{i,j} \oplus P_1^{i,j})A_l'\psi_{n_2,l}(P_0^{i,j} \oplus P_1^{i,j})$$

such that $\|\xi_0^j(f) - \xi'(f)\| \leq 5\varepsilon_n < \frac{\varepsilon}{8}$. Combining with $(**)$, we know that ξ_0^j satisfies $(***)$ as desired, and therefore the lemma is proved.

□

10.13. The proof of Theorem 10.4

Proof. Suppose that A is the inductive limit of $(A_n = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{t_n} A_n^i, \phi_{n,m})$, where each A_n^i is simple AH algebra with no dimension growth. Since each A_n^i is simple, without lose of generality, we can assume that $\phi_{n,m}$ are injective. We will construct a sequence of sub C^*-algebras $B_n^i \subset A_n^i$ which are direct sums of $\mathcal{HD}$ building blocks and homomorphism $\psi_{n,n+1} : B_n = \bigoplus B_n^i \to B_{n+1} = \bigoplus B_{n+1}^i$ such that the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} B_1 & \xrightarrow{\psi_{1,2}} & B_2 & \longrightarrow & \cdots \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle \imath_1} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \imath_2} & & \\ A_1 & \xrightarrow{\phi_{1,2}} & A_2 & \longrightarrow & \cdots \end{array}$$

is an approximately commutative diagram in the sense of Elliott and $\overline{\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \phi_{n,\infty}(\imath_n(B_n))} = A$.

Let $\{a_{n,k}\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ be a dense subset of unit ball of A_n. Let $\varepsilon_n = \frac{1}{2^n}$. Let $G_1 \subset A_1$ be defined by

$$G_1 = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{t_1} \{\pi_i(a_{11})\} \subset \bigoplus_{1}^{t_1} = A_1$$

where $\pi_i : A_1 \to A_1^i$ are canonical projections.

Fixed $i \in \{1, 2, \cdots, t_1\}$, one can temporary write $A_1^i = \lim_{n\to\infty}(C_n, \xi_{n,m})$ as in 10.10 with injective homomorphism $\xi_{n,m}$. (We say "temporary", since the notation $C_n, \xi_{n,m}$ will be used later again).

For $\pi_i(G_1) \subset A_1^i$, we can choose n large enough such that $\pi_i(G_1) \subset_{\varepsilon_1} \xi_{n,\infty}(C_n)$. And define $B_1^i = C_n$ and F_1^i be a finite set with $\pi_i(G_1) \subset_{\varepsilon_1} \imath(F_1^i)$, where $\imath$ is the inclusion homomorphism

$\xi_{n,\infty}$. Let $B = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{t_n} B_1^i \hookrightarrow \bigoplus_{i=1}^{t_n} A_1^i$, and $F_1 = \bigoplus F_1^i$.

We will construct the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
F_1 = \bigoplus F_1^i & & F_2 = \bigoplus F_2^i & & F_n = \bigoplus F_n^i & & \\
\bigcap & & \bigcap & & \bigcap & & \\
B_1 = \bigoplus B_1^i & \xrightarrow{\psi_{1,2}} & B_2 = \bigoplus B_2^i & \longrightarrow \cdots & B_n = \bigoplus B_n^i & \longrightarrow & \\
\downarrow \imath_1 & & \downarrow \imath_2 & & \downarrow \imath_n & & \\
A_1 = \bigoplus A_1^i & \xrightarrow{\phi_{1,2}} & A_2 = \bigoplus A_2^i & \longrightarrow \cdots & A_n = \bigoplus A_n^i & \longrightarrow & \\
\bigcup & & \bigcup & & \bigcup & & \\
G_1 = \bigoplus G_1^i & & G_2 = \bigoplus G_2^i & & G_n = \bigoplus G_n^i & &
\end{array}$$

Such that
(1) $G_k \subset_{\varepsilon_k} \imath_k(F_k)$, $G_k \supset \phi_{k-1,k}(G_{k-1} \cup \{a_{k-1,k}\}) \cup \{a_{k,j}\}_{j=1}^k$;
(2) $\|\imath_k \circ \psi_{k-1,k}(f) - \phi_{k-1,k} \circ \imath_{k-1}(f)\| < \varepsilon_{k-1}, \quad \forall f \in F_{k-1}$.

The construction will be carried out by induction. Suppose that we have the diagram until $F_n \subset B_n \hookrightarrow A_n \supset G_n$. We will construct the next piece of the diagram.

Let $P^{i,j} = \phi_{n,n+1}^{i,j}(\mathbf{1}_{A_n^i}) \in A_{n+1}^j$. Then $\{P^{i,j}\}_{i=1}^{t_n}$ is a set of mutually orthogonal projections in A_{n+1}^j. Apply corollary 10.11 for A_{n+1}^j in place of A and $\{P^{i,j}\}_{i=1}^{t_n}$ in place of $\{P_1, P_2, \cdots, P_k\}$, we can write $A_{n+1}^j = \lim(C_k, \lambda_{k,l})$ with $P^{i,j}A_{n+1}^j P^{i,j} = \lim_k (Q_k^{i,j} C_k Q_k^{i,j}, \lambda_{k,l}|_{Q_k^{i,j} C_k Q_k^{i,j}})$, (where $\lambda_{k,l}(Q_k^{i,j}) = Q_l^{i,j} \in C_l$) being described as $\mathcal{AHD}$ inductive limit algebra as in Lemma 10.10.

For each pair i, j, we apply Lemma 10.12 to the homomorphism $\phi_{n,n+1}^{i,j} : A_n^i \to P^{i,j}A_{n+1}^j P_{i,j}$ in place of $\Lambda : A \to A'$, and $F_n^i \subset B_n^i$ in place of $F \subset A_m$, there is l (large enough) and a homomorphism

$$\psi_{n,n+1}^{i,j} : A_n^i \to Q_l^{i,j} C_l Q_l^{i,j}$$

such that

$$\|\phi_{n,n+1}^{i,j} \circ \imath_n(f) - \imath_{n+1} \circ \psi_{n,n+1}^{i,j}(f)\| < \varepsilon \qquad \forall f \in F_n^i,$$

where $\imath_{n+1}|_{Q_l^{i,j} C_l Q_l^{i,j}} = \lambda_{l,\infty}|_{Q_l^{i,j} C_l Q_l^{i,j}}$.

Finally choose

$$G_{n+1}^j \supset \pi_j[(G_n \cup \{a_{n,n+1}\}) \cup \{a_{n+1,j}\}_{j=1}^{n+1} \cup \imath_{n+1}(\bigcup_i \psi_{n,n+1}^{i,j}(F_n^i))]$$

and $G_{n+1} = \bigoplus G_{n+1}^j$. By increasing l, we can assume there is $F_{n+1}^{'j} \subset C_l$ such that $G_{n+1}^j \subset_{\varepsilon_{n+1}} F_{n+1}^{'j}$. Define B_{n+1}^j to be C_l which is a subalgebra of A_{n+1}^j. Let $F_{n+1}^j \subset B_{n+1}^j$ to be defined by $F_{n+1}^j = F_{n+1}^{'j} \cup (\bigoplus_i \psi_{n,n+1}^{i,j}(F_n^i))$ and let $F_{n+1} = \bigoplus F_{n+1}^j$. This ends our inductive construction. By Elliott intertwining argument, $\lim(B_n, \psi_{n,m}) = \lim(A_m, \phi_{n,m}) = A$. This ends the proof.

□

Remark 10.14 In [Jiang1], the second author classified ATAI algebras by $Inv^0(A)$ (see 2.6 above) for the case $K_1(A) = torK_1(A)$. By Theorem 10.4 above, we know all $ATAI$ algebras are AHD inductive limit with ideal property and therefore classified by $Inv(A)$. On the other hand, from Proposition 2.34, we know for this class of C^*-algebra, that $Inv^0(A) \cong Inv^0(B)$ implies that $Inv(A) \cong Inv(B)$. Hence Jiang's classification Theorem is a consequence of main theorem of this article.

References

[Bl] B. Blackadar, Matricial and ultra-matricial topology, Operator Algebras, Mathematical Physics, and Low Dimensional Topology(R. H. Herman and B Tanbay eds) A K Peter, Massachusetts(1993),11-38

[Choi-Elliott] M. D. Choi and G. A. Elliott, Density of the selfadjoint elements with finite spectrum in an irrational rotation C^*-algebra. Math. Second. 67(1990), n0.1, 73-86

[D] M. Dadarlat, Reduction to dimesion these of local spectra of Real rank zero C^*-algebras, J. Reine Angew. Math. 460(1995) 189-212

[DG] M. Dadarlat and G. Gong, A classification result for approximately homogeneous C^*-algebras of real rank zero, Geometric and Functional Analysis, 7(1997) 646-711

[DL] M. Dadarlat and T Loring, Auniversal multi-coefficient theorem for Kasparov groups, Duke Math. J.84(1996) 355-377

[dH-S] P. de la Harp and G. Skandalis, Determinant associe a une trace sur une algebra de Banach. Ann. Inst. Fourier 34, 169-202(1984)

[Ei] S. Eilers: A complete invariant for AD algebras with bounded torsion in K_1. J. Funct. Anal. 139, 325-348 (1996)

[Ell1] G. A. Elliott, On the classification of C^*-algebras of real rank zero, J. Reine Angew. Math. 443(1993) 263-290

[Ell2] G. A. Elliott, A classification of certain simple C^*-algebras, Quantum and Non-Commutative Analysis, Kluwer, Dordrecht, (1993), pp, 373-388

[Ell3] G. A. Elliott, A classification of certain simple C^*-algebras, II, J. Ramaunjan Math. Soc. 12 (1997), 97-134

[EG1] G. A. Elliott and G. Gong, On the inductive limits of matrix algebras over two-tori, American. J. Math 118(1996) 263-290

[EG2] G. A. Elliott and G. Gong, On the classification of C^*-algebras of real rank zero, II. Ann. of Math 144(1996) 497-610

[EGL1] G. A. Elliott, G. Gong and L. Li, On the classification of simple inductive limit C^*-algebras, II; The isomorphism Theorem, Invent. Math. 168(2)(2007) 249-320

[EGL2] G. A. Elliott, G. Gong and L. Li, Injectivity of the connecting maps in AH inductive limit systems, Canand. Math. Bull. 26(2004) 4-10

[EGJS] G. A. Elliott, G. Gong, X. Jiang, H. Su: Aclassification of simple limits of dimension drop C^*-algebras. Fields Inst. Commun. 13, 125-143 (1997)

[EGLP] G. A. Elliott, G. Gong, H. Lin, C.Pasnicu: Abelian C^*-subslgebras of C^*-algebras of real rank zero and inductive limit C^*-algebras. Duke Math. J. 83, 511-554 (1996)

[EGS] G. A. Elliott, G. Gong, H. Su: On the classification of C^*-algebras of real rank zero, IV: Reduction to local spectrum of dimension two. Field Inst. Commun. 20, 73-95 (1998)

[Fa] X. C. Fang, The classification of certain non-simple C^*-algebras of tracial rank zero, J. Funct. Anal. 256(2009) 3861-3891

[G1] G. Gong, Approximation by dimension drop C^*-algebras and classification, C. R. Math. Rep. Acad. Sci Can. 16(1994)40-44

[G2] G. Gong, Classification of C^*-algebras of real rank zero and unsuspended E-equivalent types, J. Funct. Anal. 152(1998) 281-329

[G3-4] G. Gong, On inductive limit of matrix algebras over higher dimension spaces, Part I, II, Math Scand. 80(1997) 45-60, 61-100

[G5] G. Gong, On the classification of simple inductive limit C^*-algebras, I: Reduction Theorems. Doc. Math. 7(2002) 255-461

[GJLP1] G. Gong, C. Jiang, L. Li, C. Pasnicu, AT structure of AH algebras with ideal property and torsion free K-theory, J. Func. Anal. 58(2010) 2119-2143

[GJLP2] G. Gong, C. Jiang, L. Li, C. Pasnicu, A Reduction theorem for AH algebras with ideal property, Preprint

[GL] G. Gong and H. Lin, Almost multiplicative morphisms and K-theory, International J. Math. 11 (2000) 983-1000.

[GLN] G. Gong, H. Lin and Z. Niu, Classification of finite simple amenable Z-stable C^*-algebras, preprint

[GLX] G. Gong, H. Lin and Y. Xue, Determinant rank of C^*-algebras, Pacific J. Math 274 (2015) n0.2 405-436

[Ji-Jiang] K. Ji and C. Jiang, A complete classification of AI algebra with ideal property, Canadian. J. Math, 63(2), (2011), 381-412

[Jiang1] C. Jiang, A classification of non simple C^*-algebras of tracial rank one:Inductive limit of finite direct sums of simple TAI C^*-algebras, J. Topol. Anal. 3 No.3(2011), 385-404

[Jiang2] C. Jiang, Reduction to dimension two of local spectrum for AH algebras with ideal property, preprint

[Li1] L. Li, On the classification of simple C^*-algebras: Inductive limit of matrix algebras over trees, Mem Amer. Math, Soc. 127(605) 1997

[Li2] L. Li, Simple inductive limit C^*-algebras: Spectra and approximation by interral algebras, J. Reine Angew Math 507(1999) 57-79

[Li3] L. Li, Classification of simple C^*-algebras: Inductive limit of matrix algebras over 1-dimensional spaces, J. Func. Anal. 192(2002) 1-51

[Li4] L. Li, Reduction to dimension two of local spectrum for simple AH algebras, J. of Ramanujia Math. Soc. 21 No.4(2006) 365-390

[Lin1] H. Lin, Tracially AF C^*-algebras, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 353 (2001) no.2, 693-722

[Lin2] H. Lin, Simple nuclear C^*-algrbras of tracial topological rank one. J. Funct. Anal. 251(2007), n0.2, 601-679

[NT] K. E. Nielsen and K. Thomsen, Limits of circle algebras. Expo. Math. 14, 17-56 (1996)

[Pa1] C. Pasnicn, Shape equiralence, nonstable K-theory and AH algebras, Pacific J. Math 192(2000) 159-182

[Pa2] C. Pasnicu, The ideal property in crossed products, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 131 (7)(2003) 2103-2108

[Pa3] C. Pasnicu, Extension of AH algebras with the ideal property, Proc. Edinb. Math. Soc. (2) 42 (1)(1999) 65-76

[Pa4] C. Pasnicu, On the AH algebras with the ideal property, J. Operator Theory 43 (2)(2000) 389-407

[Pa5] C. Pasnicu, Ideals generated by projections and inductive limit C^*-algebras, Rocky Mountain J. Math. 31 (3)(2001) 1083-1095

[Popa] S. Popa, On locally finite-dimensional approximation of C^*-algebras, Pacifi J. Math. (1997) 141-158

[R] M. Rordam, Classification of certain infinite simple C^*-algebras. J. Funct. Anal. 131(1995), 415-458

[Sierakowaski] A. Sierakowaski, The ideal structure of reduced cross products. Preprint

[Stev] K. Stevens, The classification of certain non-simple approximate interval algebras. Fields Inst. Commun. 20(1998) 105-148

[Thm1] K. Thomsen, Insuctive limit of interval algebras, American J. of Math

[Thm2] K. Thomsen, Limits of certain subhomogeneous C^*-algebras, Mem. Soc. Math. Fr. (N.S.) 71(1999)

[Thm3] K. Thomsen, Inductive limit of interval algebras: the simple case. In: Arak; H etal. (eds), Quantum and non-commutative analysis pp 399-404. Kluwer Dordrecht(1993)